## Jar Test

When 1 mole of alum $\left(\mathrm{Al}_{2}\left(\mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)_{3} \cdot 18 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ is added into water that contains adequate alkalinity, 6 mole of $\mathrm{HCO}_{3}{ }^{-}$(alkalinity) is consumed and produced 6 mole of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ as shown in equation (1)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Al}_{2}\left(\mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)_{3} \cdot 18 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}+6 \mathrm{HCO}_{3}{ }^{-}==2 \mathrm{Al}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}(\mathrm{~s})+3 \mathrm{SO}_{4}{ }^{2-}+18 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}+6 \mathrm{CO}_{2} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

As we know that $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ in water is the same as $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ (carbonic acid). Therefore, the reaction in equation (1) shifts the carbonate equilibrium and pH changes slightly because $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ is a weak acid.

If water contains no alkalinity, the pH changes dramatically because sulfuric acid is produced instead of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ as express in equation (2). Sulfuric acid is a strong acid that dissociate $100 \%$ to proton, or $\mathrm{H}^{+}$.
$\mathrm{Al}_{2}\left(\mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)_{3} \cdot 18 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}+6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}==2 \mathrm{Al}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}(\mathrm{~s})+3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}+18 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$

## Calculation for alkalinity change

MW: $\mathrm{Al}_{2}\left(\mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)_{3} \cdot 18 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}=666 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol} ; \mathrm{Al}^{3+}=2(27)=54 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
In the lab, the concentration of alum solution was prepared in $\mathbf{m g} / \mathbf{L}$ as $\mathbf{A l}^{\mathbf{3 +}}$ (not as $\left.\mathrm{Al}_{\mathbf{2}}\left(\mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)_{3} \cdot \mathbf{1 8} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$, so we have to convert $\mathrm{Al}^{3+}$ to alum $\left(\mathrm{Al}_{\mathbf{2}}\left(\mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)_{3} \cdot \mathbf{1 8} \mathrm{H}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathrm{O}\right)$ :

Assume: we get $10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ (beaker \# 2) of $\mathrm{Al}^{3+}$ as the optimum dosage (the lowest turbidity).
Since $1 \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}\left(\right.$ or $666 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ ) of $\mathrm{Al}_{2}\left(\mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)_{3} \cdot 18 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ contains $2(27)=54 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ of $\mathrm{Al}^{3+}$
Therefore, $54 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ of $\mathrm{Al}^{3+}$ comes from $\quad=666 \quad \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ of $\mathrm{Al}_{2}\left(\mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)_{3} \cdot 18 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$
$10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$, or $10\left(10^{-3}\right) \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ of $\mathrm{Al}^{3+}$ comes from $=(\underline{666}) \times 10\left(10^{-3}\right) \quad \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}^{5}$ of $\mathrm{Al}_{2}\left(\mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)_{3} \cdot 18 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$
Change (666) $\times 10\left(10^{-3}\right) \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ of $\mathrm{Al}_{2}\left(\mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)_{3} \cdot 18 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ to unit of $\mathrm{mol} / \mathrm{L}$
54
We get: $\mathrm{Al}_{2}\left(\mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)_{3} \cdot 18 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}=\left(\frac{666}{54}\right) \times 10\left(10^{-3}\right) \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}(\underline{1 \mathrm{~mol}})=\frac{10}{666 \mathrm{~g}}\left(10^{-3}\right) \mathrm{mol} / \mathrm{L}$
Since 1 mol of $\mathrm{Al}_{2}\left(\mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)_{3} \cdot 18 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ is equal to 6 mol of $\mathrm{HCO}_{3}{ }^{-}$from the above equation (1)
Therefore, $\left[\mathrm{HCO}_{3}^{-}\right]=6 \times \frac{10}{54}\left(10^{-3}\right) \mathrm{mol} / \mathrm{L}$
Then change the unit into $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ as $\mathrm{CaCO}_{3}$

$$
\left[\mathrm{HCO}_{3}^{-}\right]=6 \times \frac{10}{54}\left(10^{-3}\right) \mathrm{mol} / \mathrm{L} \times 61 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol} \times 10^{3} \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{g} \times\left(\frac{50}{61}\right)=55.55 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L} \text { as } \mathrm{CaCO}_{3}
$$

Theoretical alkalinity depleted $=55.55 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ as $\mathrm{CaCO}_{3}$.
From the lab as shown in the below Table, the alkalinity was changed $=240-180=60 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ as $\mathrm{CaCO}_{3}$.
The results do not show much difference between the alkalinity change in theory and practice.

## Note:

1) Adding alum into water decreases the pH , but
2) If water contains some alkalinity $\left(\mathrm{HCO}_{3}{ }^{-}\right.$, the major specie at neutral pH$)$, the pH does not be dramatically reduced (compared to the condition when the alkalinity is not present).
$\mathrm{CO}_{2(\mathrm{~g})}$ e elaboration indicates formation of carbonic acid $\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{HCO}_{3}{ }^{-} \leftrightarrow \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}{ }^{*} \leftrightarrow \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}+\mathrm{CO}_{2(\mathrm{ag})}\right.$ $\left.\leftrightarrow \mathrm{CO}_{2(\mathrm{~g})}\right]$

| Beaker \# | RAW | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dosage mg/L of Alum as $\mathrm{Al}^{3+}$ | 0 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 40 | 50 |
| Turbidity nтu of all samples | 12 | 10 | 1.0 | 2.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 5.3 |
| pH <br> of all samples | 8.29 | 8.16 | 7.30 | 6.90 | 6.46 | 6.09 | 5.06 |
| Alkalinity $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ as $\mathrm{CaCO}_{3}$ of RAW and one of beakers with lowest turbidity | 240 |  | 180 |  |  |  |  |

