

**CPR E 585 STOYTCHIEV LEC EVALUATION SPRING 2011**

**Item Statistics:**

	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5	Q6	Q7
Sample Size	18	18	18	18	18	18	18
Number Missing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mean	4.39	4.72	4.67	4.72	4.72	4.67	4.78
Variance	1.19	0.92	0.94	0.92	0.92	0.94	0.89
Standard Deviation	1.09	0.96	0.97	0.96	0.96	0.97	0.94
Standard Error	0.26	0.23	0.23	0.23	0.23	0.23	0.22
Inter Qrt. Range	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

	Q8	Q9	Q10	Q11	Q12	Q13	Q14
Sample Size	18	18	18	18	18	18	18
Number Missing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mean	4.56	4.67	4.61	4.72	4.28	3.83	3.50
Variance	0.97	0.94	0.96	0.92	1.04	1.21	1.32
Standard Deviation	0.98	0.97	0.98	0.96	1.02	1.10	1.15
Standard Error	0.23	0.23	0.23	0.23	0.24	0.26	0.27
Inter Qrt. Range	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	2.00	2.00

	Q15	Q16	Q17	Q18	Q19	Q20	Q21
Sample Size	18	18	18	18	18	15	18
Number Missing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mean	4.56	4.39	4.67	4.56	3.94	3.87	4.50
Variance	0.97	1.31	0.94	1.08	1.58	1.84	0.97
Standard Deviation	0.98	1.14	0.97	1.04	1.26	1.36	0.99
Standard Error	0.23	0.27	0.23	0.25	0.30	0.35	0.23
Inter Qrt. Range	1.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	2.00	2.00	1.00

**CPR E 585 STOYTCHIEV LEC EVALUATION SPRING 2011**

	Q22	Q23	Q24	Q25	Q26	Q27	Q28
Sample Size	12	18	17	18	12	17	18
Number Missing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mean	3.33	3.56	3.41	2.33	2.92	3.41	4.44
Variance	1.88	1.79	1.51	1.53	2.08	1.26	1.20
Standard Deviation	1.37	1.34	1.23	1.24	1.44	1.12	1.10
Standard Error	0.40	0.32	0.30	0.29	0.42	0.27	0.26
Inter Qrt. Range	1.50	2.00	1.00	2.00	2.00	1.00	1.00


	Q29	Q30	Q31
Sample Size	18	18	18
Number Missing	0	0	0
Mean	4.67	4.50	4.56
Variance	0.94	1.09	1.08
Standard Deviation	0.97	1.04	1.04
Standard Error	0.23	0.25	0.25
Inter Qrt. Range	0.00	1.00	0.00



**CPR E 585 STOYTCHHEV LEC EVALUATION SPRING 2011**


**Item Analysis: Expectations**

Label	Frequency	Percent
Strongly Disagree	1	5.56
Disagree	0	0.00
Neutral	2	11.11
Agree	3	16.67
Strongly Agree	12	66.67
Total Valid	18	100.00




**Item Analysis: Concepts**

Label	Frequency	Percent
Strongly Disagree	1	5.56
Disagree	0	0.00
Neutral	0	0.00
Agree	1	5.56
Strongly Agree	16	88.89
Total Valid	18	100.00




**Item Analysis: Clarifies confusion**

Label	Frequency	Percent
Strongly Disagree	1	5.56
Disagree	0	0.00
Neutral	0	0.00
Agree	2	11.11
Strongly Agree	15	83.33
Total Valid	18	100.00



**Item Analysis: Effective teaching methods**


Label	Frequency	Percent
Strongly Disagree	1	5.56
Disagree	0	0.00
Neutral	0	0.00
Agree	1	5.56
Strongly Agree	16	88.89
Total Valid	18	100.00



**CPR E 585 STOYTCHHEV LEC EVALUATION SPRING 2011**


**Item Analysis: Encourages ?'s**

Label	Frequency	Percent
Strongly Disagree	1	5.56
Disagree	0	0.00
Neutral	0	0.00
Agree	1	5.56
Strongly Agree	16	88.89
Total Valid	18	100.00




**Item Analysis: Organized/Prepared**

Label	Frequency	Percent
Strongly Disagree	1	5.56
Disagree	0	0.00
Neutral	0	0.00
Agree	2	11.11
Strongly Agree	15	83.33
Total Valid	18	100.00




**Item Analysis: Challenges thinking**

Label	Frequency	Percent
Strongly Disagree	1	5.56
Disagree	0	0.00
Neutral	0	0.00
Agree	0	0.00
Strongly Agree	17	94.44
Total Valid	18	100.00



**Item Analysis: Availability**

Label	Frequency	Percent
Strongly Disagree	1	5.56
Disagree	0	0.00
Neutral	0	0.00
Agree	4	22.22
Strongly Agree	13	72.22
Total Valid	18	100.00




**CPR E 585 STOYTCHEV LEC EVALUATION SPRING 2011**

**Item Analysis: Effective technology**

Label	Frequency	Percent
Strongly Disagree	1	5.56
Disagree	0	0.00
Neutral	0	0.00
Agree	2	11.11
Strongly Agree	15	83.33
<b>Total Valid</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>100.00</b>


**Q9**



**Item Analysis: Improves learning**

Label	Frequency	Percent
Strongly Disagree	1	5.56
Disagree	0	0.00
Neutral	0	0.00
Agree	3	16.67
Strongly Agree	14	77.78
<b>Total Valid</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>100.00</b>


**Q10**



**Item Analysis: Attendance**

Label	Frequency	Percent
Strongly Disagree	1	5.56
Disagree	0	0.00
Neutral	0	0.00
Agree	1	5.56
Strongly Agree	16	88.89
<b>Total Valid</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>100.00</b>


**Q11**



**Item Analysis: Challenged**

Label	Frequency	Percent
Strongly Disagree	1	5.56
Disagree	0	0.00
Neutral	1	5.56
Agree	7	38.89
Strongly Agree	9	50.00
<b>Total Valid</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>100.00</b>

**Q12**




**CPR E 585 STOYTCHEV LEC EVALUATION SPRING 2011**

**Item Analysis: Work to full potential**

Label	Frequency	Percent
Strongly Disagree	1	5.56
Disagree	1	5.56
Neutral	3	16.67
Agree	8	44.44
Strongly Agree	5	27.78
<b>Total Valid</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>100.00</b>


**Q13**



**Item Analysis: Outside class work**

Label	Frequency	Percent
0-1 hour	0	0.00
2-4 hours	4	22.22
5-6 hours	6	33.33
7-8 hours	3	16.67
9+ hours	5	27.78
<b>Total Valid</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>100.00</b>


**Q14**



**Item Analysis: Learned a lot**

Label	Frequency	Percent
Strongly Disagree	1	5.56
Disagree	0	0.00
Neutral	0	0.00
Agree	4	22.22
Strongly Agree	13	72.22
<b>Total Valid</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>100.00</b>


**Q15**



**Item Analysis: Increased interest**

Label	Frequency	Percent
Strongly Disagree	1	5.56
Disagree	1	5.56
Neutral	0	0.00
Agree	4	22.22
Strongly Agree	12	66.67
<b>Total Valid</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>100.00</b>


**Q16**



**CPR E 585 STOYTCHEV LEC EVALUATION SPRING 2011**


**Item Analysis: Respectful**

Label	Frequency	Percent
Strongly Disagree	1	5.56
Disagree	0	0.00
Neutral	0	0.00
Agree	2	11.11
Strongly Agree	15	83.33
<b>Total Valid</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>100.00</b>




**Item Analysis: Learned is important**

Label	Frequency	Percent
Strongly Disagree	1	5.56
Disagree	0	0.00
Neutral	1	5.56
Agree	2	11.11
Strongly Agree	14	77.78
<b>Total Valid</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>100.00</b>




**Item Analysis: Enhance my learning**

Label	Frequency	Percent
Strongly Disagree	1	5.56
Disagree	2	11.11
Neutral	2	11.11
Agree	5	27.78
Strongly Agree	8	44.44
<b>Total Valid</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>100.00</b>



**Item Analysis: Assesses learning**


Label	Frequency	Percent
Strongly Disagree	2	13.33
Disagree	0	0.00
Neutral	2	13.33
Agree	5	33.33
Strongly Agree	6	40.00
<b>Total Valid</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>100.00</b>



**CPR E 585 STOYTCHEV LEC EVALUATION SPRING 2011**


**Item Analysis: High standards**

Label	Frequency	Percent
Strongly disagree	1	5.56
Disagree	0	0.00
Neutral	0	0.00
Agree	5	27.78
Strongly Agree	12	66.67
<b>Total Valid</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>100.00</b>




**Item Analysis: Lab?**

Label	Frequency	Percent
Strongly disagree	2	16.67
Disagree	0	0.00
Neutral	5	41.67
Agree	2	16.67
Strongly Agree	3	25.00
<b>Total Valid</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>100.00</b>




**Item Analysis: Clear evaluation criteria**

Label	Frequency	Percent
Strongly disagree	2	11.11
Disagree	2	11.11
Neutral	3	16.67
Agree	6	33.33
Strongly Agree	5	27.78
<b>Total Valid</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>100.00</b>



**Item Analysis: Grading**

Label	Frequency	Percent
Strongly disagree	2	11.76
Disagree	1	5.88
Neutral	5	29.41
Agree	6	35.29
Strongly Agree	3	17.65
<b>Total Valid</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>100.00</b>




CPR E 585 STOYTCHEV LEC EVALUATION SPRING 2011

Item Analysis: Assignments returned

Label	Frequency	Percent
Strongly disagree	5	27.78
Disagree	6	33.33
Neutral	5	27.78
Agree	0	0.00
Strongly Agree	2	11.11
<b>Total Valid</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>100.00</b>


Q25



Item Analysis: Exams returned

Label	Frequency	Percent
Strongly disagree	2	16.67
Disagree	3	25.00
Neutral	4	33.33
Agree	0	0.00
Strongly Agree	3	25.00
<b>Total Valid</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>100.00</b>


Q26



Item Analysis: Feedback on work

Label	Frequency	Percent
Strongly disagree	1	5.88
Disagree	2	11.76
Neutral	6	35.29
Agree	5	29.41
Strongly Agree	3	17.65
<b>Total Valid</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>100.00</b>


Q27



Item Analysis: Text

Label	Frequency	Percent
Strongly disagree	1	5.56
Disagree	0	0.00
Neutral	2	11.11
Agree	2	11.11
Strongly Agree	13	72.22
<b>Total Valid</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>100.00</b>

Q28




CPR E 585 STOYTCHEV LEC EVALUATION SPRING 2011

Item Analysis: Overall instructor

Label	Frequency	Percent
Strongly disagree	1	5.56
Disagree	0	0.00
Neutral	0	0.00
Agree	2	11.11
Strongly Agree	15	83.33
<b>Total Valid</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>100.00</b>


Q29



Item Analysis: Overall course

Label	Frequency	Percent
Strongly disagree	1	5.56
Disagree	0	0.00
Neutral	1	5.56
Agree	3	16.67
Strongly Agree	13	72.22
<b>Total Valid</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>100.00</b>

Q30



Item Analysis: Instructor motivated

Label	Frequency	Percent
Strongly disagree	1	5.56
Disagree	0	0.00
Neutral	1	5.56
Agree	2	11.11
Strongly Agree	14	77.78
<b>Total Valid</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>100.00</b>

Q31

