# Probability Background

Namrata Vaswani, Iowa State University

August 24, 2015

Probability recap 1: EE 322 notes

Quick test of concepts: Given random variables  $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n$ . Compute the PDF of the second smallest random variable (2nd order statistic).

#### 1 Some Topics

1. Chain Rule:

$$P(A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n) = P(A_1)P(A_2|A_1)P(A_3|A_1, A_2)\dots P(A_n|A_1, A_2, \dots, A_{n-1})$$

2. Total probability: if  $B_1, B_2, \ldots, B_n$  form a *partition* of the sample space, then

$$P(A) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} P(A|B_i)P(B_i)$$

*Partition:* The events are mutually disjoint and their union is equal to the sample space.

3. Union bound: suppose  $P(A_i) \ge 1 - p_i$  for small probabilities  $p_i$ , then

$$P(\cap_i A_i) = 1 - P(\cup_i A_i^c) \ge 1 - \sum_i P(A_i^c) \ge 1 - \sum_i p_i$$

- 4. Independence:
  - events A, B are independent iff

$$P(A,B) = P(A)P(B)$$

• events  $A_1, A_2, \ldots A_n$  are mutually independent iff for any subset  $S \subseteq \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ ,

$$P(\cap_{i\in S}A_i) = \prod_{i\in S} P(A_i)$$

- analogous definition for random variables: for mutually independent r.v.'s the joint pdf of any subset of r.v.'s is equal to the product of the marginal pdf's.
- 5. Conditional Independence:
  - events A, B are conditionally independent given an event C iff

$$P(A, B|C) = P(A|C)P(B|C)$$

- extend to a set of events as above
- extend to r.v.'s as above
- 6. Given X is independent of  $\{Y, Z\}$ . Then,
  - X is independent of Y; X is independent of Z
  - X is conditionally independent of Y given Z
  - $\mathbb{E}[XY|Z] = \mathbb{E}[X|Z]\mathbb{E}[Y|Z]$
  - $\mathbb{E}[XY|Z] = \mathbb{E}[X]\mathbb{E}[Y|Z]$
- 7. Law of Iterated Expectations:

$$\mathbb{E}_{X,Y}[g(X,Y)] = \mathbb{E}_Y[\mathbb{E}_{X|Y}[g(X,Y)|Y]]$$

8. Conditional Variance Identity:

$$Var_{X,Y}[g(X,Y)] = \mathbb{E}_Y[Var_{X|Y}[g(X,Y)|Y]] + Var_Y[\mathbb{E}_{X|Y}[g(X,Y)|Y]]$$

- 9. Cauchy-Schwartz Inequality:
  - (a) For vectors  $v_1, v_2, (v'_1v_2)^2 \le ||v_1||_2^2 ||v_2||_2^2$
  - (b) For vectors:

$$\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}x_{i}'y_{i}\right)^{2} \leq \left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\|x_{i}\|_{2}^{2}\right)\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\|y_{i}\|_{2}^{2}\right)$$

(c) For matrices:

$$\|\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\mathcal{X}_{i}\mathcal{Y}_{i}'\|^{2} \leq \|\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\mathcal{X}_{i}\mathcal{X}_{i}'\|_{2}\|\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\mathcal{Y}\mathcal{Y}'\|_{2}$$

- (d) For scalar r.v.'s X, Y:  $(\mathbb{E}[XY])^2 \leq \mathbb{E}[X^2]\mathbb{E}[Y^2]$
- (e) For random vectors X, Y,

$$(\mathbb{E}[X'Y])^2 \le \mathbb{E}[||X||_2^2]\mathbb{E}[||Y||_2^2]$$

- (f) Proof follows by using the fact that  $\mathbb{E}[(X \alpha Y)^2] \ge 0$ . Get a quadratic equation in  $\alpha$  and use the condition to ensure that this is non-negative
- (g) For random matrices  $\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}$ ,

$$\|\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{X}\mathcal{Y}']\|_2^2 \leq \lambda_{\max}(\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{X}\mathcal{X}'])\lambda_{\max}(\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{Y}\mathcal{Y}']) = \|\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{X}\mathcal{X}']\|_2 \|\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{Y}\mathcal{Y}']\|_2$$

Recall that for a positive semi-definite matrix M,  $||M||_2 = \lambda_{\max}(M)$ .

- (h) Proof: use the following definition of  $||M||_2$ :  $||M||_2 = \max_{x,y:||x||_2=1, ||y||_2=1} |x'My|$ , and then apply C-S for random vectors.
- 10. Convergence in probability. A sequence of random variables,  $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n$  converges to a constant *a* in probability means that for every  $\epsilon > 0$ ,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \Pr(|X_n - a| > \epsilon) = 0$$

11. Convergence in distribution. A sequence of random variables,  $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n$  converges to random variable Z in distribution means that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} F_{X_n}(x) = F_Z(x), \text{ for almost all points} x$$

- 12. Convergence in probability implies convergence in distribution
- 13. Consistent Estimator. An estimator for  $\theta$  based on n random variables,  $\hat{\theta}_n(\underline{X})$ , is consistent if it converges to  $\theta$  in probability for large n.
- 14. independent and identically distributed (iid) random variables:  $X_1, X_2, \ldots X_n$  are iid iff they are mutually independent and have the same marginal distribution
  - For all subsets  $S \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots n\}$  of size s, the following two things hold

$$F_{X_i,i\in S}(x_1, x_2, \dots x_s) = \prod_{i\in S} F_{X_i}(x_i) \quad \text{(independent) and}$$
$$F_{X_i}(x_i) = F_{X_1}(x_1) \quad \text{(iid)}$$

• Clearly the above two imply that the joint distribution for any subset of variables is also equal

$$F_{X_i,i\in S}(x_1,x_2,\ldots,x_s) = \prod_{i=1}^s F_{X_1}(x_i) = F_{X_1,X_2,\ldots,X_s}(x_1,x_2,\ldots,x_s)$$

15. Moment Generating Function (MGF)  $M_X(u)$ 

$$M_X(u) := \mathbb{E}[e^{u^T X}]$$

- It is the two-sided Laplace transform of the pdf of X for continuous r.v.'s X
- For a scalar r.v. X,  $M_X(t) := \mathbb{E}[e^{tX}]$ , differentiating this *i* times with respect to t and setting t = 0 gives the *i*-th moment about the origin
- 16. Characteristic Function

$$C_X(u) := M_X(iu) = \mathbb{E}[e^{iu^T X}]$$

- $C_X(-u)$  is the Fourier transform of the pdf or pmf of X
- Can get back the pmf or pdf by inverse Fourier transform
- 17. Union bound: suppose  $P(A_i) \ge 1 p_i$  for small probabilities  $p_i$ , then

$$P(\cap_i A_i) = 1 - P(\cup_i A_i^c) \ge 1 - \sum_i P(A_i^c) \ge 1 - \sum_i p_i$$

- 18. Hoeffding's lemma: bounds the MGF of a zero mean and bounded r.v..
  - Suppose  $\mathbb{E}[X] = 0$  and  $P(X \in [a, b]) = 1$ , then

$$M_X(s) := \mathbb{E}[e^{sX}] \le e^{\frac{s^2(b-a)^2}{8}}$$
 if  $s > 0$ 

Proof: use Jensen's inequality followed by mean value theorem, see http://www. cs.berkeley.edu/~jduchi/projects/probability\_bounds.pdf

#### 19. Markov inequality and its implications

(a) Markov inequality: for a non-negative r.v. i.e. for X for which P(X < 0) = 0

$$P(X > a) \le \frac{\mathbb{E}[X]}{a}$$

(b) Chebyshev inequality: apply Markov to  $(Y - \mu_Y)^2$ 

$$P((Y - \mu_Y)^2 > a) \le \frac{\sigma_Y^2}{a}$$

if the variance is small, w.h.p. Y does not deviate too much from its mean

(c) Chernoff bounds: apply Markov to  $e^{tY}$  for any t > 0.

$$P(X > a) \le \min_{t>0} e^{-ta} \mathbb{E}[e^{tX}]$$
$$P(X < b) \le \min_{t>0} e^{tb} \mathbb{E}[e^{-tX}]$$

or sometimes one gets a simpler expression by using a specific value of t > 0

20. Using Chernoff bounding to bound  $P(S_n \in [a, b]), S_n := \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$  when  $X_i$ 's are iid

$$P(S_n \ge a) \le \min_{t>0} e^{-ta} \prod_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}[e^{tX_i}] = \min_{t>0} e^{-ta} (\mathbb{E}[e^{tX_1}])^n := p_1$$
$$P(S_n \le b) \le \min_{t>0} e^{tb} \prod_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}[e^{-tX_i}] = \min_{t>0} e^{tb} (\mathbb{E}[e^{-tX_1}])^n := p_2$$

Thus, using the union bound with  $A_1 = \{S_n < a\}, A_2 = \{S_n > b\}$ 

$$P(b < S_n < a) \ge 1 - p_1 - p_2$$

With  $b = n(\mu - \epsilon)$  and  $a = n(\mu + \epsilon)$ , we can conclude that w.h.p.  $\bar{X}_n := S_n/n$  lies b/w  $\mu \pm \epsilon$ 

- 21. A similar thing can also be done when  $X_i$ 's just independent and not iid. Sometimes have an upper bound for  $\mathbb{E}[e^{tX_i}]$  and that can be used, for example Hoeffding lemma gives one such bound
- 22. Hoeffding inequality: Chernoff bound for sums of independent bounded random variables, followed by using Hoeffding's lemma
  - Given independent and bounded r.v.'s  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$ :  $P(X_i \in [a_i, b_i]) = 1$ ,

$$P(|S_n - \mathbb{E}[S_n]| \ge t) \le 2 \exp(\frac{-2t^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n (b_i - a_i)^2})$$

or let  $\bar{X}_n := S_n/n$  and  $\mu_n := \sum_i \mathbb{E}[X_i]/n$ , then

$$P(|\bar{X}_n - \mu_n| \ge \epsilon) \le 2\exp(\frac{-2\epsilon^2 n^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n (b_i - a_i)^2}) \le 2\exp(\frac{-2\epsilon^2 n}{\max_i (b_i - a_i)^2})$$

Proof: use Chernoff bounding followed by Hoeffding's lemma

- 23. Various other inequalities: Bernstein inequality, Azuma inequality
- 24. Weak Law of Large Numbers (WLLN) for i.i.d. scalar random variables,  $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n$ , with finite mean  $\mu$ . Define

$$\bar{X}_n := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$$

For any  $\epsilon > 0$ ,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} P(|\bar{X}_n - \mu| > \epsilon) = 0$$

Proof: use Chebyshev if  $\sigma^2$  is finite. Else use characteristic function

25. Central Limit Theorem for i.i.d. random variables. Given an iid sequence of random variables,  $X_1, X_2, \ldots X_n$ , with finite mean  $\mu$  and finite variance  $\sigma^2$  as the sample mean. Then  $\sqrt{n}(\bar{X}_n - \mu)$  converges in distribution a Gaussian rv  $Z \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$ 

- 26. Many of the above results also exist for certain types of non-iid rv's. Proofs much more difficult.
- 27. Mean Value Theorem and Taylor Series Expansion
- 28. Delta method: if  $\sqrt{N}(X_N \theta)$  converges in distribution to Z then  $\sqrt{N}(g(X_N) g(\theta))$ converges in distribution to  $g'(\theta)Z$  as long as  $g'(\theta)$  is well defined and non-zero. Thus if  $Z \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$ , then  $g'(\theta)Z \sim \mathcal{N}(0, g'(\theta)^2 \sigma^2)$ .
- 29. If  $g'(\theta) = 0$ , then one can use what is called the second-order Delta method. This is derived by using a second order Taylor series expansion or second-order mean value theorem to expand out  $g(X_N)$  around  $\theta$ .
- 30. Second order Delta method: Given that  $\sqrt{N}(X_N \theta)$  converges in distribution to Z. Then, if  $g'(\theta) = 0$ ,  $N(g(X_N) g(\theta))$  converges in distribution to  $\frac{g''(\theta)}{2}Z^2$ . If  $Z \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$ , then  $Z^2 = \sigma^2 \frac{g''(\theta)}{2} \chi_1^2$  where  $ch_1^2$  is a r.v. that has a chi-square distribution with 1 degree of freedom.
- 31. Slutsky's theorem

### 2 Jointly Gaussian Random Variables

First note that a scalar Gaussian r.v. X with mean  $\mu$  and variance  $\sigma^2$  has the following pdf

$$f_X(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma}} e^{-\frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}}$$

Its characteristic function can be computed by computing the Fourier transform at -t to get

$$C_X(t) = e^{j\mu t} e^{-\frac{\sigma^2 t^2}{2}}$$

jointly Gaussian r.v.'s. Any of the following can be used as a definition of j G.

1. The  $n \times 1$  random vector X is jointly Gaussian if and only if the scalar

 $u^T X$ 

is Gaussian distributed for all  $n \times 1$  vectors u

2. The random vector X is jointly Gaussian if and only if its characteristic function,  $C_X(u) := \mathbb{E}[e^{iu^T X}]$  can be written as

$$C_X(u) = e^{iu^T\mu} e^{-u^T \Sigma u/2}$$

where  $\mu = \mathbb{E}[X]$  and  $\Sigma = cov(X)$ .

- Proof: X is j G implies that  $V = u^T X$  is G with mean  $u^T \mu$  and variance  $u^T \Sigma u$ . Thus its characteristic function,  $C_V(t) = e^{itu^T \mu} e^{-t^2 u^T \Sigma u/2}$ . But  $C_V(t) = \mathbb{E}[e^{itV}] = \mathbb{E}[e^{itu^T X}]$ . If we set t = 1, then this is  $\mathbb{E}[e^{iu^T X}]$  which is equal to  $C_X(u)$ . Thus,  $C_X(u) = C_V(1) = e^{iu^T \mu} e^{-u^T \Sigma u/2}$ .
- Proof (other side): we are given that the charac function of  $X, C_X(u) = \mathbb{E}[e^{iu^T X}] = e^{iu^T \mu} e^{-u^T \Sigma u/2}$ . Consider  $V = u^T X$ . Thus,  $C_V(t) = \mathbb{E}[e^{itV}] = C_X(tu) = e^{iu^T \mu} e^{-t^2 u^T \Sigma u/2}$ . Also,  $\mathbb{E}[V] = u^T \mu, var(V) = u^T \Sigma u$ . Thus V is G.
- 3. The random vector X is jointly Gaussian if and only if its joint pdf can be written as

$$f_X(x) = \frac{1}{(\sqrt{2\pi})^n det(\Sigma)} e^{-(X-\mu)^T \Sigma^{-1} (X-\mu)/2}$$
(1)

- Proof: follows by computing the characteristic function from the pdf and vice versa
- 4. The random vector X is j G if and only if it can be written as an affine function of i.i.d. standard Gaussian r.v's.
  - Proof uses 2.
  - Proof: suppose X = AZ + a where  $Z \sim \mathcal{N}(0, I)$ ; compute its c.f. and show that it is a c.f. of a j G
  - Proof (other side): suppose X is j G; let  $Z := \Sigma^{-1/2}(X \mu)$  and write out its c.f.; can show that it is the c.f. of iid standard G.
- 5. The random vector X is j G if and only if it can be written as an affine function of jointly Gaussian r.v's.
  - Proof: Suppose X is an affine function of a j G r.v. Y, i.e. X = BY + b. Since Y is j G, by 4, it can be written as Y = AZ + a where  $Z \sim \mathcal{N}(0, I)$  (i.i.d. standard Gaussian). Thus, X = BAZ + (Ba + b), i.e. it is an affine function of Z, and thus, by 4, X is j G.
  - Proof (other side): X is j G. So by 4, it can be written as X = BZ + b. But  $Z \sim \mathcal{N}(0, I)$  i.e. Z is a j G r.v.

#### Properties

- 1. If  $X_1, X_2$  are j G, then the conditional distribution of  $X_1$  given  $X_2$  is also j G
- 2. If the elements of a j G r.v. X are pairwise uncorrelated (i.e. non-diagonal elements of their covariance matrix are zero), then they are also mutually independent.
- 3. Any subset of X is also j G.

## **3** Optimization: basic fact

Claim:  $\min_{t_1, t_2} f(t_1, t_2) = \min_{t_1} (\min_{t_2} f(t_1, t_2))$ 

Proof: show that LHS  $\geq$  RHS and LHS  $\leq$  RHS

Let  $[\hat{t}_1, \hat{t}_2] \in \arg\min_{t_1, t_2} f(t_1, t_2)$  (if the minimizer is not unique let  $\hat{t}_1, \hat{t}_2$  be any one minimizer), i.e.

$$\min_{t_1, t_2} f(t_1, t_2) = f(\hat{t}_1, \hat{t}_2)$$

Let  $\hat{t}_2(t_1) \in \arg \min_{t_2} f(t_1, t_2)$ , i.e.

$$\min_{t_2} f(t_1, t_2) = f(t_1, \hat{t}_2(t_1))$$

Let  $\hat{t}_1 \in \arg \min f(t_1, \hat{t}_2(t_1))$ , i.e.

$$\min_{t_1} f(t_1, \hat{t}_2(t_1)) = f(\hat{t}_1, \hat{t}_2(\hat{t}_1))$$

Combining last two equations,

$$f(\hat{t}_1, \hat{t}_2(\hat{t}_1)) = \min_{t_1} f(t_1, \hat{t}_2(t_1)) = \min_{t_1} (\min_{t_2} f(t_1, t_2))$$

Notice that

$$f(t_{1}, t_{2}) \geq \min_{t_{2}} f(t_{1}, t_{2})$$

$$= f(t_{1}, \hat{t}_{2}(t_{1}))$$

$$\geq \min_{t_{1}} f(t_{1}, \hat{t}_{2}(t_{1}))$$

$$= f(\hat{t}_{1}, \hat{t}_{2}(\hat{t}_{1})) \qquad (2)$$

The above holds for all  $t_1, t_2$ . In particular use  $t_1 \equiv \hat{t}_1, t_2 \equiv \hat{t}_2$ . Thus,

$$\min_{t_1, t_2} f(t_1, t_2) = f(\hat{t}_1, \hat{t}_2) \ge \min_{t_1} f(t_1, \hat{t}_2(t_1)) = \min_{t_1} (\min_{t_2} f(t_1, t_2))$$
(3)

Thus LHS  $\geq$  RHS. Notice also that

$$\min_{t_1, t_2} f(t_1, t_2) \leq f(t_1, t_2) \tag{4}$$

and this holds for all  $t_1, t_2$ . In particular, use  $t_1 \equiv \hat{t}_1, t_2 \equiv \hat{t}_2(\hat{t}_1)$ . Then,

$$\min_{t_1,t_2} f(t_1,t_2) \leq f(\hat{t}_1,\hat{t}_2(\hat{t}_1)) = \min_{t_1} (\min_{t_2} f(t_1,t_2))$$
(5)

Thus,  $LHS \leq RHS$  and this finishes the proof.