

IEEE Recommended Practice for Excitation System Models for Power System Stability Studies

IEEE Power and Energy Society

Sponsored by the Energy Development and Power Generation Committee

IEEE 3 Park Avenue New York, NY 10016-5997 USA

IEEE Std 421.5™-2016 (Revision of IEEE Std 421.5-2005)

IEEE Recommended Practice for Excitation System Models for Power System Stability Studies

Sponsor

Energy Development and Power Generation Committee of the IEEE Power and Energy Society

Approved 15 May 2016

IEEE-SA Standards Board

Abstract: Excitation system and power system stabilizer models suitable for use in large-scale system stability studies are presented. Important excitation limiters and supplementary controls are also included. The model structures presented are intended to facilitate the use of field test data as a means of obtaining model parameters. The models are, however, reduced order models and do not necessarily represent all of the control loops of any particular system. The models are valid for frequency deviations of $\pm 5\%$ from rated frequency and oscillation frequencies up to 3 Hz. These models would not normally be adequate for use in studies of subsynchronous resonance or other shaft torsional interaction behavior. Delayed protective and control features that may come into play in long-term dynamic performance studies are not represented. A sample set of data for each of the models, for at least one particular application, is provided.

Keywords: excitation limiter models, excitation systems models, IEEE 421.5[™], power system stability, power system stabilizer models

PDF: ISBN 978-1-5044-0855-4 STD20896 Print: ISBN 978-1-5044-0856-1 STDPD20896

IEEE prohibits discrimination, harassment, and bullying.

For more information, visit http://www.ieee.org/web/aboutus/whatis/policies/p9-26.html.

No part of this publication may be reproduced in any form, in an electronic retrieval system or otherwise, without the prior written permission of the publisher.

The Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Inc. 3 Park Avenue, New York, NY 10016-5997, USA

Copyright © 2016 by The Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Inc. All rights reserved. Published 26 August 2016. Printed in the United States of America.

IEEE is a registered trademark in the U.S. Patent & Trademark Office, owned by The Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Incorporated.

Important Notices and Disclaimers Concerning IEEE Standards Documents

IEEE documents are made available for use subject to important notices and legal disclaimers. These notices and disclaimers, or a reference to this page, appear in all standards and may be found under the heading "Important Notice" or "Important Notices and Disclaimers Concerning IEEE Standards Documents."

Notice and Disclaimer of Liability Concerning the Use of IEEE Standards Documents

IEEE Standards documents (standards, recommended practices, and guides), both full-use and trial-use, are developed within IEEE Societies and the Standards Coordinating Committees of the IEEE Standards Association ("IEEE-SA") Standards Board. IEEE ("the Institute") develops its standards through a consensus development process, approved by the American National Standards Institute ("ANSI"), which brings together volunteers representing varied viewpoints and interests to achieve the final product. Volunteers are not necessarily members of the Institute and participate without compensation from IEEE. While IEEE administers the process and establishes rules to promote fairness in the consensus development process, IEEE does not independently evaluate, test, or verify the accuracy of any of the information or the soundness of any judgments contained in its standards.

IEEE does not warrant or represent the accuracy or content of the material contained in its standards, and expressly disclaims all warranties (express, implied and statutory) not included in this or any other document relating to the standard, including, but not limited to, the warranties of: merchantability; fitness for a particular purpose; non-infringement; and quality, accuracy, effectiveness, currency, or completeness of material. In addition, IEEE disclaims any and all conditions relating to: results; and workmanlike effort. IEEE standards documents are supplied "AS IS" and "WITH ALL FAULTS."

Use of an IEEE standard is wholly voluntary. The existence of an IEEE standard does not imply that there are no other ways to produce, test, measure, purchase, market, or provide other goods and services related to the scope of the IEEE standard. Furthermore, the viewpoint expressed at the time a standard is approved and issued is subject to change brought about through developments in the state of the art and comments received from users of the standard.

In publishing and making its standards available, IEEE is not suggesting or rendering professional or other services for, or on behalf of, any person or entity nor is IEEE undertaking to perform any duty owed by any other person or entity to another. Any person utilizing any IEEE Standards document, should rely upon his or her own independent judgment in the exercise of reasonable care in any given circumstances or, as appropriate, seek the advice of a competent professional in determining the appropriateness of a given IEEE standard.

IN NO EVENT SHALL IEEE BE LIABLE FOR ANY DIRECT, INDIRECT, INCIDENTAL, SPECIAL, EXEMPLARY, OR CONSEQUENTIAL DAMAGES (INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO: PROCUREMENT OF SUBSTITUTE GOODS OR SERVICES; LOSS OF USE, DATA, OR PROFITS; OR BUSINESS INTERRUPTION) HOWEVER CAUSED AND ON ANY THEORY OF LIABILITY, WHETHER IN CONTRACT, STRICT LIABILITY, OR TORT (INCLUDING NEGLIGENCE OR OTHERWISE) ARISING IN ANY WAY OUT OF THE PUBLICATION, USE OF, OR RELIANCE UPON ANY STANDARD, EVEN IF ADVISED OF THE POSSIBILITY OF SUCH DAMAGE AND REGARDLESS OF WHETHER SUCH DAMAGE WAS FORESEEABLE.

Translations

The IEEE consensus development process involves the review of documents in English only. In the event that an IEEE standard is translated, only the English version published by IEEE should be considered the approved IEEE standard.

Official statements

A statement, written or oral, that is not processed in accordance with the IEEE-SA Standards Board Operations Manual shall not be considered or inferred to be the official position of IEEE or any of its committees and shall not be considered to be, or be relied upon as, a formal position of IEEE. At lectures, symposia, seminars, or educational courses, an individual presenting information on IEEE standards shall make it clear that his or her views should be considered the personal views of that individual rather than the formal position of IEEE.

Comments on standards

Comments for revision of IEEE Standards documents are welcome from any interested party, regardless of membership affiliation with IEEE. However, IEEE does not provide consulting information or advice pertaining to IEEE Standards documents. Suggestions for changes in documents should be in the form of a proposed change of text, together with appropriate supporting comments. Since IEEE standards represent a consensus of concerned interests, it is important that any responses to comments and questions also receive the concurrence of a balance of interests. For this reason, IEEE and the members of its societies and Standards Coordinating Committees are not able to provide an instant response to comments or questions except in those cases where the matter has previously been addressed. For the same reason, IEEE does not respond to interpretation requests. Any person who would like to participate in revisions to an IEEE standard is welcome to join the relevant IEEE working group.

Comments on standards should be submitted to the following address:

Secretary, IEEE-SA Standards Board 445 Hoes Lane Piscataway, NJ 08854 USA

Laws and regulations

Users of IEEE Standards documents should consult all applicable laws and regulations. Compliance with the provisions of any IEEE Standards document does not imply compliance to any applicable regulatory requirements. Implementers of the standard are responsible for observing or referring to the applicable regulatory regulatory requirements. IEEE does not, by the publication of its standards, intend to urge action that is not in compliance with applicable laws, and these documents may not be construed as doing so.

Copyrights

IEEE draft and approved standards are copyrighted by IEEE under U.S. and international copyright laws. They are made available by IEEE and are adopted for a wide variety of both public and private uses. These include both use, by reference, in laws and regulations, and use in private self-regulation, standardization, and the promotion of engineering practices and methods. By making these documents available for use and adoption by public authorities and private users, IEEE does not waive any rights in copyright to the documents.

Photocopies

Subject to payment of the appropriate fee, IEEE will grant users a limited, non-exclusive license to photocopy portions of any individual standard for company or organizational internal use or individual, non-commercial use only. To arrange for payment of licensing fees, please contact Copyright Clearance Center, Customer Service, 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, MA 01923 USA; +1 978 750 8400. Permission to photocopy portions of any individual standard for educational classroom use can also be obtained through the Copyright Clearance Center.

Updating of IEEE Standards documents

Users of IEEE Standards documents should be aware that these documents may be superseded at any time by the issuance of new editions or may be amended from time to time through the issuance of amendments, corrigenda, or errata. An official IEEE document at any point in time consists of the current edition of the document together with any amendments, corrigenda, or errata then in effect.

Every IEEE standard is subjected to review at least every ten years. When a document is more than ten years old and has not undergone a revision process, it is reasonable to conclude that its contents, although still of some value, do not wholly reflect the present state of the art. Users are cautioned to check to determine that they have the latest edition of any IEEE standard.

In order to determine whether a given document is the current edition and whether it has been amended through the issuance of amendments, corrigenda, or errata, visit the IEEE-SA Website at <u>http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/Xplore/</u> or contact IEEE at the address listed previously. For more information about the IEEE–SA or IEEE's standards development process, visit the IEEE-SA Website at <u>http://standards.ieee.org.</u>

Errata

Errata, if any, for all IEEE standards can be accessed on the IEEE-SA Website at the following URL: <u>http://standards.ieee.org/findstds/errata/index.html</u>. Users are encouraged to check this URL for errata periodically.

Patents

Attention is called to the possibility that implementation of this standard may require use of subject matter covered by patent rights. By publication of this standard, no position is taken by the IEEE with respect to the existence or validity of any patent rights in connection therewith. If a patent holder or patent applicant has filed a statement of assurance via an Accepted Letter of Assurance, then the statement is listed on the IEEE-SA Website at http://standards.ieee.org/about/sasb/patcom/patents.html. Letters of Assurance may indicate whether the Submitter is willing or unwilling to grant licenses under patent rights without compensation or under reasonable rates, with reasonable terms and conditions that are demonstrably free of any unfair discrimination to applicants desiring to obtain such licenses.

Essential Patent Claims may exist for which a Letter of Assurance has not been received. The IEEE is not responsible for identifying Essential Patent Claims for which a license may be required, for conducting inquiries into the legal validity or scope of Patents Claims, or determining whether any licensing terms or conditions provided in connection with submission of a Letter of Assurance, if any, or in any licensing agreements are reasonable or non-discriminatory. Users of this standard are expressly advised that determination of the validity of any patent rights, and the risk of infringement of such rights, is entirely their own responsibility. Further information may be obtained from the IEEE Standards Association.

Participants

At the time this IEEE recommended practice was completed, the Identification, Testing, and Evaluation of the Dynamic Performance of Excitation Control Systems Working Group had the following membership:

Les Hajagos, Chair Robert Thornton-Jones, Vice Chair Leonardo Lima, Secretary

- Matthias Baechle Michael Basler Michael Faltas James Feltes Namal Fernando Luc Gerin-Lajoie Alexander Glaninger-Katschnig Joseph Hurley Chavdar Ivanov
- Kiyong Kim Ruediger Kutzner Eric Lambert Shawn McMullen Richard Mummert Shawn Patterson Juan Sanchez-Gasca Richard Schaefer
- Alexander Schneider Uwe Seeger Jay Senthil Dinemayer Silva Paul Smulders Kurt Sullivan José Taborda David Thumser Stephane Vignola

The following members of the individual balloting committee voted on this recommended practice. Balloters may have voted for approval, disapproval, or abstention.

Ali Al Awazi Eugene Asbury Matthias Baechle Michael Basler Andrew Bennett William Bloethe Gustavo Brunello Luis Coronado Matthew Davis Gary Donner Namal Fernando Rostyslaw Fostiak Alexander Glaninger-Katschnig Randall Groves James Gurney Les Hajagos Werner Hoelzl Benjamin Hynes

Relu Ilie Richard Jackson Innocent Kamwa Yuri Khersonsky Jim Kulchisky Andreas Kunkel Ruediger Kutzner Michael Lauxman Leonardo Lima Om Malik Shawn McMullen Charles Morse Arthur Neubauer Michael Newman Pierre Ouellette Lorraine Padden Eli Pajuelo Shawn Patterson

Howard Penrose Christopher Petrola Steven Sano Richard Schaefer Alexander Schneider Uwe Seeger Paul Smulders Kurt Sullivan José Taborda Robert Thornton-Jones David Thumser James Timperley Eric Toft James Van De Ligt Gerald Vaughn John Vergis Kenneth White Jian Yu

When the IEEE-SA Standards Board approved this recommended practice on 15 May 2016, it had the following membership:

Jean-Philippe Faure, Chair Ted Burse, Vice Chair John D. Kulick, Past Chair Konstantinos Karachalios, Secretary

Chuck Adams Masayuki Ariyoshi Stephen Dukes Jianbin Fan J. Travis Griffith Gary Hoffman Ronald W. Hotchkiss Michael Janezic Joseph L. Koepfinger* Hung Ling Kevin Lu Annette D. Reilly Gary Robinson Mehmet Ulema Yingli Wen Howard Wolfman Don Wright Yu Yuan Daidi Zhong

*Member Emeritus

Introduction

This introduction is not part of IEEE Std 421.5TM-2016, IEEE Recommended Practice for Excitation System Models for Power System Stability Studies.

Excitation system models suitable for use in large-scale system stability studies are presented in this recommended practice. With these models, most of the excitation systems presently in widespread use on large, system-connected, synchronous machines in North America can be represented.

This recommended practice applies to excitation systems applied on synchronous machines, which include synchronous generators, synchronous motors, and synchronous condensers. Since most applications of this recommended practice involve excitation systems applied to synchronous generators, the term *generator* is often used instead of *synchronous machine*. Unless otherwise specified, use of the term *generator* in this document should be interpreted as applying to the synchronous machine in general, including motors and synchronous condensers.

In 1968, models for the systems in use at that time were presented by the Excitation Systems Subcommittee and were widely used by the industry. Improved models that reflected advances in equipment and better modeling practices were developed and published in the IEEE Transactions on Power Apparatus and Systems in 1981. These models included representation of more recently developed systems and some of the supplementary excitation control features commonly used with them. In 1992 the 1981 models were updated and presented in the form of the recommended practice IEEE Std 421.5. In 2005 this document was further revised to add information on reactive differential compensation, excitation limiters, power factor and var controllers, and new models incorporating proportional-integral-derivative (PID) control.

The model structures presented are intended to facilitate the use of field test data as a means of obtaining model parameters. The models are, however, reduced order models and do not necessarily represent all of the control loops of any particular system. The models are valid for frequency deviations of $\pm 5\%$ from rated frequency and oscillation frequencies up to 3 Hz. These models would not normally be adequate for use in studies of subsynchronous resonance or other shaft torsional interaction behavior. Delayed protective and control features that may come into play in long-term dynamic performance studies are not represented. A sample set of data for each of the models, for at least one particular application, is provided.

Contents

1. Overview	1
1.1 Scope	1
1.2 Duckground	
1.4 Summary of changes and equivalence of models	3
1. I Summary of changes and equivalence of models	
2. Normative references	6
3. Definitions	6
4. Representation of synchronous machine excitation systems in power system studies	7
5. Synchronous machine terminal voltage transducer and current compensation models	9
5.1 Terminal voltage sensing time constant	9
5.2 Current compensation	10
6 Type DC Direct current commutator rotating excitor	12
6.1 General	13
6.2 Type DC1A excitation system model	13
6.3 Type DC1C excitation system model	14
6 4 Type DC2A excitation system model	16
6.5 Type DC2C excitation system model	
6.6 Type DC3A excitation system model	
6.7 Type DC4B excitation system model	18
6.8 Type DC4C excitation system model	18
7. Type AC—Alternator supplied rectifier excitation systems	
7. Type AC—Alternator supplied rectifier excitation systems	
 7. Type AC—Alternator supplied rectifier excitation systems	
 7. Type AC—Alternator supplied rectifier excitation systems	20 20 21 21
 7. Type AC—Alternator supplied rectifier excitation systems	
 7. Type AC—Alternator supplied rectifier excitation systems	20 20 21 21 22 22 22
 7. Type AC—Alternator supplied rectifier excitation systems	20 20 21 21 22 22 22 23 23
 7. Type AC—Alternator supplied rectifier excitation systems	20 20 21 21 22 22 22 23 23 24 24
 7. Type AC—Alternator supplied rectifier excitation systems	20 20 21 21 22 22 22 23 23 24 24 24
 7. Type AC—Alternator supplied rectifier excitation systems. 7.1 General	20 20 21 21 22 22 22 23 24 24 24 25 25
 7. Type AC—Alternator supplied rectifier excitation systems. 7.1 General	20 20 21 21 22 22 23 23 24 24 24 25 25 25 26
 7. Type AC—Alternator supplied rectifier excitation systems	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
 7. Type AC—Alternator supplied rectifier excitation systems	$ \begin{array}{r} 20 \\ 21 \\ 21 \\ 22 \\ 22 \\ 22 \\ 23 \\ 24 \\ 24 \\ 25 \\ 25 \\ 26 \\$
 7. Type AC—Alternator supplied rectifier excitation systems	$\begin{array}{c} 20\\ 20\\ 21\\ 21\\ 22\\ 22\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\\ 24\\ 24\\ 25\\ 25\\ 26\\ 26\\ 26\\ 26\\ 27\\ 27\\ 27\\ 27\\ 27\\ 27\\ 27\\ 26\\ 27\\ 27\\ 27\\ 27\\ 27\\ 27\\ 20\\ 20\\ 20\\ 27\\ 20\\ 20\\ 20\\ 20\\ 20\\ 20\\ 20\\ 20\\ 20\\ 20$
 7. Type AC—Alternator supplied rectifier excitation systems. 7.1 General	20 20 21 21 22 22 23 24 24 24 25 25 26 26 26 27 28
 7. Type AC—Alternator supplied rectifier excitation systems. 7.1 General. 7.2 Type AC1A excitation system model. 7.3 Type AC1C excitation system model. 7.4 Type AC2A excitation system model. 7.5 Type AC2C excitation system model. 7.6 Type AC3A excitation system model. 7.7 Type AC3C excitation system model. 7.8 Type AC4A excitation system model. 7.9 Type AC4A excitation system model. 7.10 Type AC5A excitation system model. 7.11 Type AC5C excitation system model. 7.12 Type AC6A excitation system model. 7.13 Type AC5C excitation system model. 7.14 Type AC5C excitation system model. 7.15 Type AC6C excitation system model. 7.14 Type AC7B excitation system model. 7.15 Type AC7B excitation system model. 7.16 Type AC8B excitation system model. 	$\begin{array}{c} 20\\ 20\\ 20\\ 21\\ 21\\ 22\\ 22\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\\ 24\\ 24\\ 25\\ 25\\ 26\\ 26\\ 26\\ 26\\ 26\\ 27\\ 28\\ 30\\ 30\\ 30\\ \end{array}$
 7. Type AC—Alternator supplied rectifier excitation systems. 7.1 General. 7.2 Type AC1A excitation system model. 7.3 Type AC1C excitation system model. 7.4 Type AC2A excitation system model. 7.5 Type AC2C excitation system model. 7.6 Type AC3A excitation system model. 7.7 Type AC3C excitation system model. 7.8 Type AC4A excitation system model. 7.9 Type AC4A excitation system model. 7.10 Type AC5A excitation system model. 7.11 Type AC5A excitation system model. 7.12 Type AC4A excitation system model. 7.13 Type AC5A excitation system model. 7.14 Type AC5A excitation system model. 7.15 Type AC6A excitation system model. 7.15 Type AC6A excitation system model. 7.14 Type AC7B excitation system model. 7.15 Type AC7C excitation system model. 7.16 Type AC7B excitation system model. 7.17 Type AC7C excitation system model. 7.17 Type AC7C excitation system model. 7.16 Type AC7C excitation system model. 7.17 Type AC7C excitation system model. 7.16 Type AC8B excitation system model. 7.17 Type AC8C excitation system model. 	20 20 21 21 22 22 23 24 24 24 25 25 26 26 26 27 28 30 30 30
 7. Type AC—Alternator supplied rectifier excitation systems. 7.1 General 7.2 Type AC1A excitation system model 7.3 Type AC1C excitation system model 7.4 Type AC2A excitation system model 7.5 Type AC2C excitation system model 7.6 Type AC3A excitation system model 7.7 Type AC3C excitation system model 7.8 Type AC4A excitation system model 7.9 Type AC4A excitation system model 7.10 Type AC5A excitation system model 7.11 Type AC5C excitation system model 7.12 Type AC6A excitation system model 7.13 Type AC6A excitation system model 7.14 Type AC6A excitation system model 7.15 Type AC6A excitation system model 7.16 Type AC6A excitation system model 7.17 Type AC7B excitation system model 7.16 Type AC8B excitation system model 7.16 Type AC8B excitation system model 7.17 Type AC8C excitation system model 7.18 Type AC9C excitation system model 	$\begin{array}{c} 20\\ 20\\ 20\\ 21\\ 21\\ 22\\ 22\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\\ 24\\ 24\\ 24\\ 25\\ 25\\ 25\\ 26\\ 26\\ 26\\ 26\\ 27\\ 28\\ 30\\ 30\\ 32\\ 32\\ \end{array}$
 7. Type AC—Alternator supplied rectifier excitation systems	20 20 21 21 22 22 23 24 24 24 25 25 26 26 26 26 27 28 30 30 32 35
 7. Type AC—Alternator supplied rectifier excitation systems. 7.1 General. 7.2 Type AC1A excitation system model. 7.3 Type AC1C excitation system model. 7.4 Type AC2A excitation system model. 7.5 Type AC2C excitation system model. 7.6 Type AC3A excitation system model. 7.7 Type AC3C excitation system model. 7.8 Type AC4A excitation system model. 7.9 Type AC4A excitation system model. 7.10 Type AC5A excitation system model. 7.11 Type AC5C excitation system model. 7.12 Type AC6A excitation system model. 7.13 Type AC6C excitation system model. 7.14 Type AC6C excitation system model. 7.15 Type AC7E excitation system model. 7.16 Type AC7E excitation system model. 7.17 Type AC7E excitation system model. 7.18 Type AC7C excitation system model. 7.19 Type AC8C excitation system model. 7.10 Type AC7E excitation system model. 7.14 Type AC7E excitation system model. 7.15 Type AC7C excitation system model. 7.16 Type AC7E excitation system model. 7.17 Type AC8E excitation system model. 7.18 Type AC9C excitation system model. 7.19 Type AC10C excitation system model. 7.20 Type AC11C excitation system model. 	$\begin{array}{c} 20\\ 20\\ 21\\ 21\\ 21\\ 22\\ 22\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\\ 24\\ 24\\ 25\\ 25\\ 26\\ 26\\ 26\\ 26\\ 26\\ 26\\ 26\\ 26\\ 30\\ 30\\ 32\\ 35\\ 39\\ 39\\ \end{array}$
 7. Type AC—Alternator supplied rectifier excitation systems. 7.1 General 7.2 Type AC1A excitation system model 7.3 Type AC1C excitation system model 7.4 Type AC2A excitation system model 7.5 Type AC2C excitation system model 7.6 Type AC3A excitation system model 7.7 Type AC3C excitation system model 7.8 Type AC4A excitation system model 7.9 Type AC4A excitation system model 7.10 Type AC5A excitation system model 7.11 Type AC5A excitation system model 7.12 Type AC6A excitation system model 7.13 Type AC6A excitation system model 7.14 Type AC7B excitation system model 7.15 Type AC6A excitation system model 7.16 Type AC6A excitation system model 7.17 Type AC6A excitation system model 7.18 Type AC7C excitation system model 7.16 Type AC8B excitation system model 7.17 Type AC8C excitation system model 7.18 Type AC9C excitation system model 7.19 Type AC10C excitation system model 7.20 Type AC11C excitation system model 	$\begin{array}{c} 20\\ 20\\ 20\\ 21\\ 21\\ 22\\ 22\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\\ 24\\ 24\\ 24\\ 25\\ 25\\ 26\\ 26\\ 26\\ 26\\ 26\\ 26\\ 26\\ 26\\ 30\\ 30\\ 30\\ 30\\ 32\\ 35\\ 39\\ \end{array}$
 7. Type AC—Alternator supplied rectifier excitation systems. 7.1 General 7.2 Type AC1A excitation system model 7.3 Type AC1C excitation system model 7.4 Type AC2A excitation system model 7.5 Type AC2C excitation system model 7.6 Type AC3A excitation system model 7.7 Type AC3C excitation system model 7.8 Type AC4A excitation system model 7.9 Type AC4A excitation system model 7.10 Type AC5A excitation system model 7.11 Type AC5A excitation system model 7.12 Type AC6A excitation system model 7.13 Type AC6A excitation system model 7.14 Type AC7D excitation system model 7.15 Type AC6A excitation system model 7.16 Type AC6A excitation system model 7.17 Type AC6A excitation system model 7.18 Type AC6A excitation system model 7.16 Type AC7C excitation system model 7.17 Type AC7D excitation system model 7.18 Type AC7C excitation system model 7.19 Type AC10C excitation system model 7.10 Type AC10C excitation system model 7.11 Type AC10C excitation system model 7.12 Type AC7D excitation system model 7.14 Type AC7D excitation system model 7.15 Type AC7D excitation system model 7.16 Type AC7D excitation system model 7.17 Type AC8D excitation system model 7.18 Type AC9C excitation system model 7.19 Type AC10C excitation system model 7.20 Type AC11C excitation system model 8. Type ST—Static excitation systems. 	$\begin{array}{c} 20\\ 20\\ 20\\ 21\\ 21\\ 22\\ 22\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\\ 24\\ 24\\ 24\\ 25\\ 25\\ 25\\ 26\\ 26\\ 26\\ 26\\ 26\\ 26\\ 26\\ 26\\ 30\\ 30\\ 30\\ 30\\ 32\\ 35\\ 39\\ 41\\ \end{array}$
 7. Type AC—Alternator supplied rectifier excitation systems	$\begin{array}{c} 20\\ 20\\ 20\\ 21\\ 21\\ 22\\ 22\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\\ 24\\ 24\\ 25\\ 25\\ 25\\ 26\\ 26\\ 26\\ 26\\ 26\\ 26\\ 27\\ 28\\ 30\\ 30\\ 30\\ 32\\ 35\\ 39\\ 39\\ 41\\ 41\\ 41\\ 41\\ \end{array}$

8.3 Type ST1C excitation system model	42
8.4 Type ST2A excitation system model	44
8.5 Type ST2C excitation system model	44
8.6 Type ST3A excitation system model	45
8.7 Type ST3C excitation system model	46
8.8 Type ST4B excitation system model	47
8.9 Type ST4C excitation system model	47
8.10 Type ST5B excitation system model	48
8.11 Type ST5C excitation system model	49
8.12 Type ST6B excitation system model	49
8.13 Type ST6C excitation system model	50
8.14 Type ST7B excitation system model	52
8.15 Type ST7C excitation system model	
8.16 Type ST8C excitation system model	54
8.17 Type ST9C excitation system model	55
8.18 Type ST10C excitation system model	56
9 Type PSS—Power system stabilizers	59
9 1 General	
9.2 Type PSS1A power system stabilizer model	
9.3 Type PSS2A nower system stabilizer model	
9.4 Type PSS2B power system stabilizer model	
9.5 Type PSS2C power system stabilizer model	
9.6 Type PSS3B nower system stabilizer model	
9.7 Type PSS3C power system stabilizer model	
9.8 Type PSS4B nower system stabilizer model	
9.9 Type PSS4C nower system stabilizer model	
9 10 Type PSS5C power system stabilizer model	
9 11 Type PSS6C power system stabilizer model	
9.12 Type PSS7C power system stabilizer model	68
10 Type OFI — Overexcitation limiters	70
10.1 General	70 70
10.2 Field winding thermal canability	70 70
10.3 OFL types	
10.4 Type OFI 1B overexcitation limiter model	72
10.5 Type OELTD overexcitation limiter model	72
10.6 Type OEL2C overexcitation limiter model	
10.7 Type OELSC overexcitation limiter model	
10.8 Type OEL 5C overexpitation limiter model	
10.8 Type OEESe overexentation minter model	
11. Type UEL—Underexcitation limiters	81
11.1 General	81
11.2 Type UEL1 underexcitation limiter model	82
11.3 Type UEL2 Underexcitation limiter model	83
11.4 Type UEL2C underexcitation limiter model	84
12. Type SCL—Stator current limiters	
12.1 General	
12.2 Type SCL1C stator current limiter model	
12.3 Type SCL2C stator current limiter model	90
13 Types PF and VAR—Power factor and reactive power controllers and regulators	Q/1
13.1 General	94 0/
13.2 Power factor input normalization	94 ۵6
13.3 Voltage reference adjuster	100 I

 $$\rm X$$ Copyright © 2016 IEEE. All rights reserved.

13.4 Power factor controller Type 1	101
13 5 Var controller Type 1	102
13.6 Power factor controller Type 2	103
13.7 Var controller Type 2	104
14. Supplementary discontinuous excitation control	
14 1 General	105
14.2 Type DEC1A discontinuous excitation control	106
14.3 Type DEC1A discontinuous excitation control	108
14 4 Type DEC3A discontinuous excitation control	108
Annex A (normative) Nomenclature	110
Annex B (normative) Per-unit system	111
Annex C (normative) Saturation function and loading effects	115
C 1 General	115
C 2 Generator saturation	115
C 3 Rotating exciter saturation	116
Anney D (normative) Rectifier regulation	110
Annex D (normative) Rectifier regulation	119
Anney F (normative) Block diagram representations	122
E 1 General	122
E.1 Ochelal E 2 Simple integrator	122
E.2 Simple time constant	122
E.5 Shipe time constant	123
E.5 Proportional integral (DI) block	125
E.S Troportional-Integral derivative (DID) block	126
E.0 Hoportional-integral-derivative (HD) block	120
E. / Washout Diock	120
E. 0 Logical switch block	120
E.7 Logical switch block	120
Anney F (informative) Avoiding computational problems by eliminating fast-feedback loops	130
F 1 General	130
F_2 Type ΔC_3C excitation system model	130
F 3 Other Type AC excitation system models	133
1.5 Ould Type AC exchation system models	155
Annex G (normative) Paths for flow of induced synchronous machine negative field current	135
G 1 General	135
G 2 No special provision for handling negative field current	136
0.2 No special provision for handning negative new current	150
Anney H (informative) Sample data	137
H 1 General	137
H 2 Type DC1C excitation system	138
H 3 Type DC1C excitation system	130
H 4 Type DC2C excitation system	140
H 5 Type DC3A excitation system	140 1/1
H 6 Type AC1C excitation system	1/1
H 7 Type AC2C excitation system	1/12
H & Type AC2C excitation system	143
H Q Type ACAC excitation system	144 1/15
H 10 Type ΔC_5C excitation system	143
H 11 Type AC6C excitation system	143 1 <i>14</i>
H 12 Type ACOC excitation system	140 140
11.12 1 ype AC/C excitation system	148

xi Copyright © 2016 IEEE. All rights reserved.

H.13 Type AC8C excitation system	151
H.14 Type AC9C excitation system	152
H.15 Type AC10C excitation system	154
H.16 Type AC11C excitation system	155
H.17 Type ST1C excitation system	156
H.18 Type ST2C excitation system	159
H.19 Type ST3C excitation system	159
H.20 Type ST4C excitation system	162
H.21 Type ST5C excitation system	164
H.22 Type ST6C excitation system	165
H.23 Type ST7C excitation system	166
H.24 Type ST8C excitation system	167
H.25 Type ST9C excitation system	168
H.26 Type ST10C excitation system	169
H.27 Type PSS1A power system stabilizer	169
H.28 Type PSS2C power system stabilizer	169
H.29 Type PSS3C power system stabilizer	170
H.30 Type PSS4C power system stabilizer	170
H.31 Type PSS5C power system stabilizer	174
H.32 Type PSS6C power system stabilizer	175
H.33 Type PSS7C power system stabilizer	176
H.34 Type OEL1B overexcitation limiter	177
H.35 Type OEL2C overexcitation limiter	177
H.36 Type OEL3C overexcitation limiter	179
H.37 Type OEL4C overexcitation limiter	179
H.38 Type OEL5C overexcitation limiter	180
H.39 Type UEL1 underexcitation limiter	181
H.40 Type UEL2C underexcitation limiter	
H.41 Type SCL1C stator current limiter	
H.42 Type SCL2C stator current limiter	184
H.43 Power factor controller Type 1	186
H.44 Power factor controller Type 2	187
H.45 Var controller Type 1	187
H.46 Var controller Type 2	187
	100
Annex I (Informative) Manufacturer model cross-reference	188
Annex J (informative) Bibliography	191

IEEE Recommended Practice for Excitation System Models for Power System Stability Studies

IMPORTANT NOTICE: IEEE Standards documents are not intended to ensure safety, security, health, or environmental protection, or ensure against interference with or from other devices or networks. Implementers of IEEE Standards documents are responsible for determining and complying with all appropriate safety, security, environmental, health, and interference protection practices and all applicable laws and regulations.

This IEEE document is made available for use subject to important notices and legal disclaimers. These notices and disclaimers appear in all publications containing this document and may be found under the heading "Important Notice" or "Important Notices and Disclaimers Concerning IEEE Documents." They can also be obtained on request from IEEE or viewed at http://standards.ieee.org/IPR/disclaimers.html.

1. Overview

1.1 Scope

This document provides mathematical models for computer simulation studies of excitation systems and their associated controls for three-phase synchronous generators. The equipment modeled includes the automatic voltage regulator (AVR) as well as supplementary controls including reactive current compensation, power system stabilizers, overexcitation and underexcitation limiters, and stator current limiters. This revision is an update of the recommended practice and includes models of new devices which have become available since the previous revision, as well as updates to some existing models.

1.2 Background

When the behavior of synchronous machines is to be simulated accurately in power system stability studies, it is essential that the excitation systems of the synchronous machines be modeled in sufficient detail (see Byerly and Kimbark [B1], Kundur [B33]¹). The desired models should be suitable for representing the actual excitation equipment performance for large, severe disturbances as well as for small perturbations.

¹ The numbers in brackets correspond to those of the bibliography in Annex J.

A 1968 IEEE Committee Report [B21] provided initial excitation system reference models. It established a common nomenclature, presented mathematical models for excitation systems then in common use, and defined parameters for those models. A 1981 report [B23] extended that work. It provided models for newer types of excitation equipment not covered previously as well as improved models for older equipment.

This recommended practice, while based heavily on its previous version from 2005 and the 1981 report [B23], is intended to again update the proposed models, provide models for additional control features or new designs introduced since the previous version of the standard was published, and formalize those models into a recommended practice. Modeling work outside of the IEEE is documented in IEC/TR 60034-16-2 [B19]. Additional background is found in the 1973 IEEE Committee Report [B22].

To provide continuity between data collected using successive editions of this standard, a suffix "A" is used for the designation of models introduced or modified in IEEE Std 421.5-1992; a suffix "B" is used for models introduced or modified in IEEE Std 421.5-2005; and new models, introduced or modified in this version of the standard, are identified by the suffix "C."

Where possible, the supplied models are cross-referenced to commercial equipment and vendor names shown in Annex I. This information is given for the convenience of users of this standard and does not constitute an endorsement by the IEEE of these products. The models thus referenced may be appropriate for similar excitation systems supplied by other manufacturers. A sample set of data (not necessarily typical) for each of the models, for at least one particular application, is provided in Annex H.

The specification of actual excitation systems should follow IEEE Std 421.4TM, while the identification, testing, and evaluation of the dynamic performance of these excitation systems are covered in IEEE Std 421.2TM. Some specific definitions applicable to excitation systems are given in IEEE Std 421.1TM.

The models presented in this recommended practice are adequate to represent excitation systems that have been designed and commissioned per these IEEE 421 standards. On the other hand, simulation models are often used to assess the impact of equipment that did not follow accepted practices or requirements, so the models presented in this recommended practice might also be used to represent equipment that does not fulfill the requirements posed by these IEEE 421 standards. It should be recognized, though, that the models presented in this recommended practice might not be adequate to represent equipment that is far from the requirements and recommended practices in these IEEE 421 standards.

It should also be recognized that IEEE Std 115TM, despite being a standard focusing on testing the synchronous machine, is directly related to the excitation systems on these machines and therefore to the models presented in this recommended practice. The dynamic response of an excitation system cannot be properly tested and assessed without the associated model for the synchronous machine, which is assumed in this standard to have been determined based on the tests and methods described in IEEE Std 115.

1.3 Limitations

The model structures presented in this standard are intended to facilitate the use of field test data as a means of obtaining model parameters. However, these are reduced order models which do not necessarily represent all of the control loops of any particular system. In some cases, the model used may represent a substantial reduction, resulting in large differences between the structure of the model and the physical system.

The excitation system models presented in this standard are suitable for the analysis of transient stability and small-signal stability (rotor angle stability), as defined by the IEEE/CIGRÉ Joint Task Force [B20]. These models are also suitable for short-term simulations associated with frequency stability and voltage stability. In particular, all these excitation system models are appropriate for use with the generator models defined in IEEE Std 1110TM [B24].

IEEE Std 421.5-2016 IEEE Recommended Practice for Excitation System Models for Power System Stability Studies

The models themselves do not allow for regulator modulation as a function of system frequency, an inherent characteristic of some older excitation systems. The models are valid for frequency deviations of $\pm 5\%$ from rated frequency and oscillation frequencies up to about 3 Hz.

These models would not normally be adequate for use in studies of subsynchronous resonance, or other shaft torsional interaction behavior, as these studies would require modeling of higher frequency phenomena beyond the 3 Hz threshold indicated above. Delayed protective and control functions that may come into play in long-term dynamic performance studies are not represented. See additional information in Annex F.

These models might be a good starting point for long-term simulations, but they have not been defined in this standard with the requirements for long-term simulations in mind. It is expected that more detailed models might be required for long-term simulations, particularly when slower dynamic phenomena such as heating and temperatures might be of concern.

1.4 Summary of changes and equivalence of models

Table 1 to Table 9 summarize the evolution of the models since the 1992 edition of this recommended practice. These tables also provide a brief description of the latest updates to these models.

Model name		e			
Version	of IEEE St	td 421.5	Changes		
2016	2005	1992			
DC1C	DC1A	DC1A	Additional options for connecting OEL limits and additional limit V _{Emin}		
DC2C	DC2A	DC2A	Additional options for connecting OEL limits and additional limit V _{Emin}		
DC3A	DC3A	DC3A	No changes		
DC4C	DC4B	n/a	Additional options for connecting OEL and UEL inputs		

Table 1—Summary of changes in IEEE Std 421.5 Type DC models

Model name		e		
Version of IEEE Std 421.5		td 421.5	Changes	
2016	2005	1992		
AC1C	AC1A	AC1A	Additional options for connecting OEL and UEL inputs and limits on the rotating exciter model	
AC2C	AC2A	AC2A	Additional options for connecting OEL and UEL inputs and lower limit on the rotating exciter model	
AC3C	AC3A	AC3A	Additional options for connecting OEL and UEL inputs and inclusion of a proportional-integral-derivative (PID) controller option for the automatic voltage regulator	
AC4C	AC4A	AC4A	Additional options for connecting OEL and UEL inputs	
AC5C	AC5A	AC5A	Additional options for connecting OEL and UEL inputs and modified model for the representation of the rotating exciter	
AC6C	AC6A	AC6A	Additional options for connecting OEL and UEL inputs	
AC7C	AC7B	n/a	Additional options for connecting OEL and UEL inputs for the representation of the controlled rectifier power source	
AC8C	AC8B	n/a	Additional options for connecting OEL and UEL inputs, and additional flexibility for the representation of the controlled rectifier power source	
AC9C	n/a	n/a	New model	
AC10C	n/a	n/a	New model	
AC11C	n/a	n/a	New model	

Table 2—Summary of changes in IEEE Std 421.5 Type AC models

Table 3—Summary of changes in IEEE Std 421.5 Type ST models

Model name		e		
Version of IEEE Std 421.5			5 Changes	
2016	2005	1992		
ST1C	ST1A	ST1A	Additional options for connecting OEL input	
ST2C	ST2A	ST2A	Additional options for connecting OEL and UEL inputs, modified parameters for	
			the representation of the power source, and additional PI control block	
ST3C	ST3A	ST3A	Additional options for connecting OEL and UEL inputs, modified position for the	
			block representing the rectifier bridge dynamic response, and additional PI control	
			block	
ST4C	ST4B	n/a	Additional options for connecting OEL and UEL inputs and additional block with	
			time constant, T_A . Additional time constant, T_G in the feedback path with gain, K_G	
ST5C	ST5B	n/a	Additional options for connecting OEL and UEL inputs	
ST6C	ST6B	n/a Additional options for connecting OEL and UEL inputs and additional		
			time constant T_A	
ST7C	ST7B	n/a	Additional time constant T_A	
ST8C	n/a	n/a	New model	
ST9C	n/a	n/a	New model	
ST10C	n/a	n/a	New model	

Model name		e			
Version of IEEE Std 421.5			Changes		
2016	2005	1992			
PSS1A	PSS1A		No changes		
PSS2C	PSS2B	PSS2A	Added fourth lead-lag phase compensation block and output logic		
PSS3C	PSS3B	n/a	Added output logic		
PSS4C	PSS4B	n/a	Added fourth band, the very low-frequency band		
PSS5C	n/a	n/a	New model		
PSS6C	n/a	n/a	New model		
PSS7C	n/a	n/a	New model		

Table 4—Summary of changes in IEEE Std 421.5 Type PSS models

Table 5—Summary of changes in IEEE Std 421.5 Type OEL models

Model name		e	
Version of IEEE Std 421.5			Changes
2016	2005	1992	
OEL1B	OEL1B		No changes
OEL2C	n/a	n/a	New model
OEL3C	n/a	n/a	New model
OEL4C	n/a	n/a	New model
OEL5C	n/a	n/a	New model

Table 6—Summary of changes in IEEE Std 421.5 Type UEL models

Model name		e			
Version	of IEEE S	td 421.5	Changes		
2016	2005	1992			
UEL1	UEL1		No changes		
UEL2C	UEL2	n/a	Added logic for the voltage bias, additional time constant, and ability to represent gain adjustment as a function of generator dispatch. Removed the input signal VFB and associated dynamic compensation parameters K_{FB} and T_{UL} .		

Table 7—Summary of changes in IEEE Std 421.5 Type PF models

	Model name		
Version of IEEE Std 421.5			Changes
2016	2005	1992	
PF type 1	PF type 1	n/a	No changes
PF type 2	PF type 2	n/a	No changes

IEEE Std 421.5-2016 IEEE Recommended Practice for Excitation System Models for Power System Stability Studies

	Model name		
Version of IEEE Std 421.5			Changes
2016 2005 1992			
VAR type 1	VAR type 1	n/a	No changes
VAR type 3	VAR type 2	n/a	No changes

Table 8—Summary of changes in IEEE Std 421.5 Type VAR models

Table 9—Summary of changes in IEEE Std 421.5 Type SCL models

Model name			
Version of IEEE Std 421.5			Changes
2016	2005	1992	
SCL1C	n/a	n/a	New model
SCL2C	n/a	n/a	New model

2. Normative references

The following referenced documents are indispensable for the application of this document (i.e., they must be understood and used, so each referenced document is cited in text and its relationship to this document is explained). For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments or corrigenda) applies.

IEEE Std 115[™], IEEE Guide for Test Procedures for Synchronous Machines: Part I—Acceptance and Performance Testing and Part II—Test Procedures and Parameter Determination for Dynamic Analysis.^{2, 3}

IEEE Std 421.1™, IEEE Standard Definitions for Excitation Systems for Synchronous Machines.

IEEE Std 421.2[™], IEEE Guide for Identification, Testing, and Evaluation of the Dynamic Performance of Excitation Control Systems.

IEEE Std 421.4[™], IEEE Guide for the Preparation of Excitation System Specifications.

3. Definitions, acronyms, and abbreviations

For the purposes of this document, the excitation system definitions presented in IEEE Std 421.1 apply. The *IEEE Standards Dictionary Online* should be consulted for terms not defined in IEEE Std 421.1.⁴

² IEEE publications are available from The Institute of Electrica and Electronics Engineers (<u>http://standards.ieee.org/</u>).

³ The IEEE standards or products referred to in this clause are trademarks of The Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Inc. ⁴*IEEE Standards Dictionary Online* is available at: <u>http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/xpls/dictionary.jsp</u>.

4. Representation of synchronous machine excitation systems in power system studies

The general functional block diagram shown in Figure 1 indicates various synchronous machine excitation subsystems. These subsystems may include a terminal voltage transducer and load compensator, excitation control elements, an exciter, and, in many instances, a power system stabilizer. Supplementary discontinuous excitation control may also be employed. Models for all of these functions are presented in this recommended practice.

The synchronous machine terminal conditions, used as inputs to the different subsystems shown in Figure 1 (e.g., V, I, P, Q, pf, V_{SI}) are usually measured or calculated from the generator potential and current transformer signals in the excitation system. In this standard, these values are considered to be the positive sequence, fundamental frequency components (phasor measurements) associated with these quantities.

Excitation control elements include both excitation regulating and stabilizing functions. The terms *excitation system stabilizer* and *transient gain reduction* are used to describe circuits in several of the models encompassed by "excitation control elements" in Figure 1 that affect the stability and response of those systems. Annex A describes nomenclature used in Figure 1.

Recently, modeling of field current limiters has become increasingly important, resulting in the expansion of Clause 10 and Clause 11 describing overexcitation and underexcitation limiters (OELs and UELs) respectively, and the addition of Clause 12 describing stator current limiters (SCLs). The individual excitation system models in this recommended practice show how the output signals from such limiters (V_{OEL} , V_{UEL} , and V_{SCL}) would normally be connected.

The output of the OEL and UEL models may be received as an input to the excitation system (V_{OEL} and V_{UEL}) at various locations, either as a summing input or as a gated input; but, for any one application of the excitation system model, only one connection for the V_{OEL} signal and one connection for the V_{UEL} connection would be used. The selection of the connection location for each of these signals should be independent of each other.

Similar to the OEL and UEL models, the SCL model may represent either a summation point or a take-over action. But, unlike the OEL and UEL models, the SCL model should define the signal V_{SCLsum} when representing a summation point action, but should define two signals, V_{SCLoel} and V_{SCLuel} , when representing a take-over action.

In the implementation of all of the models, provision should be made for handling zero values of parameters. For some zero values, it may be appropriate to bypass entire blocks of a model.

The per-unit system used for modeling the excitation system is described in Annex B.



Figure 1—Functional block diagram for synchronous machine excitation control system

Three distinctive types of excitation systems are identified on the basis of excitation power source:

- *Type DC excitation systems*, which utilize a direct current generator with a commutator as the source of excitation system power (Clause 6)
- *Type AC excitation systems*, which use an alternator and either stationary or rotating rectifiers to produce the direct current needed for the synchronous machine field (Clause 7)
- Type ST excitation systems, in which excitation power is supplied through transformers or auxiliary generator windings and rectifiers (Clause 8)

The following key accessory functions common to most excitation systems are also identified and described:

- Voltage sensing and load compensation (Clause 5)
- Power system stabilizer (Clause 9)
- Overexcitation limiter (Clause 10)
- Underexcitation limiter (Clause 11)
- Stator current limiter (Clause 12)
- Power factor and var control (Clause 13)
- Discontinuous excitation controls (Clause 14)

Modern excitation systems typically offer several different limiting functions such as OELs, UELs, stator current limiters (SCL), and volts-per-hertz (V/Hz) limiters. Previous versions of this recommended practice included models for the OEL and UEL, and this version is introducing models for the SCL, but not for the V/Hz limiter. This is not a reflection on the availability of V/Hz limiters in actual field installations, but rather on the industry's ability to develop a consensus on standard models and block diagrams to represent them.

Therefore, it is expected that future revisions of this recommended practice might include additional models for the SCL and possibly introduce models for the V/Hz limiter. Generally speaking, limiters are connected to the excitation system models in one of three possible ways:

- As an additional signal added to the voltage error calculation (AVR summing input)
- As a take-over signal, input to a high- or low-value logic gate in the excitation system model
- As part of an upper or lower limit in the excitation system model

Thus, it is expected that future revisions of this recommended practice might also require changes to the existing excitation system models to clearly indicate how these SCL and V/Hz limiter models would be connected.

Most excitation systems represented by the Type AC and ST models allow only positive current flow to the field winding of the machine, although some systems allow negative voltage forcing until the current decays to zero. Special provisions are made to allow the flow of negative field current when it is induced by the synchronous machine. Methods of accommodating this in the machine/excitation system interface for special studies are described in Annex G.

5. Synchronous machine terminal voltage transducer and current compensation models

5.1 Terminal voltage sensing time constant

The terminal voltage of the synchronous machine is sensed and is usually reduced to a dc quantity. While the filtering associated with the voltage transducer may be complex, it can usually be represented, for modeling purposes, by a single equivalent time constant T_R shown in Figure 2. For many systems, this time constant is very small and provision should be made to set it to zero.

It is realized that, for some systems, there may be separate and different time constants associated with the functions of voltage sensing and current compensation (see 5.2). This distinction does not normally need to be considered for modeling and in this document only one equivalent time constant, T_R , is used for the combined voltage sensing and compensation signal. Single-phase voltage and current sensing, in general, requires a longer time constant in the sensing circuitry to eliminate ripple.

5.2 Current compensation

Several types of compensation are available on most excitation systems. Synchronous machine active and reactive current compensation are the most common in modern digital controllers. Either droop compensation and/or line drop compensation may be used, simulating an impedance drop and effectively regulating a calculated voltage at some point other than the terminals of the machine.

Droop compensation takes its name from the drooping (declining) voltage profile with increasing power output on the unit. Line-drop compensation, also referred to as transformer-drop compensation, refers to the act of regulating voltage at a point partway within a generator's step-up transformer or, less frequently, somewhere along the transmission system. This form of compensation produces a rising voltage profile at the generator terminals for increases in output power.

A block diagram of the terminal voltage transducer and the load compensator is shown in Figure 2. These model elements are common to all excitation system models described in this document. Note that T_R is used to denote the equivalent time constant for the combined voltage sensing and compensation signal, as described in 5.1. The terminal voltage of the synchronous machine is sensed and is usually reduced to a dc quantity. While the filtering associated with the voltage transducer may be complex, it can usually be approximated, for modeling purposes, to the single time constant, T_R , shown. For many systems, this time constant is very small and provision should be made to set it to zero.

Figure 2 represents legacy systems described by Rubenstein and Wakley [B48] and it should be noted that the actual implementation of current compensation in modern digital exciters might not follow this exact phasor calculation. When current compensation is not employed ($R_C = X_C = 0$), the block diagram reduces to a simple sensing circuit. When compensation is desired, the appropriate values of R_C and X_C are entered. In most cases, the value of R_C is negligible, and usually neglected. In these cases, the reactive component of current is resolved to a scalar value, as is the terminal voltage. Care should be taken in order to have a consistent per-unit system utilized for the compensator parameters and the synchronous machine current base.



Figure 2—Terminal voltage transducer and optional current-compensation elements

The terminal voltage transducer output, V_c , is compared with a reference that represents the desired terminal voltage setting, as shown on each of the excitation system models. The equivalent voltage regulator reference signal, V_{REF} , is calculated to satisfy the initial operating conditions. Therefore, it takes on a value unique to the synchronous machine load condition being studied. The resulting error is amplified as described in the appropriate excitation system model to provide the field voltage and subsequent terminal voltage to satisfy the steady-state loop equations. Without current compensation, the excitation system, within its regulation characteristics, attempts to maintain a terminal voltage determined by the reference signal.

This type of compensation is normally used in one of the following two ways:

- a) *Droop compensation*—When synchronous machines are connected to the same terminal bus with no impedance between them, droop compensation is used to create artificial coupling impedance so that the machines will share reactive power appropriately and is mandatory for the stable operation of these parallel units. This corresponds to the choice of a regulating point within the synchronous machine. For this case, X_C would be a positive value and R_C would be greater than or equal to zero.
- b) Line drop compensation—When a single synchronous machine is connected through significant impedance to the system, or when two or more machines are connected through individual transformers, it may be desirable to regulate voltage at a point beyond the machine terminals. For example, it may be desirable to compensate for a portion of the transformer impedance and effectively regulate voltage at a point part way through the step-up transformer. For these cases, X_C would be an appropriate negative value, while R_C would be less than or equal to zero.

Some compensator circuits act to modify terminal voltage as a function of reactive and real power, instead of reactive and real components of current. Although the model provided in Figure 2 is equivalent to these circuits only near rated terminal voltage, more precise representation has not been deemed worthwhile. These and other forms of compensation are described by Rubenstein and Wakley [B48].

5.2.1 Cross-current compensation

The AVR feedback signal can include inputs from other synchronous machines when the machines are connected together on a low-voltage bus and share a common main output transformer. A general form of the AVR feedback signal for unit 1, V_{CI} , is shown in Equation (1).

$$V_{C1} = \left| \overline{V_T} + \left(R_{C11} + j X_{C11} \right) \overline{I_{T1}} + \left(R_{C12} + j X_{C12} \right) \overline{I_{T2}} \right|$$
(1)

where

 $\overline{V_T}$ is the ac terminal voltage (phasor) common to both generators

- \bar{I}_{Ti} is the ac terminal current (phasor) flowing out of generator *i*
- R_{Cij} is the resistive component of compensation of generator *i* for current flow out of generator *j*
- X_{Cii} is the reactive component of compensation of generator *i* for current flow out of generator *j*

The subscripts identify the signals associated with each of the two generators. The first subscript indicates the unit to which the load compensation is connected, while the second subscript indicates the source of the current signal to the compensation. This is the general form of the single machine compensation (i.e., with R_{C12} , X_{C12} equal to zero). A similar equation applies to the AVR input for the second unit with appropriate substitution of inputs and subscripts. This can be readily extended to more generators by including additional compensation terms.

In practice, the resistive component of compensation is rarely required on generators synchronized to large grids over high-voltage interconnections. This component of compensation is not even available on some manufacturers' designs. To simplify analysis, the resistive component of compensation is assumed to be zero, and the current signals are resolved into two components, shown in Equation (2).

$$\bar{I}_{T} = \frac{S_{T}^{*}}{\left|\bar{V}_{T}\right|} = \frac{P_{T} - jQ_{T}}{\left|\bar{V}_{T}\right|} = I_{P} - jI_{Q} = \frac{P_{T}}{\left|\bar{V}_{T}\right|} - j\frac{Q_{T}}{\left|\bar{V}_{T}\right|}$$
(2)

where

- $|\overline{V_T}|$ is the magnitude of the ac terminal voltage (phasor) of the generator
- \bar{I}_T is the ac terminal current (phasor) flowing out of the generator, considering the terminal voltage of the generator as the reference for phasor angles
- S_T^* is the complex conjugate of the ac apparent power output flowing out of the generator
- P_T is the active power output flowing out of the generator
- Q_T is the reactive power output flowing out of the generator
- I_P is the active current component of the terminal current, the component in phase with the terminal voltage, and thus corresponding to the active power flowing out of the generator
- I_Q is the reactive current component of the terminal current, the component in quadrature with the terminal voltage, and thus corresponding to the reactive power flowing out of the generator

When the current flowing out of the generator lags the voltage, the synchronous machine is operating in an overexcited mode and the reactive power output of the machine is considered positive, as shown in Equation (2). It is a common practice to consider the reactive component of the current (I_Q) and the associated reactive power (Q_T) both as positive values when terminal current lags the voltage, simply adjusting the phasor calculations as necessary. For relatively constant terminal voltage (i.e., changes of no more than a few percent from the nominal level), the amplitude of the active and reactive components of current is equal to the active and reactive power output of the generator when expressed in per-unit.

Disregarding the resistive components of the compensation and using the definition of the active and reactive components of the current from Equation (2), Equation (1) can be simplified as shown in Equation (3):

$$V_{C1} = \left| \left(\overline{V_T} \right| + X_{C11} I_{Q1} + X_{C12} I_{Q2} \right) + j \left(X_{C11} I_{P1} + X_{C12} I_{P2} \right) \right| \approx \left| \overline{V_T} \right| + X_{C11} I_{Q1} + X_{C12} I_{Q2}$$
(3)

where all variables have been previously defined in Equation (1) and Equation (2).

The latter approximation is based on the fact that changes in the active component of current have relatively little effect on the compensated voltage amplitude. On most modern digital systems, this algebraic equation is an exact representation of the compensated voltage (V_{Cl}) used as the AVR input signal, as the reactive component is resolved and multiplied by the compensation and then combined with the terminal voltage signal.

Referring to Equation (3), when the selected compensation is positive and the reactive current lags the voltage, the compensated voltage (V_{Cl}) becomes greater than the magnitude of the terminal voltage (V_T) . When a larger value V_{Cl} is presented to the AVR feedback input, the result is a reduction in excitation. Based on this, the type of compensation can be categorized as follows:

- a) $X_{C11} > 0, X_{C12} = 0$ Commonly referred to as *reactive droop*. The generator terminal voltage will exhibit a declining or drooping characteristic as reactive output increases.
- b) $X_{C11} < 0, X_{C12} = 0$ Commonly referred to as *transformer-drop* or *line-drop compensation*. The generator terminal voltage will exhibit a rising characteristic as reactive output increases.

c) $X_{C11} \neq 0, X_{C12} \neq 0$ Commonly referred as *cross-current compensation*, although the preferred terminology is *reactive differential compensation*. Through careful selection of the two coefficients (e.g., $X_{C12} = -X_{C11}$) this form of compensation can be used to offset or eliminate the drooping voltage characteristic while enforcing reactive current sharing between synchronous machines sharing a common low-voltage connection.

6. Type DC—Direct current commutator rotating exciter

6.1 General

Few new synchronous machines are being equipped with Type DC exciters, which have been superseded by type AC and ST systems, however many such systems are still in service. Considering the dwindling percentage and importance of units equipped with these exciters, the previously developed concept (see IEEE Committee Report, 1968 [B21]) of accounting for loading effects on the exciter by using the loaded saturation curve (Annex C) is considered adequate. Figure 3 presents the model used to represent a dc rotating exciter, which is used in all Type DC excitation system models, which may be either separately excited or self-excited as discussed in the 1981 IEEE Committee Report [B23]. When a self-excited shunt field is used, the value of the feedback gain K_E reflects the setting of the shunt field rheostat. In some instances, the resulting value of K_E can be negative and allowance should be made for this.

Most of these exciters utilize self-excited shunt fields with the voltage regulator operating in a mode commonly termed *buck-boost*. The majority of station operators manually track the voltage regulator by periodically trimming the rheostat set point so as to zero the voltage regulator output. This may be simulated by selecting the value of K_E so that initial conditions are satisfied with $E_{FE} = 0$, as described in the 1981 IEEE Committee Report [B23]. In some programs, if K_E is entered as zero, K_E is automatically calculated by the program to represent a self-excited shunt field and a trimmed rheostat as its initial condition.

If a non-zero value for K_E is provided, the program should not recalculate K_E , as a fixed rheostat setting is implied. For such systems, the rheostat is frequently fixed at a value that would produce self-excitation near rated conditions. Systems with fixed field rheostat settings are in widespread use on units that are remotely controlled.

A separately-excited dc rotating exciter is represented by a value for $K_E = 1$.

The term $S_E(E_{FD})$ is a non-linear function with values defined at two or more chosen values of generator field voltage E_{FD} , as described in Annex C. The output of this saturation block V_X is the product of the input E_{FD} and the value of the non-linear function $S_E(E_{FD})$ at this exciter output voltage.

IEEE Std 421.5-2016 IEEE Recommended Practice for Excitation System Models for Power System Stability Studies



footnotes:

(a) $V_X = E_{FD} \cdot S_E(E_{FD})$

Figure 3—DC commutator rotating exciter model

The representation of an excitation system with a dc commutator rotating exciter is not confined to using a Type DC model. Digitally based voltage regulators feeding dc rotating main exciters can also be represented with a Type AC excitation system model (e.g., AC6C or AC8C) by simply setting the parameters K_C and K_D in the type ac rotating exciter model to zero (see Figure 8). Also, if a more detailed representation of a dc rotating main exciter is desired, a suitable Type AC model with values for K_D and/or K_C can be applied.

The relationships between regulator limits and field voltage limits are developed in the 1981 IEEE Committee Report [B23].

Excitation systems incorporating rotating machines produce a field voltage output (E_{FD}) which is proportional to the rotating speed of the machine. This effect is negligible where speed deviations are small as is typical for dynamic studies of large, interconnected power systems. However, introduction of a perunit speed multiplier for field voltage might improve the simulation accuracy of off-nominal frequency events, such as system islanding, or open-circuit operation, where the unit speed may vary significantly.

This revision of the recommended practice does not represent the effect of speed deviations on the output of the dc rotating exciter models, but it should be noted that provision for the speed dependency may be found in some model implementations in commercial software.

Sample data for the models presented in this clause are presented in Annex H.

6.2 Type DC1A excitation system model

The DC1A excitation system model defined in the previous version of this recommended practice is being superseded by the model DC1C shown in 6.3. Any existing excitation system represented by the DC1A model could also be represented by the DC1C model with the same parameters, just defining the new parameter $E_{FDmin} = -99$ pu (large negative value). The major differences between the DC1A and DC1C models are related to additional options for the connection of OEL models, introduced in the new DC1C model. Refer to Table 1 for a summary of the changes in the models.

6.3 Type DC1C excitation system model

This model, described by the block diagram of Figure 4, is used to represent field controlled dc commutator exciters with continuously acting voltage regulators (especially the direct-acting rheostatic, rotating amplifier, and magnetic amplifier types).

\$14\$ Copyright $\ensuremath{\mathbb{C}}$ 2016 IEEE. All rights reserved.

IEEE Std 421.5-2016 IEEE Recommended Practice for Excitation System Models for Power System Stability Studies

The principal input to this model is the output from the terminal voltage transducer and current compensator model V_C described in Clause 5. At the summing junction, the terminal voltage transducer output V_C is subtracted from the voltage reference set point V_{REF} . The stabilizing feedback signal V_F is subtracted and the power system stabilizer output signal V_S , if present, is added to produce an error voltage. Similarly, limiters represented as summation point actions (V_{OEL} , V_{UEL} , and/or V_{SCLsum}) are also added to the calculation of the voltage error. In steady state, these last signals (V_F and V_S , V_{OEL} , V_{UEL} , and V_{SCLsum}) are zero, leaving only the terminal voltage error signal. The resulting signal is amplified in the regulator. The major time constant T_A and gain K_A associated with the voltage regulator are shown incorporating non-windup limits typical of saturation or amplifier power supply limitations. A discussion of windup and non-windup limits is provided in Annex E. These voltage regulators utilize power sources that are essentially unaffected by brief transients on the synchronous machine or auxiliaries buses. The time constants, T_B and T_C , may be used to model equivalent time constants inherent in the voltage regulator; but these time constants are frequently small enough to be neglected and provision should be made for zero input data.



(a) $V_X = E_{FD} \cdot S_E(E_{FD})$

Figure 4—Type DC1C dc commutator exciter

The voltage regulator output (or exciter field voltage, E_{FE}), is used to control the dc rotating exciter.

A signal derived from generator field voltage is normally used to provide excitation system stabilization (V_F) via the rate feedback block with gain K_F and time constant T_F .

The block diagram shown in Figure 4 has been modified, as compared to the DC1A block diagram defined in the previous version of this recommended practice, to include the appropriate connections for summation point or take-over overexcitation limiters and the lower limit E_{FDmin} .

6.4 Type DC2A excitation system model

The DC2A excitation system model defined in the previous version of this recommended practice is being superseded by the model DC2C shown in 6.5. Any existing excitation system represented by the DC2A model could also be represented by the DC2C model with the same parameters, defining the new parameter $E_{FDmin} = -99$ pu (large negative value). The major differences between the DC2A and DC2C models are related to additional options for the connection of OEL models, introduced in the new DC2C model. Refer to Table 1 for a summary of the changes in the models.

6.5 Type DC2C excitation system model

The model shown in Figure 5 is used to represent field-controlled dc commutator exciters with continuously acting voltage regulators having power supplies derived from the generator or auxiliaries bus. It differs from the Type DC1A model only in the voltage regulator output limits, which are now proportional to terminal voltage magnitude V_T .

It is representative of solid-state replacements for various forms of older mechanical and rotating amplifier regulating equipment connected to dc commutator exciters.

The block diagram shown in Figure 5 has been modified, as compared to the DC2A block diagram defined in previous version of this recommended practice, to include the appropriate connections for summation point or take-over overexcitation limiters, and the addition of a lower limit E_{FDmin} .



footnotes:

(a) $V_X = E_{FD} \cdot S_E(E_{FD})$

Figure 5—Type DC2C dc commutator exciter with bus-fed regulator

16 Copyright © 2016 IEEE. All rights reserved.

6.6 Type DC3A excitation system model

The systems discussed in the previous clauses are representative of the first generation of high gain, fastacting excitation sources. The Type DC3A model is used to represent older systems, in particular those dc commutator exciters with non-continuously acting regulators that were commonly used before the development of the continuously acting varieties.

These systems respond at basically two different rates, depending upon the magnitude of voltage error. For small errors, adjustment is made periodically with a signal to a motor-operated rheostat. Larger errors cause resistors to be quickly shorted or inserted and a strong forcing signal applied to the exciter. Continuous motion of the motor-operated rheostat occurs for these larger error signals, even though it is bypassed by contactor action. Figure 6 illustrates this control action.

The dc rotating exciter representation is described in 6.1 and its block diagram is shown in Figure 3. Note that no excitation system stabilizer is represented.

Depending upon the magnitude of voltage error, $V_{REF} - V_C$, different regulator modes come into play. If the voltage error is larger than the fast raise/lower contact setting, K_V (typically 5%), V_{Rmax} , or V_{Rmin} is applied to the exciter, depending upon the sign of the voltage error. For an absolute value of voltage error less than K_V , the exciter input equals the rheostat setting V_{RH} . The rheostat setting is notched up or down, depending upon the sign of the error. The travel time representing continuous motion of the rheostat drive motor is T_{RH} . A non-windup limit (see Annex E) is shown around this block, to represent the fact that when the rheostat reaches either limit, it is ready to come off the limit immediately when the input signal reverses.

The model assumes that the quick raise-lower limits are the same as the rheostat limits. In actual electromechanical and analog-electronic implementations, there is a smaller deadband K_R on the error signal V_{ERR} so there is no change of exciter field voltage while the voltage error is within this deadband. This deadband is represented in the older IEEE Type 4 model (IEEE Committee Report, 1968 [B2]) which may be used to represent some older equipment still in service. More modern systems utilize feedback or feed-forward stabilization, rather than relying on this deadband for stability.

The model does not account for changes in the exciter field time constant as a result of changes in field resistance (as a result of rheostat movement and operation of quick action contacts).



Figure 6—Type DC3A dc commutator exciter with non-continuously acting regulators

6.7 Type DC4B excitation system model

The DC4B excitation system model defined in the previous version of this recommended practice is being superseded by the model DC4C shown in 6.8. Any existing excitation system represented by the DC4B model could also be represented by the DC4C model, with practically the same parameters. The differences between the DC4B and DC4C models are mostly related to additional options for the connection of summation point UEL and OEL models, introduced in the new DC4C model.

Furthermore, the DC4C model offers a more flexible representation of the power source for the controlled rectifier connected to the rotating exciter field winding. Refer to Table 1 for a summary of the changes in the models.

Because of the new representation of the power source of the controlled rectifier, the DC4C model requires additional parameters, as compared to the DC4B model. Thus, the conversion of existing DC4B data into the new DC4C model would require setting $K_P = 1$, $\theta_P = 0$, $K_I = X_L = K_{CI} = 0$, $V_{Bmax} = 99$ pu (large number), and selecting the logic SW_I in position "A."

6.8 Type DC4C excitation system model

These excitation systems utilize a field-controlled dc commutator exciter with a continuously acting voltage regulator having supplies obtained from the generator or auxiliary services bus. The replacement of the controls only as an upgrade (retaining the dc commutator exciter) has resulted in a new model. The block diagram of this model is shown in Figure 7. This excitation system typically includes a PID automatic voltage regulator (AVR). An alternative rate feedback loop (K_F , T_F) for stabilization is also shown in the model if the AVR does not include a derivative term.

The block diagram shown in Figure 7 has been modified, as compared to the previous version of this recommended practice, by changing the sign of the connection of the summation point overexcitation limiter V_{OEL} .



Figure 7—Type DC4C dc commutator exciter with PID style regulator

This excitation system model is an extension of the DC4B model to include the representation of the power source for the controlled rectifier, as well as the rectifier loading and commutation effects are accounted for as described in Annex D. The logic switch SW_I determines if the power source of the controlled rectifier is derived from terminal voltage (position A) or is independent of the terminal voltage (position B). The function F_{EXI} is the same function F_{EX} shown in Annex D, but the input to the function I_{NI} should be calculated based on the exciter field current V_{FE} instead of the generator field current I_{FD} .

The DC4C model could be used to represent any equipment currently represented by the DC4B model. The DC4B model considers a simplified representation of the power source as derived from the generator terminal voltage. Thus, the logic SW_I in the DC4C model should be set to position "A," and $K_P = 1$, $\theta_P = 0$, $K_I = X_L = K_{CI} = 0$, $V_{Bmax} = 99$ pu (large number).

7. Type AC—Alternator supplied rectifier excitation systems

7.1 General

These excitation systems use an ac alternator and either stationary or rotating rectifiers to produce the dc generator field requirements. Loading effects on such exciters are significant, and the use of generator field current as an input to the models allows these effects to be represented accurately. These systems do not allow the supply of negative field current, and only the Type AC4C model allows negative generator field voltage forcing. Modeling considerations for induced negative field currents are discussed in Annex G. If these models are being used to design phase lead networks for power system stabilizers, and the local mode is close to 3 Hz or higher, a more detailed treatment of the ac rotating exciter may be needed. However, the models should be satisfactory for large-scale simulations.

Figure 8 presents the block diagram of the model for the ac rotating exciter with non-controlled rectifiers (see Ferguson, Herbst, and Miller [B13] and Gayek [B14]). The demagnetizing effect of load current I_{FD} on the exciter alternator output voltage V_E is accounted for in the feedback path that includes the demagnetization constant K_D . This constant depends on of the exciter alternator synchronous and transient reactances (see Ferguson, Herbst, and Miller [B13] and Gayek [B14]). A signal proportional to exciter field current V_{FE} is derived from the summation of signals from exciter output voltage V_E multiplied by $K_E + S_E(V_E)$ and generator field current I_{FD} multiplied by the demagnetization term K_D .

The term $S_E(V_E)$ represents saturation as described in Annex C. In some of the models, the exciter field current signal V_{FE} is used as the input to the excitation system stabilizing block with output V_F .

The diode characteristic in the exciter output imposes a lower limit of zero on the exciter output voltage, so the lower limit V_{Emin} should typically be set equal to zero. Exciter output voltage drop due to rectifier regulation is simulated by inclusion of the constant K_C (which is a function of commutating reactance) and the rectifier regulation curve F_{EX} as described in Annex D.

The upper limit V_{FEmax} represents a limit in the exciter field current V_{FE} . This limit can represent an instantaneous exciter field current limit, particularly if no explicit model for the overexcitation limiter, such as those models presented in Clause 10, is being represented. If an explicit OEL model is applied, and the OEL model represents the instantaneous field current limit, the user should set $V_{FEmax} = 99$ pu (large number) to avoid conflicts between these limiter actions.

Excitation systems incorporating rotating machines produce a voltage output E_{FD} which is proportional to the rotating speed of the machine. For dynamic studies of large, interconnected power systems, or where speed-deviations are very small, this effect is negligible. However, introduction of a per-unit speed multiplier for field voltage might improve the accurate simulation of off-nominal frequency events, such as system islanding or open-circuit operation, where the unit speed may vary significantly.

This revision of the recommended practice does not represent the effect of speed deviations on the output of the ac rotating exciter models, but it should be noted that provision for the speed dependency may be found in some model implementations in commercial software.

Sample data for the models presented in this clause are presented in Annex H.

IEEE Std 421.5-2016 IEEE Recommended Practice for Excitation System Models for Power System Stability Studies



Figure 8—AC rotating exciter with non-controlled rectifier model

7.2 Type AC1A excitation system model

The AC1A excitation system model defined in the previous version of this recommended practice is being superseded by the model AC1C shown in 7.3. Any existing excitation system represented by the AC1A model could also be represented by the AC1C model, with practically the same parameters.

The differences between the AC1A and AC1C models are mostly related to additional options for the connection of summation point UEL and OEL models, introduced in the new AC1C model, but it should be noted that the exciter field voltage limits V_{Rmax} and V_{Rmin} in the AC1A model have been renamed as E_{FEmax} and E_{FEmin} , respectively, in the AC1C model. Additional parameters V_{Emin} and V_{FEmax} have been introduced in the AC1C model, and these parameters should be set to $V_{Emin} = 0$ and $V_{FEmax} = 99$ pu (large number) in the AC1C model to match the block diagram of the AC1A model. Refer to Table 2 for a summary of the changes in the Type AC models.

7.3 Type AC1C excitation system model

The model shown in Figure 9 represents the field-controlled alternator rectifier excitation systems designated Type AC1C. These excitation systems consist of an alternator main exciter feeding its output via non-controlled rectifiers. The exciter does not employ self-excitation, and the voltage regulator power is taken from a source that is not affected by external transients.

For large power system stability studies, the exciter alternator and rectifier can be represented by the simplified model shown in Figure 8.

The block diagram shown in Figure 9 has been modified, as compared to the AC1A block diagram defined in the previous version of this recommended practice, to include the appropriate connections for summation point overexcitation limiters and underexcitation limiters. Additionally, the lower and upper limits of the rotating exciter are now defined by the parameters V_{Emin} and V_{FEmax} , respectively, as shown in Figure 8. Thus, converting data from the AC1A model into the AC1C model would require setting $V_{Emin} = 0$ and $V_{FEmax} = 99$ pu (large number).



(a) The AC rotating exciter block diagram is presented in Figure 8

Figure 9—Type AC1C alternator-rectifier excitation system with non-controlled rectifiers and feedback from exciter field current

7.4 Type AC2A excitation system model

The AC2A excitation system model defined in the previous version of this recommended practice is being superseded by the model AC2C shown in 7.5. Any existing excitation system represented by the AC2A model could also be represented by the AC2C model, with practically the same parameters. The major differences between the AC2A and AC2C models are related to additional options for the connection of summation point UEL and OEL models, introduced in the new AC2C model. A new parameter V_{Emin} has been introduced to represent the lower limit on the output of the rotating exciter. The diode characteristic in the exciter output imposes a lower limit of zero on the exciter output voltage, so the lower limit V_{Emin} should typically be set equal to zero, for brushless rotating exciters. Refer to Table 2 for a summary of the changes in the Type AC models.

7.5 Type AC2C excitation system model

The model shown in Figure 10, designated as Type AC2C, represents a high initial response fieldcontrolled alternator-rectifier excitation system. The alternator main exciter is used, feeding its output via non-controlled rectifiers. The Type AC2C model is similar to that of Type AC1C except for the inclusion of exciter time constant compensation and exciter field current limiting elements.

The exciter time constant compensation consists essentially of a direct negative feedback V_H around the exciter field time constant T_E , reducing its effective value and thereby increasing the small signal response bandwidth of the excitation system. The time constant is reduced by a factor proportional to the product of gains K_B and K_H of the compensation loop and is normally more than an order of magnitude lower than the time constant without compensation.

To obtain high initial response with this system, a very high pu forcing voltage limit E_{FEmax} is applied to the exciter field. A limiter-sensing exciter field current serves to allow high forcing but limit the current. By limiting the exciter field current, exciter output voltage V_E is limited to a selected value, which is usually determined by the specified excitation system nominal response. Although this limit is realized physically

IEEE Std 421.5-2016 IEEE Recommended Practice for Excitation System Models for Power System Stability Studies

by a feedback loop as described in Annex F, the time constants associated with the loop can be extremely small and can cause computational problems. For this reason, the limiter is shown in the model as a positive limit on exciter voltage back of commutating reactance, which is in turn a function of generator field current. For small limiter loop time constants this has the same effect, but it circumvents the computational problem associated with the high gain, small time constant loop.

The limits on V_E are used to represent the effects of feedback limiter operation, as described in Annex F.

The block diagram shown in Figure 10 has been modified, as compared to the AC2A block diagram defined in the previous version of this recommended practice, to include the appropriate connections for summation point overexcitation limiters and underexcitation limiters.



(a) The AC rotating exciter block diagram is presented in Figure 8

Figure 10—Type AC2C high initial response alternator-rectifier excitation system with non-controlled rectifiers and feedback from exciter field current

7.6 Type AC3A excitation system model

The AC3A excitation system model defined in the previous version of this recommended practice is being superseded by the model AC3C shown in 7.7. The only differences between the AC3A and AC3C models are related to additional options for the connection of summation point UEL and OEL models and takeover OEL model, introduced in the new AC3C model, and the addition of a PID controller to allow the representation of retrofit projects where a modern digital controller is added to the original exciter. Any existing excitation system represented by the AC3A model could also be represented by the AC3C model, with practically the same parameters. The additional parameters for the PID controller should be set as $K_{PR} = 1$, $K_{IR} = 0$, $K_{DR} = 0$, $T_{DR} = 0.1$, $V_{PIDmax} = 99$ pu (large number) and $V_{PIDmin} = -99$ pu (large negative number). Refer to Table 2 for a summary of the changes in the Type AC models.
7.7 Type AC3C excitation system model

The model shown in Figure 11 represents the field-controlled alternator-rectifier excitation systems designated Type AC3C. These excitation systems include an alternator main exciter feeding its output via non-controlled rectifiers. The exciter employs self-excitation and the voltage regulator power is derived from the exciter output voltage. Therefore, this system has an additional non-linearity, simulated by the use of a multiplier whose inputs are the voltage regulator command signal (V_A) and the exciter output voltage (E_{FD}) times K_R . This model is applicable to excitation systems employing static voltage regulators.

For large power system stability studies, the simplified exciter alternator synchronous machine model shown in Figure 8 can be used.

The excitation system stabilizer in this model has a non-linear characteristic. The feedback gain is K_F with exciter output voltage less than E_{FDN} . When exciter output voltage exceeds E_{FDN} , the value of the feedback gain becomes K_N .

The block diagram shown in Figure 11 has been modified, as compared to the AC3A block diagram defined in the previous version of this recommended practice, to include the appropriate connections for summation point overexcitation limiters and underexcitation limiters, and takeover overexcitation limiters and the addition of the PID control structure that can be used to represent retrofit projects where a modern digital controller has been installed on the original rotating exciter.



Figure 11—Type AC3C alternator-rectifier exciter with alternator field current limiter

7.8 Type AC4A excitation system model

The AC4A excitation system model defined in the previous version of this recommended practice is being superseded by the model AC4C shown in 7.9. Any existing excitation system represented by the AC4A model could also be represented by the AC4C model, with exactly the same parameters. The only differences between the AC4A and AC4C models are related to additional options for the connection of summation point UEL and OEL models and takeover OEL model, introduced in the new AC4C model. Refer to Table 2 for a summary of the changes in the Type AC models.

7.9 Type AC4C excitation system model

The Type AC4C alternator supplied controlled rectifier excitation system illustrated in Figure 12 is quite different from the other Type AC systems. This high initial response excitation system utilizes a full thyristor bridge in the exciter output circuit.



Figure 12—Type AC4C alternator-supplied controlled rectifier exciter

The voltage-regulator controls the firing of the thyristor bridges. The exciter alternator uses an independent voltage regulator to control its output voltage to a constant value. These effects are not modeled; however, transient loading effects on the exciter alternator are included. Exciter loading is confined to the region described as mode 1 in Annex D and loading effects can be accounted for by using the exciter load current and commutating reactance to modify excitation limits. The excitation system stabilization is frequently accomplished in thyristor systems by a series lag-lead network rather than through rate feedback. The time constant associated with the regulator and firing of the thyristors are represented by the parameters K_A and T_A , respectively.

The block diagram shown in Figure 12 has been modified, as compared to AC4A block diagram defined the previous version of this recommended practice, to include the appropriate connections for summation point overexcitation limiters and underexcitation limiters, and takeover overexcitation limiters.

7.10 Type AC5A excitation system model

The AC5A excitation system model defined in the previous version of this recommended practice is being superseded by the model AC5C shown in 7.11. Any existing excitation system represented by the AC5A model could also be represented by the AC5C model, with practically the same parameters. The major differences between the AC5A and AC5C models are related to options for the connection of summation point and takeover UEL and OEL models, and the model for representing the rotating exciter.

Unlike other Type AC models, the AC5A model uses loaded rather than open-circuit exciter saturation data in the same way as it is used for the DC models (Annex C). The AC5C model introduces the complete representation of the ac rotating exciter (Annex C) shown in Figure 8 and, therefore, additional parameters K_C , K_D , V_{Emin} , and V_{FEmax} have been introduced in the AC5C model. These parameters should be set to $K_C = 0$, $K_D = 0$, $V_{Emin} = 0$ and $V_{FEmax} = 99$ pu (large number) in the AC5C model to match the block diagram of the AC5A model. Refer to Table 2 for a summary of the changes in the Type AC models.

7.11 Type AC5C excitation system model

The model shown in Figure 13, designated as Type AC5C, is a simplified model for brushless excitation systems. The regulator is supplied from a source, such as a permanent magnet generator, which is not affected by system disturbances.

The AC5C model introduces the complete representation of the ac rotating exciter (Annex C) and, thus, the open-circuit exciter saturation data should be used. The AC5A model used the loaded exciter saturation data and, therefore, the parameters K_C and K_D in the AC5C model would have to be set equal to zero to match the block diagram and the dynamic performance of the AC5A model.



(a) The AC rotating exciter block diagram is presented in Figure 8

Figure 13—Type AC5C simplified rotating rectifier excitation system

7.12 Type AC6A excitation system model

The AC6A excitation system model defined in the previous version of this recommended practice is being superseded by the model AC6C shown in 7.13. Any existing excitation system represented by the AC6A model could also be represented by the AC6C model, with exactly the same parameters. The only differences between the AC6A and AC6C models are related to options for the connection of summation point and takeover OEL and takeover UEL models, introduced in the new AC6C model. Refer to Table 2 for a summary of the changes in the Type AC models.

7.13 Type AC6C excitation system model

The model shown in Figure 14 is used to represent field-controlled alternator-rectifier excitation systems with system-supplied electronic voltage regulators. The maximum output of the regulator (E_{FE}) is a function of terminal voltage magnitude V_T . The field current limiter included in the original model AC6A remains available (parameters V_{FElim} , K_H , V_{Hmax} , T_J , and T_H), although overexcitation limiters are now described more fully in Clause 10. The block diagram shown in Figure 14 has been modified, as compared

to the AC6A block diagram defined in the previous version of this recommended practice, to include the appropriate connections for summation point and takeover overexcitation limiters and underexcitation limiters. The variable V_R in the AC6A model corresponds to the exciter field voltage E_{FE} in the AC6C model.



Figure 14—Type AC6C alternator-rectifier excitation system with non-controlled rectifier and system supplied electronic voltage regulator

7.14 Type AC7B excitation system model

The AC7B excitation system model defined in the previous version of this recommended practice is now superseded by the model AC7C shown in 7.15. Any existing excitation system represented by the AC7B model could also be represented by the AC7C model. The AC7C model offers different options for the connection of overexcitation and underexcitation limiters, which are not available in the AC7B model. Furthermore, the AC7C model offers a more flexible representation of the power source for the controlled rectifier connected to the field winding of the rotating exciter. Refer to Table 2 for a summary of the changes in the Type AC models.

Due to the new representation of the power source of the controlled rectifier, the AC7C model requires additional parameters, as compared to the AC7B model. Thus, the conversion of existing AC7B data into the new AC7C model would require setting switch SW_1 to position "A," switch SW_2 to position "A" and $K_I = K_R = X_L = K_{CI} = \theta_P = 0$, and $V_{Bmax} = 99$ pu (large number).

Note that a number of modeling software implementations of the AC7B model requires parameter K_P to be set to zero to indicate use of an independent power source. Also note that documentation for some systems shows the product $K_P \times V_T$ to be set to 1 to indicate use of an independent power source. In these cases, when using the AC7C model, the user should select the logic SW_I on position "B," logic SW_2 on position "A," and set $K_P = 1$, $K_{CI} = K_R = 0$ and $V_{Bmax} = 99$ pu (large value) in the AC7C model to indicate use of an independent power source.

7.15 Type AC7C excitation system model

The block diagram of the AC7C model is shown in Figure 15.

These excitation systems consist of an ac alternator with either stationary or rotating rectifiers to produce the dc field requirements, as described in 7.1 and shown in Figure 8. Upgrades to earlier ac excitation systems, which replace only the controls but retain the ac alternator and diode rectifier bridge, have resulted in this new model as shown in Figure 15. Some of the features of this excitation system model include a high bandwidth inner loop regulating generator field voltage or exciter current (K_{F2} , K_{F1}), an instantaneous exciter current limit (V_{FEmax}) to protect the field of the ac alternator, and the PID control structure for the automatic voltage regulator (AVR). An alternative rate feedback loop (K_{F3} , T_F) is provided for stabilization if the AVR does not include a derivative term.

This excitation system model is an extension of the AC7B model, including the representation of the power source for the controlled rectifier. The user-selected logic switch SW_I determines if the power source of the controlled rectifier is derived from terminal voltage (position "A") or is independent of the terminal voltage (position "B"). The function F_{EXI} is the same function F_{EX} shown in Annex D, but the input to the function I_{NI} should be the exciter field current V_{FE} instead of the generator field current I_{FD} . In these cases, the user-selected logic switch SW_2 should be set to position "A."

The AC7C model also includes the possibility of representing an exciter that employs self-excitation and the voltage regulator power is derived from the exciter output voltage. In such case, the parameter K_R should be nonzero and the user-selected logic switch SW_2 should be set to position "B" and the model parameters associated with the calculation of the variable V_B should have no impact on the simulation.

The AC7C model could be used to represent any equipment currently represented by the AC7B model, as explained in 7.14.



\$29\$ Copyright © 2016 IEEE. All rights reserved.

IEEE Std 421.5-2016

IEEE Recommended Practice for Excitation System Models for Power System Stability Studies



(a) The AC rotating exciter block diagram is presented in Figure 8

- (b) SW₁ is a user-selection option. Position A corresponds to a power source derived from generator terminal voltage, such as an excitation transformer. Position B corresponds to a power source independent of generator terminal conditions, such as a pilot exciter.
- (c) SW_2 is a user-selection option. Position A corresponds to a power source from signal V_B . Position B corresponds to a power source derived from the rotating exciter output (E_{FD}).

(b)

Figure 15—Type AC7C alternator-rectifier excitation system: (a) block diagram, (b) notes and footnotes

7.16 Type AC8B excitation system model

The AC8B excitation system model defined in the 2005 version of this recommended practice is being superseded by the model AC8C shown in 7.16. Any existing excitation system represented by the AC8B model could also be represented by the AC8C model. The AC8C model offers different options for the connection of overexcitation and underexcitation limiters, which are not available in the AC8B model. Furthermore, the AC8C offers a more flexible representation of the power source for the controlled rectifier connected to the rotating exciter field winding. Refer to Table 2 for a summary of the changes in the Type AC models.

Because of the new representation of the power source of the controlled rectifier, the AC8C model requires additional parameters, as compared to the AC8B model. Thus, the conversion of existing AC8B data into the new AC8C model would require setting $K_I = X_L = K_{CI} = \theta_P = 0$, and $V_{Bmax} = 99$ pu (large number). The logic switch SW_I in the AC8C model should be set to position "B," to represent an independent power source.

7.17 Type AC8C excitation system model

The block diagram of the AC8C model is shown in Figure 16.

The AVR in this model consists of PID control, with separate constants for the proportional (K_{PR}), integral (K_{IR}) and derivative (K_{DR}) gains. The values for the constants are chosen for best performance for each particular generator excitation system. The Type AC8C model can be used to represent static voltage regulators applied to brushless excitation systems. Digitally based voltage regulators feeding dc rotating main exciters could also be represented with the AC8C model with the parameters K_C and K_D set to zero.

This excitation system model is an extension of the AC8B model to include the representation of the power source for the controlled rectifier, as well as accounting for the rectifier loading and commutation effects as described in Annex D. The user-selected logic switch SW_I determines if the power source of the controlled

rectifier is derived from terminal voltage (position "A") or is independent of the terminal voltage (position "B"). The function F_{EXI} is the same function F_{EX} shown in Annex D, but the input to the function I_{NI} should be the exciter field current V_{FE} instead of the generator field current I_{FD} .

The AC8C model could be used to represent any equipment currently represented by the AC8B model, as explained in 7.16.



\$31\$ Copyright © 2016 IEEE. All rights reserved.



footnotes:

- (a) The AC rotating exciter block diagram is presented in Figure 8
- (b) SW₁ is a user-selection option. Position A corresponds to a power source derived from generator terminal voltage, such as an excitation transformer. Position B corresponds to a power source independent of generator terminal conditions, such as a pilot exciter.

(b)

Figure 16—Type AC8C alternator-rectifier excitation system: (a) block diagram, (b) notes and footnotes

7.18 Type AC9C excitation system model

The block diagram of the excitation system AC9C is shown in Figure 17. The AC9C model may be applied to excitation systems consisting of an ac alternator with either stationary or rotating rectifiers (Glaninger-Katschnig, Nowak, Bachle, and Taborda [B16]). The user-selected logic switch SW_I determines if the power source of the controlled rectifier is derived from terminal voltage and current (position "A") or is independent of the terminal voltage (position "B"). The function F_{EXI} is the same function F_{EX} shown in Annex D, but the input to the functions I_{NI} and I_{N2} should be the exciter field current V_{FE} instead of the generator field current I_{FD} . Depending on the actual implementation of the potential and current source, the contribution factor is either multiplied or summed to the power stage output.

The model consists of a PID type voltage regulator followed by a PI current regulator in cascade. The model is based on IEC 60034-16-1991 [B19] Part 2 E.5. Both regulator blocks have non-windup limits. The compensated terminal voltage V_C (see Figure 2), the power system stabilizer (PSS) output signal V_S , and the voltage reference value V_{REF} are applied to the summing point at the input to the voltage regulator. The limiter signals, from the overexcitation limiter V_{OEL} and the underexcitation limiter V_{UEL} , are typically summed into the input of the current regulator.

The power stage control characteristic is represented by the gain K_A . The time constant T_A represents the time delay caused by the gate control unit and the power stage. The power stage consists either of a thyristor converter bridge or a chopper converter.

The parameter S_{CT} is provided to allow the selection of the power stage type, either a thyristor or a chopper converter. In the logic shown in Figure 17, if the parameter S_{CT} is different than 0, it represents a thyristor converter. When the parameter S_{CT} is set to 0 it represents a chopper converter, and the negative voltage field forcing limit is dependent on the free wheel factor K_{FW} .

The free wheel factor K_{FW} can be calculated as in Equation (4):

$$K_{FW} = \frac{R_{FW}}{R_{Fag}} \tag{4}$$

where

 R_{FW} is the free-wheel resistor in ohms

 R_{Fag} is the exciter air-gap field resistance, at a defined reference temperature, in ohms

The exciter air-gap field resistance is derived from the exciter air-gap field current and exciter air-gap field voltage.

The user-selected logic switch SW_I determines if the power source of the controlled rectifier is derived from terminal voltage and current (position "A") or is independent of the terminal voltage (position "B"). The functions F_{EXI} and F_{EX2} are the same as function F_{EX} shown in Annex D. The input to the functions I_{NI} and I_{N2} is the exciter field current V_{FE} instead of the generator field current I_{FD} .

Depending on the actual implementation of the potential and current source, the contribution factor is either multiplied or summed to the power stage output. The summation component (V_{B2}) corresponds to a compound power source derived from generator terminal current via a separate series diode bridge. It can be disabled by setting the parameter K_{I2} equal to zero. The lower limit applied to the signal V_I was introduced to prevent a possible division by zero in the calculation of I_{N2} . There are at least two possibilities that could lead to such division by zero: the parameter K_{I2} is equal to zero or the simulation corresponds to an open-circuit condition, so $I_T = 0$.



34 Copyright © 2016 IEEE. All rights reserved.

IEEE Std 421.5-2016 IEEE Recommended Practice for Excitation System Models for Power System Stability Studies



(a) block digram, (b) notes and footnotes

7.19 Type AC10C excitation system model

The block diagram of the excitation system of Type AC10C shown in Figure 18 represents an excitation system with a brushless exciter, which is fed from an independent source or is supplied via the generator terminals. The user-selected logic switch SW_I determines if the power source of the controlled rectifier is derived from terminal voltage and current (position "A") or is independent of the terminal voltage (position "B"). The functions F_{EXI} and F_{EX2} are the same function F_{EX} shown in Annex D, but the input to the functions I_{NI} and I_{N2} should be the exciter field current V_{FE} instead of the generator field current I_{FD} . The model additionally offers the capability of representing an additive component of the power source (added to the exciter field voltage) derived from the generator terminal current.

The model offers a common gain factor K_R and two lead-lag elements for the AVR as well as for the underexcitation and overexcitation limiters with independent control settings when a limiter is active, realized by the parallel configuration of lead-lag blocks. The appropriate control path is activated by the logic switches SW_{UEL} and SW_{OEL} , but exclusively when the V_{UEL} and/or V_{OEL} signals are connected to their respective alternate positions "B." The UEL is considered active for the logic switch SW_{UEL} when the output of the high-value (HV) gate associated with the UEL input alternate position "B" is equal to V_{UEL} . Similarly, the logic switch SW_{OEL} considers the OEL active when the output of the low-value (LV) gate associated with the OEL input alternate position "B" is equal to V_{OEL} . The model additionally offers alternate input positions for stator current limiter V_{SCL} . It should be noted that the logic switches SW_{UEL} and SW_{OEL} are not affected by the SCL signal, even when the SCL signal is connected to its alternate position "B."

The control signal V_{RI} is either directly controlling the power stage or serves as reference for a cascaded exciter field current V_{FE} control loop (see Figure 19). This current control subsystem is bypassed when the logic switch S_{WSS} is selected to position "A" (i.e., when gains K_{CR} and K_{LIM} are set equal to zero) and is active when switch S_{WSS} is on position "B." The current control subsystem also offers a limiter, which avoids overloads of the exciter machine and limits the exciter current to V_{FELIM} . This exciter field current limiter is active when the logic switch SW_{LIM} is set to position "B" (i.e., when gain K_{LIM} is greater than zero), and the limiter is bypassed when SW_{LIM} is set to position "A." The switch SW_{EXC} allows the choice of the feedback variable, either the generator field voltage E_{FD} (on those implementations where this signal is

available). On brushless units, the feedback should be from V_{FE} (proportional to exciter field current) as the generator field quantities are not available for measurement.

The model offers configurable inputs for the stabilizer (V_s) . The stabilizer signal can be added to the summing junction (voltage or limiter reference), or to the output of the gate-structure via separate, but identical control elements.

The limiters (signals V_{UEL} , V_{OEL} , and V_{SCL}) could be summation type (at the voltage reference) and/or takeover action. The type of action for the limiters is selected independently of each other.

The representation of the brushless exciter is equivalent to the model described in 7.1 and shown in Figure 8.



37 Copyright © 2016 IEEE. All rights reserved.

IEEE Std 421.5-2016

IEEE Recommended Practice for Excitation System Models for Power System Stability Studies



footnotes:

(a) The AC rotating exciter block diagram is presented in Figure 8

- (b) SW₁ is a user-selection option. Position A corresponds to a power source derived from generator terminal voltage, such as an excitation transformer Position B corresponds to a power source independent of generator terminal conditions, such as a pilot exciter.
- (c) This portion of the power source requires a special excitation transformer and an additional rectifier bridge, so it should be considered a special application. This portion of the model can be disabled by setting K₁₂ and K_{C2} equal to zero.
- (d) Position B is active when the UEL input location "B" is selected and the UEL is active. Position A is used, otherwise
- (e) Position B is active when the OEL input location "B" is selected and the OEL is active. Position A is used, otherwise.
- (f) The current control subsystem uses the signals V_{R1}, E_{FD} and V_{FE} shown in the (k) The SW_{LIM} logic described below is only applicable if the alternate block diagram. The current control subsystem is fully described in Figure 19.
- (g) The upper and lower limits for the block are calculated based on other model parameters: the gain K_R , the time constants T_{B1} and T_{C1} , and the limits V_{Rmax} and V_{Rmin}.

$$V_{max} = \frac{(V_{Rmax} - V_{Rmin}) T_{B1}}{---V_{min}} = -V_{min}$$

(h) The upper and lower limits for the block are calculated based on other model parameters: the gain K_{R} , the time constants T_{UB1} and $T_{\text{UC1}},$ and the limits V_{Rmax} and V_{Rmin}

(V_{Rmax}-V_{Rmin}) T_{UB1}

(i) The upper and lower limits for the block are calculated based on other model parameters: the gain K_{R} the time constants T_{OB1} and T_{OC1} , and the limits V_{Rmax} and V_{Rmin}.

$$V_{max} = \frac{(V_{Rmax} - V_{Rmin}) T_{OB1}}{K_R T_{OC1}} = -V_{Rmin}$$

(j) The upper and lower limits for the block are calculated based on other model parameters: the gain K_{R} , the time constants T_{B1} and T_{C1} , and the limits V_{RSmax} and V_{RSmin}

$$V_{max} = \frac{(V_{RSmax} - V_{RSmin}) T_{B1}}{K_R T_{C1}} = -V_{mi}$$

PSS input location "B" has been selected, otherwise V_{S1} = V_{S2} = 0. are active

$$V_{S1} = 0$$

$$V_{S2} = V_S$$
ELSE
$$V_{S1} = V_S$$

$$V_{S2} = 0$$
ENDIF

Figure 18—Type AC10C alternator-rectifier excitation system: (a) block diagram, (b) notes and footnotes

(b)

IEEE Std 421.5-2016 IEEE Recommended Practice for Excitation System Models for Power System Stability Studies



footnotes:

(a) User-selection option. Position A corresponds to feedback from generator field voltage (E_{FD}) and position B corresponds to feedback from exciter field current (V_{FE}).

(b) Position B of the SW_{LIM} logic switch is selected if the parameter K_{LIM} is non-zero. If K_{LIM}=0, position A is selected.

(c) Position B of the SW_{KCR} logic switch is selected if the parameter K_{CR} is non-zero. If K_{CR}=0, position A is selected.

(d) Position B of the SW_{SS} logic switch is selected if ($K_{CR}+K_{LIM}$) > 0. Otherwise, position A is selected.

Figure 19—Current control subsystem for Type AC10C excitation system model

7.20 Type AC11C excitation system model

The block diagram of the AC11C excitation system model is shown in Figure 20. This model is used to represent a brushless excitation system which is supplied from the generator's terminals or from a power source independent of the generator (e.g., a permanent magnet generator or a power supply considered independent from the generator terminal conditions). Additionally it offers a selection of compound circuits, which is added to the exciter field voltage. This additive circuit can be selected as a boost circuit, applied when faulted conditions in the system has caused a drop in the terminal voltage of the generator $(V_T < V_{Boost})$. The circuit is released as soon as the generator voltage recovers. The additive component can be permanently added, if V_{Boost} is set to a high value, such as 2 pu. This logic associated with the boost circuit is represented in the block diagram of Figure 20 by the logic switch SW_{Boost} . If terminal voltage is greater than the threshold V_{Boost} ($V_T > V_{Boost}$), SW_{Boost} is set to position "A," otherwise SW_{Boost} is set to position "B."

In this model the input for the power system stabilizer (V_S) can be connected at different locations, namely at the summing point or at the output of the AVR. This option allows the PSS to remain in service even when the limiter signals (V_{UEL} , V_{OEL} , and V_{SCL}) are connected at the input of the AVR, either as summation point limiters or take-over limiters.

The AVR controller is a PID control structure. Activation of a limiter causes an immediate change of the AVR transfer function to the controller path which corresponds to the activated limiter. The UEL is considered active for the logic switch SW_{UEL} when the output of the HV gate associated with the UEL input alternate position "B" is equal to V_{UEL} . Similarly, the logic switch SW_{OEL} considers the OEL active when the output of the LV gate associated with the OEL input alternate position "B" is equal to V_{UEL} . Similarly, the logic switch SW_{OEL} considers the OEL active when the output of the LV gate associated with the OEL input alternate position "B" is equal to V_{OEL} . The model additionally offers alternate input positions for stator current limiter (V_{SCL}). It should be noted that the logic switches SW_{UEL} and SW_{OEL} are not affected by the SCL signal, even when the SCL signal is connected to its alternate position "B."

It should be noted that this automatic transfer is only applicable when the UEL and/or OEL alternate input location "B" is selected, otherwise the logic switches SW_{UEL} and SW_{OEL} would remain in position "A." These logic switches are also independent of the SCL limiter, even if the SCL alternate input location "B" is selected.



\$40\$ Copyright © 2016 IEEE. All rights reserved.

IEEE Std 421.5-2016

IEEE Recommended Practice for Excitation System Models for Power System Stability Studies



footnotes:

(a) The AC rotating exciter block diagram is presented in Figure 8

- (b) SW₁ is a user-selection option. Position A corresponds to a power source derived from generator terminal voltage, such as an excitation transformer. Position B corresponds to a power source independent of generator terminal conditions, such as a pilot exciter.
- (c) The logic switch SW_{boost} depends on the user-selected parameter V_{boost}. SW_{boost} is in position A (boost source disabled) if V_T > V_{boost}. Otherwise in position B (boost source enabled). This voltage boost feature is essentially disabled when V_{boost} is set equal to zero.
- (d) Position B is active when the UEL input location "B" is selected and the UEL is active. Position A is used, otherwise.

(e) Position B is active when the OEL input location "B" is selected and the OEL is active. Position A is used, otherwise. (f) The SW_{LM} logic described below is only applicable if the alternate

(f) The SW_{LIM} logic described below is only applicable if the alternate PSS input location "B" has been selected, otherwise V_{S1} = V_{S2} = 0.

 $\label{eq:Formula} \begin{array}{l} \text{IF OEL or UEL are active} \\ V_{S1} = 0 \\ V_{S2} = V_S \\ \text{ELSE} \\ V_{S1} = V_S \\ V_{S2} = 0 \\ \text{ENDIF} \end{array}$

(b)

Figure 20—Type AC11C alternator-rectifier excitation system: (a) block diagram, (b) notes and footnotes

8. Type ST—Static excitation systems

8.1 General

In these excitation systems, voltage (and also current in compounded systems) is transformed to an appropriate level. Rectifiers, either controlled or non-controlled, provide the necessary direct current for the generator field.

While many of these systems allow negative field voltage forcing, most do not supply negative field current. For specialized studies where negative field current should be accommodated, more detailed modeling is required, as discussed in Annex G.

For many of the static systems, exciter ceiling voltage is very high. For such systems, additional field current limiter circuits may be used to protect the exciter and the generator rotor. These frequently include both instantaneous and time-delayed elements, so the models defined in the previous version of this recommended practice have been updated to provide additional flexibility regarding the connection of such limiters. Limiters are now described more fully in Clause 10 and Clause 11 of this document.

Refer to Table 3 for a summary of the changes in the Type ST models.

Sample data for these excitation system models are presented in Annex H.

8.2 Type ST1A excitation system model

The ST1A excitation system model defined in the previous version of this recommended practice is being superseded by the model ST1C shown in 8.3. Any existing excitation system represented by the ST1A

model could also be represented by the ST1C model, with exactly the same parameters. The only differences between the ST1A and ST1C models are related to additional options for the connection of summation point and takeover OEL models, introduced in the new ST1C model. Refer to Table 3 for a summary of the changes in the Type ST models.

8.3 Type ST1C excitation system model

The block diagram of the Type ST1C potential-source–controlled rectifier excitation system shown in Figure 21 is intended to represent systems in which excitation power is supplied through a transformer from the generator terminals or the unit's auxiliaries bus, and is regulated by a controlled rectifier. The maximum exciter voltage available from such systems is directly related to the generator terminal voltage (except as noted below).

In this type of system, the inherent exciter time constants are very small, and exciter stabilization may not be required. On the other hand, it may be desirable to reduce the transient gain of these systems for other reasons. The model shown is sufficiently versatile to represent transient gain reduction (Koessler [B31]) implemented either in the forward path (lead-lag block with time constants T_B and T_C , in which case the feedback gain K_F would normally be set to zero), or in the feedback path by suitable choice of rate feedback parameters K_F and T_F (in which case the lead-lag block should be ignored, either making T_B and T_C equal to zero, if allowed in the software implementation, or making $T_B = T_C$). Voltage regulator gain and any inherent excitation system time constant are represented by K_A and T_A , respectively.

The time constants of the second lead-lag block, T_{CI} and T_{BI} , allow for the possibility of representing transient gain increase, in which case T_{CI} would be greater than T_{BI} .

The way in which the firing angle for the bridge rectifiers is derived affects the input-output relationship, which is assumed to be linear in the model by choice of a simple gain (K_A). For many systems a truly linear relationship applies. In a few systems, the bridge relationship is not linearized, leaving this nominally linear gain a sinusoidal function, the amplitude of which may be dependent on the supply voltage. As the gain is normally set very high, a linearization of this characteristic is normally satisfactory for modeling purposes. The representation of the ceiling is the same whether the characteristic is linear or sinusoidal.

In many cases, the internal limits on V_I can be neglected. The field voltage limits that are functions of both terminal voltage and synchronous machine field current should be modeled. The representation of the field voltage positive limit as a linear function of synchronous machine field current is possible because operation of the rectifier bridge in such systems is confined to the mode 1 region as described in Annex D. The negative limit would have a similar current-dependent characteristic, but the sign of the term could be either positive or negative depending upon whether constant firing angle or constant extinction angle is chosen for the limit. As field current is normally low under this condition, the term is not included in the model.

As a result of the very high forcing capability of these systems, a field current limiter is sometimes employed to protect the generator rotor and exciter. The limit start setting (threshold) is defined by I_{LR} and the gain is represented by K_{LR} . To permit this limit to be ignored, provision should be made to allow K_{LR} to be set to zero. The field current limiter in the ST1C model should not be used concurrently with an explicit OEL model representing the instantaneous limit. When the explicit OEL model is added, the gain K_{LR} should be set to zero. This document describes overexcitation and underexcitation limiters more fully in Clause 10 and Clause 11 respectively.

While, for the majority of these excitation systems, a fully controlled bridge is employed, the model is also applicable to systems in which only half of the bridge is controlled, in which case the negative field voltage limit is set to zero ($V_{Rmin} = 0$).

The block diagram shown in Figure 21 has been modified, as compared to the ST1A block diagram defined in the previous version of this recommended practice, to include the appropriate connections for summation point or takeover overexcitation limiters. Refer to Table 3 for a summary of the changes in the Type ST models.



Figure 21—Type ST1C potential source–controlled rectifier exciter

43 Copyright © 2016 IEEE. All rights reserved.

8.4 Type ST2A excitation system model

The ST2A excitation system model defined in the previous version of this recommended practice is being superseded by the model ST2C shown in 8.5. Any existing excitation system represented by the ST2A model could also be represented by the ST2C model, with practically the same parameters. The differences between the ST2A and ST2C models are related to additional options for the connection of summation point and takeover OEL models, introduced in the new ST2C model, the addition of parameters V_{Bmax} and X_L in the representation of the power source for the excitation system, and the introduction of a PI control block in the AVR transfer function. Refer to Table 3 for a summary of the changes in the Type ST models.

The conversion of data from an existing ST2A model to the new ST2C model requires setting $X_L = 0$, $K_{PR} = 1$, $K_{IR} = 0$, and defining $V_{PImax} = V_{Bmax} = 99$ pu (large number) and $V_{PImin} = -99$ pu (large negative number).

8.5 Type ST2C excitation system model

Some static systems utilize both current and voltage sources (generator terminal quantities) to comprise the power source. These compound-source rectifier excitation systems are designated type ST2C and are modeled as shown in Figure 22. It is necessary to form a model of the exciter power source utilizing a phasor combination of terminal voltage (V_T) and terminal current I_T . Rectifier loading and commutation effects are accounted for as described in Annex D. The parameter E_{FDmax} represents the limit on the exciter voltage due to saturation of the magnetic components. The regulator controls the exciter output through controlled saturation of the power transformer components. The time constant T_E is associated with the inductance of the control windings.

The block diagram shown in Figure 22 has been modified, as compared to the ST2A block diagram defined in the previous version of this recommended practice, to include the appropriate connections for summation point or takeover overexcitation limiters, additional parameters in the representation of the power source, making the power source model equal to what is used in other models in this recommended practice, and the addition of a PI control block that would allow the representation of equipment retrofit with a modern digital controller.

IEEE Std 421.5-2016 IEEE Recommended Practice for Excitation System Models for Power System Stability Studies



Figure 22—Type ST2C compound-source rectifier exciter

8.6 Type ST3A excitation system model

The ST3A excitation system model defined in the previous version of this recommended practice is being superseded by the model ST3C shown in 8.7. Any existing excitation system represented by the ST3A model could also be represented by the ST3C model, with practically the same parameters.

The major differences between the ST3A and ST3C models are related to additional options for the connection of summation point and takeover OEL models, introduced in the new ST3C model, and the addition of a PI control block in the AVR transfer function. Refer to Table 3 for a summary of the changes in the Type ST models.

Additionally, the block representing the dynamic response of the controlled rectifier bridge has been moved to the output of the ST3C model. This might result in differences in the dynamic response of the model, if the feedback gain K_G is different than zero.

The conversion of data from an existing ST3A model to the new ST3C model requires setting $K_{PR} = 1$, $K_{IR} = 0$, and defining $V_{PImax} = 99$ pu (large number) and $V_{PImin} = -99$ pu (large negative number).

8.7 Type ST3C excitation system model

Some static systems utilize a field voltage control loop to linearize the exciter control characteristic as shown in Figure 23. This also makes the output independent of supply source variations until supply limitations are reached.

These systems utilize a variety of controlled rectifier designs: full thyristor complements or hybrid bridges in either series or shunt configurations. The power source may consist of only a potential source, either fed from the machine terminals or from internal windings. Some designs may have compound power sources utilizing both machine potential and current. These power sources are represented as phasor combinations of machine terminal current and voltage and are accommodated by suitable parameters in the model shown.



b) SW₁ is a user-selection option. Position A corresponds to a power source derived from generator terminal voltage, such as an excitation transformer. Position B corresponds to a power source independent of generator terminal conditions, such as a pilot exciter.

Figure 23—Type ST3C potential or compound source–controlled rectifier exciter with field voltage control loop

The excitation system stabilizer for these systems is provided by a series lag-lead element in the voltage regulator, represented by the time constants T_B and T_C . The inner loop field voltage regulator is composed of the gains K_M and K_G and the time constant T_M . This loop has a wide bandwidth compared with the upper limit of 3 Hz for the models described in this recommended practice. The time constant T_M may be increased for study purposes, eliminating the need for excessively short computing increments while still retaining the required accuracy at 3 Hz. Rectifier loading and commutation effects are accounted for as discussed in Annex D. The limit V_{Bmax} is determined by the saturation level of power components.

The block diagram shown in Figure 23 has been modified, as compared to the ST3A block diagram defined in the previous version of this recommended practice, to include the appropriate connections for summation point or takeover overexcitation limiters.

8.8 Type ST4B excitation system model

The ST4B excitation system model defined in the previous version of this recommended practice is being superseded by the model ST4C shown in 8.9. Any existing excitation system represented by the ST4B model could also be represented by the ST4C model.

The ST4C model has additional options, compared to the ST4B model, for connecting the OEL and UEL signals, allowing the representation of takeover or summation point limiters. The ST4C model also has additional logic to represent excitation systems that have an independent power supply that is not connected to the generator terminals. Refer to Table 3 for a summary of the changes in the Type ST models.

Because of these new features, the ST4C model requires additional parameters, as compared to the ST4B model. Thus, the conversion of existing ST4B data into the new ST4C model would require setting the user-selected logic switch SW_I to position "A." Additionally, the upper limit V_{Gmax} in the feedback loop for the field current regulator in the ST4C model should be set to $V_{Gmax} = 99$ pu (large number), if this upper limit is not represented in the specific ST4B model implementation, and the time constant T_G , in the ST4C model, should be set to zero.

8.9 Type ST4C excitation system model

This model is a variation of the Type ST3C model, with a proportional-integral (PI) regulator block replacing the lag-lead regulator characteristic that was in the ST3C model. Both potential and compound source rectifier excitation systems are modeled as shown in Figure 24. The PI regulator blocks have non-windup limits that are represented as described in Annex E.

The description of the rectifier regulation function F_{EX} is presented in Annex D. There is flexibility in the power component model to represent bus fed exciters (K_I and X_L both equal to zero), compound static systems ($X_L = 0$), and potential and compound source systems where X_L is not zero.

The block diagram shown in Figure 24 has been modified, as compared to the ST4B block diagram defined in the previous version of this recommended practice, to include the appropriate connections for summation point or takeover overexcitation limiters and underexcitation limiters and the addition of the time constant T_G on the feedback path with gain K_G . For compatibility with the ST4B model, the implementation of the ST4C model should allow the time constant T_G to be specified as zero, and thus ignored.

Additionally, the block representing the dynamic response of the controlled rectifier was moved to the output of the model, as compared to the block diagram of the ST4B model. It should be noted that this change might result in different dynamic response, compared to the ST4B model, if the feedback gain K_G is different than zero.

The ST4C model also includes a modification to the representation of the power stage of the excitation system. Through proper selection of the logic SW_I , it is now possible to represent systems where the ac power supply is independent of the terminal voltage of the generator.

Setting SW_I to position "A" corresponds to the ST4B structure, as defined in the previous version of this recommended practice: the generator field voltage is obtained by multiplying the output of the AVR by the terminal bus voltage magnitude V_T , representing the power source. Compatibility with the ST4B model would also require setting the parameter $K_P = 1$, and $K_I = X_L = K_C = \theta_P = 0$.

When the logic SW_l is set to position "B," the output is no longer dependent on terminal voltage.

The ST4C model could be used to represent any equipment currently represented by the ST4B model, as explained in 8.8.



Figure 24—Type ST4C potential, compound, or independent source–controlled rectifier static exciter

8.10 Type ST5B excitation system model

The ST5B excitation system model defined in the previous version of this recommended practice is being superseded by the model ST5C shown in 8.11. Any existing excitation system represented by the ST5B model could also be represented by the ST5C model, with exactly the same parameters. The only differences between the ST5B and ST5C models are related to additional options for the connection of summation point OEL and UEL models, introduced in the new ST5C model. Refer to Table 3 for a summary of the changes in the Type ST models.

8.11 Type ST5C excitation system model

The Type ST5C excitation system shown in Figure 25 is a variation of the Type ST1A model, with alternative overexcitation and underexcitation inputs and additional limits.

The block diagram shown in Figure 25 has been modified, as compared to the ST5B block diagram defined in the previous version of this recommended practice, to include the appropriate connections for summation point overexcitation and underexcitation limiters.



(a) Position B is selected when the UEL is active. Position A is used, otherwise.(b) Position B is selected when the OEL is active. Position A is used, otherwise.

Figure 25—Type ST5C static potential source excitation system

8.12 Type ST6B excitation system model

The ST6B excitation system model defined in the previous version of this recommended practice is being superseded by the model ST6C shown in 8.13. Any existing excitation system represented by the ST6B model could also be represented by the ST6C model.

The ST6C model introduces new options for the connection of summation point underexcitation limiter and takeover overexcitation limiter. The ST6C model also incorporates a modified model to represent the power source for the controlled rectifier. Refer to Table 3 for a summary of the changes in the Type ST models.

Due to these new features, the ST6C model requires additional parameters, as compared to the ST6B model. Thus, the conversion of existing ST6B data into the new ST6C model would require setting the logic SW_I to position "A" and setting $K_I = X_L = 0$. The new time constant T_A introduced in the ST6C model should also be set to zero.

8.13 Type ST6C excitation system model

The automatic voltage regulator (AVR) shown in Figure 26 consists of a PI voltage regulator with an inner loop field voltage regulator and pre-control. The field voltage regulator implements a proportional control. The pre-control and the delay in the feedback circuit increase the dynamic response. If the field voltage regulator is not implemented, the corresponding parameters K_{FF} and K_G are set to 0. The signal V_M represents the output of the rectifier bridge considering the limits of the power rectifier. The ceiling current limitation is included in this model. The power for the rectifier (V_B) may be derived from the generator terminals or from an independent source, depending on the user-selected logic switch SW_I .

The block diagram shown in Figure 26 has been modified, as compared to ST6B block diagram defined in the previous version of this recommended practice and revised in the associated corrigendum, to include the appropriate connections for summation point or takeover overexcitation limiters and underexcitation limiters. Additionally, the time constant T_A has been introduced, at the output of the model, to allow the representation of the equivalent effect of time delays and/or transducer time constants between the digital controller and the field voltage. For compatibility with the ST6B model, the implementation of the ST6C model should allow the time constant T_A to be specified as zero.

Additionally, the upper limit of the PI block with parameters K_{PA} and K_{LA} is either V_{Amax} or the output of the instantaneous field current limiter. This feature allows the voltage control path (AVR path) to track the field current limit when it is active and thus allow a smooth transition back to voltage control if the field current drops below the limit defined by the parameter I_{LR} .

The ST6C model could be used to represent any equipment currently represented by the ST6B model, as described in 8.12.



(a)

 $$51\$ Copyright © 2016 IEEE. All rights reserved.

IEEE Std 421.5-2016

IEEE Recommended Practice for Excitation System Models for Power System Stability Studies



(b)

Figure 26—Type ST6C static potential and compound source excitation system with field current limiter: (a) block diagram, (b) notes and footnotes

8.14 Type ST7B excitation system model

The ST7B excitation system model defined in the previous version of this recommended practice is being superseded by the model ST7C shown in 8.15. Any existing excitation system represented by the ST7B model could also be represented by the ST7C model with the same parameters, just defining the new parameter $T_A = 0$. Refer to Table 3 for a summary of the changes in the Type ST models.

8.15 Type ST7C excitation system model

The model ST7C in Figure 27 is representative of static potential source excitation systems. In this system, the AVR consists of a proportional-integral (PI) voltage regulator. A phase lead-lag filter in series allows introduction of a derivative function, although this is typically used only with brushless excitation systems. In that case, the regulator is of the PID type. In addition, the terminal voltage channel includes a phase lead-lag filter.

The AVR includes the appropriate inputs at its reference for overexcitation limiter V_{OEL} , underexcitation limiter V_{UEL} , and stator current limiter V_{SCLsum} . These limiters, when they work at voltage reference level, keep the PSS V_S in operation. However, the UEL limiter could also be connected to the HV gate acting on the output signal, representing a takeover action. Similarly, the AVR output signal passes through an LV gate that could be used to represent a takeover overexcitation limiter.

All control loops in the diagram, including limitation functions, are built to obtain a non-windup behavior of any integrator (see Annex E).

IEEE Std 421.5-2016 IEEE Recommended Practice for Excitation System Models for Power System Stability Studies



(a)

 $$53\$ Copyright © 2016 IEEE. All rights reserved.



footnotes:

(a) The signal V_{FB} is used as an input for the UEL2C model, when that UEL model is applied in conjunction with the ST7C model

(b)

Figure 27 — Type ST7C static potential source excitation system: (a) block diagram, (b) notes and footnotes

8.16 Type ST8C excitation system model

The static excitation model ST8C is shown in Figure 28. This model has a PI Type voltage regulator followed by a PI current regulator in cascade. Both regulator blocks have non-windup limits, see Glaninger-Katschnig, Nowak, Bachle, and Taborda [B16]. The cascaded AVR model is based on IEC 60034-16-1991 [B19] Part-2 E.5.

The compensated terminal voltage V_C (see also Figure 2), the PSS signal V_S , and the voltage reference setpoint value V_{REF} , are applied to the summing point at the input to the voltage regulator. The limiter signals, from the overexcitation limiters V_{OEL} and underexcitation limiters V_{UEL} , are typically summed into the input of the current regulator. For a detailed description and clarification on the cascaded AVR structure, see Glaninger-Katschnig, Nowak, Bachle, and Taborda [B16].

The logic switch SW_I determines if the power source of the controlled rectifier is derived from terminal voltage and current (position "A") or is independent of the terminal voltage (position "B"). The functions F_{EXI} and F_{EX2} are the same as function F_{EX} shown in Annex D. The input to the function I_{NI} and I_{N2} are calculated based on the generator field current I_{FD} .

Depending on the actual implementation of the potential and current source, the contribution factor is either multiplied or summed to the power stage output. The summation component (V_{B2}) corresponds to a compound power source derived from generator terminal current. The summation component V_{B2} can be disabled by setting parameters K_{I2} and K_{C2} equal to zero. The lower limit applied to the signal V_I was introduced to prevent a possible division by zero in the calculation of I_{N2} . There are at least two possibilities that could lead to such division by zero: the parameter K_{I2} is equal to zero or the simulation corresponds to an open-circuit condition, so $I_T = 0$.

IEEE Std 421.5-2016 IEEE Recommended Practice for Excitation System Models for Power System Stability Studies



(a) SW₁ is a user-selection option. Position A corresponds to a power source derived from generator terminal voltage, such as an excitation transformer. Position B corresponds to a power source independent of generator terminal conditions, such as a pilot exciter.

(b) This portion of the power source requires a special excitation transformer and an additional rectifier bridge, so it should be considered a special application. This portion of the model can be disabled by setting K₁₂ and K_{C2} equal to zero.

Figure 28—Type ST8C static potential-compound source excitation system

8.17 Type ST9C excitation system model

The static excitation model ST9C is shown in Figure 29. The voltage regulator implements a proportional-integral (PI) control logic.

A differential stage is included in the path of the compensated voltage V_C (see Figure 2) and the output of the differential stage is also added to the AVR summing point. This differential stage is intended to provide a faster reaction of the regulator in case of large voltage variations. A dead-band function $(+/-Z_A)$ is provided to eliminate the influence of the differential stage for small changes in the compensated voltage V_C .

The underexcitation limiter is also a PI regulator with its own proportional gain, but shares the integral path with the AVR. The appropriate integration time constant is selected depending on the error signals of UEL and AVR. The sign of the difference between the AVR error signal and V_{UEL} signal coming from the UEL model indicates whether UEL is active or the AVR is active.

The power converter stage is represented by a first-order filter with the gain K_{AS} and the time constant T_{AS} . The time constant T_{AS} represents the total delay caused by the gate control unit and power converter. The gain K_{AS} is proportional to the secondary voltage of the power potential transformer that feeds the power converter.

The logic switch SW_l determines if the power source of the controlled rectifier is derived from terminal voltage and current (position "A") or is independent of the terminal voltage (position "B"). The function F_{EX} is described in Annex D.



Figure 29—Type ST9C static potential-compound source excitation system

8.18 Type ST10C excitation system model

The static excitation model ST10C is shown in Figure 30. This model is a variation of the ST1C and ST5C models. In addition to ST5C model, this model offers alternatives for the application of the stabilizing signal V_S coming from the PSS. It offers the option to apply the PSS signal at the AVR summing junction (voltage reference) and/or at the output of the gate structure, via separate but identical control elements. Furthermore, it offers the flexibility to interconnect the limiter signals V_{UEL} , V_{OEL} , and V_{SCL} at different locations depending on the actual equipment configuration or settings. The ST10C model also offers independent control settings for when a limiter is active, realized by the parallel configuration of lead-lag blocks. The appropriate control path is activated by the logic switches SW_{UEL} and SW_{OEL} , but exclusively when the V_{UEL} and V_{OEL} signals are connected to their respective alternate positions "B." The UEL is

considered active for the logic switch SW_{UEL} when the output of the HV gate associated with the UEL input alternate position "B" is equal to V_{UEL} . Similarly, the logic switch SW_{OEL} considers the OEL active when the output of the LV gate associated with the OEL input alternate position "B" is equal to V_{OEL} .

The model additionally offers alternate input positions for stator current limiter (V_{SCL}). It should be noted that the logic switches SW_{UEL} and SW_{OEL} are not affected by the SCL signal, even when the SCL signal is connected to the alternate position "B."

The logic SW_{LIM} applies to the situation where the PSS is added to the voltage reference error under normal operation conditions, but is switched to the alternate position if one of the takeover limiters (OEL or UEL) becomes active. It should be noted that when the PSS option "B" is selected, the signals V_S for the other PSS input options should be considered as zero.

The logic switch SW_I determines if the power source of the controlled rectifier is derived from the terminal voltage and current (position "A") or is independent of the terminal voltage (position "B"). The function F_{EX} is described in Annex D.

IEEE Std 421.5-2016 IEEE Recommended Practice for Excitation System Models for Power System Stability Studies



58 Copyright © 2016 IEEE. All rights reserved.

IEEE Std 421.5-2016

IEEE Recommended Practice for Excitation System Models for Power System Stability Studies



- (c) Position B is active when the OEL input location "B" is selected and the OEL is active. Position A is used, otherwise.
- (d) The upper and lower limits for the block are calculated based on other model parameters: the gain K_R , the time constants T_{B1} and T_{C1} , and the limits V_{Rmax} and V_{Rmin}

$$V_{max} = \frac{(V_{Rmax} - V_{Rmin}) T_{B1}}{K_R T_{C1}} = -V_r$$

(e) The upper and lower limits for the block are calculated based on other model parameters: the gain K_R , the time constants T_{UB1} and T_{UC1} , and the limits V_{Rmax} and V_{Rmin}.

$$V_{max} = \frac{(V_{Rmax} - V_{Rmin}) T_{UB1}}{K_R T_{UC1}} = -V_{min}$$

model parameters: the gain K_{R} , the time constants T_{OB1} and T_{OC1} , and the

$$_{max} = \frac{(V_{Rmax} - V_{Rmin}) T_{OB1}}{K_{R} T_{OC1}} = -V_{min}$$

(g) The upper and lower limits for the block are calculated based on other model parameters: the gain $K_{\text{R}},$ the time constants T_{B1} and $T_{\text{C1}},$ and the limits V_{RSmax} and V_{RSmin}

$$V_{max} = \frac{(V_{RSmax} - V_{RSmin}) T_{B1}}{K_R T_{C1}} = -V_m$$

(h) The SW_{LIM} logic described below is only applicable if the alternate PSS input location "B" has been selected, otherwise $V_{S1} = V_{S2} = 0$

IF OEL or UEL are active $V_{S1} = 0$ $V_{S2} = V_S$ ELSE V_{S1} = V_S $V_{S2} = 0$ ENDIF

(b)

Figure 30—Type ST10C static potential-compound source excitation system: (a) block diagram, (b) notes and footnotes

9. Type PSS—Power system stabilizers

9.1 General

Power system stabilizers (PSS) are used to enhance damping of power system oscillations through excitation control (Excitation Systems Subcommittee [B12], de Mello and Concordia [B50]). Commonly used inputs are shaft speed, terminal frequency, and power (Larsen and Swann [B37]). Where frequency is used as an input, it should normally be terminal frequency, but in some cases a frequency behind a simulated machine reactance (equivalent to shaft speed for many studies) may be employed.

The stabilizer models provided below are generally consistent with the excitation models, with the range of frequency response outlined in the scope. They may not be applicable for investigation of control modes of instability, which normally occur above 3 Hz.

Stabilizer parameters should be consistent with the type of input signal specified in the stabilizer model. Parameters for stabilizers with different input signals may look very different while providing similar damping characteristics (Larsen and Swann [B37]).
Power system stabilizers can be installed on synchronous machines operating as synchronous condensers or machines operating as pumped-storage units. In these cases the stabilizer will need to have the ability to switch between different sets of parameters depending on the mode of operation at a particular time.

The output of the power system stabilizer models V_{ST} might be an input to the supplementary discontinuous control models (see Clause 14). Where the discontinuous control models are not used, the PSS model output signal V_{ST} should be equal to the signal V_S , input to the excitation system models described in the previous clauses ($V_S = V_{ST}$).

Refer to Table 4 for a summary of the changes in the Type PSS models.

Stabilizer output can be limited in various ways, not all of which are represented in the models presented in this clause. All models show simple stabilizer output limits, V_{STmax} and V_{STmin} . It should be noted that, for some systems, the stabilizer output is removed if the generator terminal voltage deviates outside a chosen band, as shown in the supplementary discontinuous excitation control model Type DEC3A of Figure 66. In other systems, the stabilizer output is limited as a function of generator terminal voltage as included in the Type DEC1A model of Figure 64.

9.2 Type PSS1A power system stabilizer model

Figure 31 shows the generalized form of a power system stabilizer with a single input. Some common stabilizer input signals V_{SI} are: speed, frequency of the terminal bus voltage, compensated frequency, and electrical power output (Excitation Systems Subcommittee [B12], Larsen and Swann [B37]).

The time constant T_6 may be used to represent a transducer time constant. Stabilizer gain is set by the term K_s and signal washout is set by the time constant T_5 .

The second-order block with parameters A_1 and A_2 allow some of the low-frequency effects of highfrequency torsional filters (used in some stabilizers) to be accounted for. When not used for this purpose, the block can be used to assist in shaping the gain and phase characteristics of the stabilizer, if required. The next two blocks allow two stages of lead-lag compensation, as set by the time constants T_1 to T_4 .



Figure 31—Type PSS1A single-input power system stabilizer

9.3 Type PSS2A power system stabilizer model

The PSS2A stabilizer model was superseded in the 2005 version of this recommended practice by the PSS2B model described in 9.4. Any existing PSS represented by the PSS2A model could also be represented by the PSS2B model, by setting the PSS2B parameters T_{10} and T_{11} equal to each other, so the third lead-lag block in the PSS2B model is ignored.

9.4 Type PSS2B power system stabilizer model

The PSS2C stabilizer model in 9.5 supersedes the PSS2B model. Any existing PSS represented by the PSS2B model could also be represented by the PSS2C model, by setting the PSS2C parameters associated with the fourth lead-lag block (T_{12} and T_{13}) in such way as the block is ignored. This is easily done, for instance, by setting $T_{12} = T_{13} = 1$.

9.5 Type PSS2C power system stabilizer model

This stabilizer model, shown in Figure 32, is designed to represent a variety of dual-input stabilizers, which normally use combinations of power and speed (or frequency, or compensated frequency) to derive the stabilizing signal.

In particular, this model can be used to represent two distinct types of dual-input stabilizer implementations as described below:

- a) Stabilizers that use the electrical power and the speed (or frequency, or compensated frequency) signals to calculate the integral of accelerating power (Excitation Systems Subcommittee [B12]) to make the calculated stabilizer signal insensitive to mechanical power change.
- b) Stabilizers which use a combination of speed (or frequency, or compensated frequency) and electrical power. These systems usually use the speed directly (i.e., without phase-lead compensation) and add a signal proportional to electrical power to achieve the desired stabilizing signal shaping.

While the same model is used for the two types of dual-input stabilizers described above, the parameters used in the model for equivalent stabilizing action are very different. For each input, two washouts can be represented (T_{W1} to T_{W4}) along with transducer or control lag time constants (T_6 , T_7). As described in E.7, provision should be made in the model to bypass any washout block when the associated washout time constant is set to zero. Phase compensation is provided by the four lead-lag blocks (parameters T_1 to T_4 , and T_{10} to T_{13}). A lead-lag block can be effectively bypassed by setting the lead and lag time constants to the same value.

For the integral of accelerating power PSS (Excitation Systems Subcommittee [B12]), K_{S3} would normally be 1, the washout block with parameter T_{W4} would be bypassed (its output set equal to its input, as shown in Figure 32 and also described in E.7) and K_{S2} would be equal to $T_7/2H$ where H is the total shaft inertia of all mechanically connected rotating components of the unit (see definition in Annex A). In addition, typically $T_{W1} = T_{W3}$, $T_{W2} = T_7$, and $T_6 = 0$. The first input signal (V_{S11}) would normally represent speed (or frequency, or compensated frequency) and the second input signal (V_{S12}) would be the generator electrical power output signal, in per unit of the generator MVA rating. The exponents M and N allow a "ramp-tracking" or simpler filter characteristic to be represented. To model all existing field uses of the "ramp-tracking" filter, the exponents M and N should allow integers up to 5 and 4, respectively. Typical values in use by several utilities are M = 5 and N = 1 or M = 2 and N = 4. Phase compensation is provided by the four lead-lag blocks (parameters T_1 to T_4 , and T_{10} to T_{13}).

The PSS2C model, unlike the PSS2B model, allows the representation of the PSS output logic associated with the generator active power output P_T : the PSS output depends on the generator active power output, as compared to the thresholds P_{PSSon} and P_{PSSoff} . These threshold values are used to define a hysteresis, so typically P_{PSSoff} is defined as somewhat lower value than P_{PSSon} , between 5% and 10% of the generator capability. If the thresholds values P_{PSSon} and P_{PSSoff} are set equal to zero, the model output V_{ST} should be equal to V_{PSS} for all values of active power output P_T . It should be noted that most implementations include a time delay or some similar timing mechanism when switching the logic of the PSS output, to avoid switching the PSS during a large electrical power oscillation. This timing is not represented and the user should be aware of the possibility of frequent operation of this logic during a simulation.



\$62\$ Copyright © 2016 IEEE. All rights reserved.

IEEE Std 421.5-2016

IEEE Recommended Practice for Excitation System Models for Power System Stability Studies

footnotes:



Figure 32—Type PSS2C dual-input power system stabilizer: (a) block diagram, (b) notes and footnotes

The PSS2C model, shown in Figure 32, is an extension of the PSS2A model from the 1992 version of this recommended practice and the PSS2B model from the 2005 version of this recommended practice. Thus, any PSS represented by the PSS2A model could be represented by the PSS2C model, with the time constants T_{10} to T_{13} set equal to each other, in order to bypass the third and fourth lead-lag blocks in the PSS2C model, and the threshold values for the output logic P_{PSSoff} set equal to zero. Similarly, any PSS represented by the PSS2B model could be represented by the PSS2C model, with the time constants T_{12} and T_{13} set equal to each other and the threshold values for the output logic P_{PSSoff} set equal to zero. Similarly, set equal to zero.

9.6 Type PSS3B power system stabilizer model

The PSS3C stabilizer model in 9.7 supersedes the PSS3B model defined in the previous version of this recommended practice. Any existing PSS represented by the PSS3B model could also be represented by the PSS3C model, by setting the PSS3C parameters associated with the PSS output logic (P_{PSSon} and P_{PSSoff}) to zero.

9.7 Type PSS3C power system stabilizer model

Figure 33 presents the block diagram for the PSS3C model. The PSS3C model has dual inputs, usually generator electrical power output ($V_{SII} = P_T$) and rotor angular speed deviation ($V_{SI2} = \Delta\omega$). The signals are used to derive an equivalent mechanical power signal. By properly combining this signal with electrical power a signal proportional to accelerating power is produced. The time constants T_I and T_2 represent the transducer time constants, and the time constants T_{WI} to T_{W3} represent the washout time constants for electric power, rotor angular speed, and derived mechanical power, respectively. In this model the stabilizing signal V_{ST} results from the vector summation of processed signals for electrical power and angular frequency deviation.

The desired amplitude and phase for the stabilizing signal is obtained by matching the polarity and magnitude of the gain constants K_{S1} and K_{S2} . Phase compensation is provided by the two second-order subsequent filters with parameters A_1 to A_8 . The maximum allowed influence of the stabilizing signal on the AVR may be adjusted with the limit values V_{STmax} and V_{STmin} .

IEEE Std 421.5-2016 IEEE Recommended Practice for Excitation System Models for Power System Stability Studies



footnotes:

(a) PSS output logic uses user-selected parameters P_{PSSon} and P_{PSSoff}. It also uses the signal V_{PSS}, shown in the block diagram, and the generator electrical power output P_T. The output logic implements the following hysteresis to define the output signal V_{ST}:



Figure 33—Type PSS3C dual-input power system stabilizer

9.8 Type PSS4B power system stabilizer model

The PSS4C stabilizer model in 9.9 supersedes the PSS4B model defined in the previous version of this recommended practice. Any existing PSS represented by the PSS4B model could also be represented by the PSS4C model, by setting the PSS4C parameters in order to ignore the very low-frequency band. This is easily done by setting the gain K_{VL} equal to zero.

9.9 Type PSS4C power system stabilizer model

The PSS4C model's structure is based on multiple working frequency bands as shown in Figure 35. Four separate bands respectively dedicated to the very low-, low-, intermediate-, and high-frequency modes of oscillations are used in this delta-omega (speed input) PSS.

The very low band is typically associated with the power-frequency fine adjustment, the load-frequency control (LFC). This band could also modulate the voltage and the load level when this PSS controls shunt compensators (static or synchronous) which are installed close to loads; when connected with compensators the input of the PSS is delta frequency of the bus.

The low band is typically associated with the power system global mode, the intermediate with the interarea modes, and the high with the local and inter-machine modes. Each of the four bands is composed of a differential filter, a gain, and a limiter. Their outputs are summed and passed through a final limiter V_{STnin}/V_{STnax} resulting in PSS output V_{ST} .

The PSS4C measures the rotor speed deviation in two different ways. The input signal $\Delta \omega_{L-I}$ feeds the very low, low, and intermediate bands while the input signal $\Delta \omega_H$ is dedicated to the high-frequency band. The equivalent model of these two-speed transducers is shown in Figure 34. Tuneable notch filters $N_i(s)$, can be used for turbo-generators with well-tuned notch filters attenuating PSS gain at torsional mode frequencies, generally above 10 Hz, as shown in Equation (5):

$$N_{i}(s) = \frac{s^{2} + \omega_{ni}^{2}}{s^{2} + B_{\omega i}s + \omega_{ni}^{2}}$$
(5)

where

 ω_{ni} is the filter frequency

 $B_{\omega i}$ is the 3 dB bandwidth



Figure 34—Type PSS4C speed deviation transducers



Figure 35—Type PSS4C multi-band power system stabilizer

\$65\$ Copyright © 2016 IEEE. All rights reserved.

9.10 Type PSS5C power system stabilizer model

The PSS5C model represents a simplifying model of the PSS4C. The principal difference is the transducer for which only one input is used as shown in Figure 36. Compared with the PSS4C model, this model is easier for tuning studies but it has a limitation as it cannot represent the rate of change of electrical power (MW/minute) which affects the output of the on-site stabilizer. For studying and stability software where $f \le 3$ Hz, the notch filters could be omitted.

Like the PSS4C, the PSS5C model represents a structure based on multiple working frequency bands as shown in Figure 36, but this model uses only four gains and four central frequencies and the ten limits associated for a total of eighteen parameters.



Figure 36—Type PSS5C multi-band power system stabilizer

9.11 Type PSS6C power system stabilizer model

The power system stabilizer model PSS6C shown in Figure 37 is related to the PSS3C model shown in 9.7. The PSS6C model also has dual inputs, usually generator electrical power output ($V_{SII} = P_T$) and rotor angular speed deviation ($V_{SI2} = \Delta \omega$). The signals are used to derive an equivalent mechanical power signal. By properly combining this signal with electrical power a signal proportional to accelerating power is produced. The time constants T_1 and T_2 represent the transducer time constants, and the time constant T_D represents the main washout time constant for the PSS.

Phase compensation is provided by adjustment of the time constants T_{i1} to T_{i4} and gains K_0 to K_4 . The gains K_{i3} and K_{i4} are used to include or remove the third and fourth states: these gains should be set to one to make the corresponding state active, or set to zero to eliminate the corresponding state.

\$66\$ Copyright © 2016 IEEE. All rights reserved.

It is possible to convert parameters from a PSS3B model into a PSS6C model and vice-versa, but this is not a trivial task, as it might require solving polynomials equations of up to fourth order. The structure of the block diagram between variables u and y in Figure 37 corresponds to a fourth-order state equation (when K_{i3} and K_{i4} are equal to 1) in the canonical form shown in Equation (6):

$$\dot{x} = \begin{bmatrix} -1/T_{i1} & -1/T_{i1} & -1/T_{i1} & -1/T_{i1} \\ 1/T_{i2} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1/T_{i3} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1/T_{i4} & 0 \end{bmatrix} x + \begin{bmatrix} -1/T_{i1} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} u$$

$$y = \begin{bmatrix} K_1 - K_0 & K_2 - K_0 & K_3 - K_0 & K_4 - K_0 \end{bmatrix} x - K_0 u$$
(6)

where

- *x* is the vector of state variables
- \dot{x} is the vector of the first derivatives of the state variables with respect to time
- *u* is the input to the transfer function, as shown in Figure 36
- *y* is the output to the transfer function, as shown in Figure 36



Figure 37—Type PSS6C dual-input power system stabilizer with canonical form equations

State equations can be converted to a transfer function using the well-known expression in Equation (7):

where

- *A* is the state matrix
- *B* is the input matrix (or vector, for a single-input transfer function)

67 Copyright © 2016 IEEE. All rights reserved.

- *C* is the output matrix (or vector, for a single-output transfer function)
- *D* is the feed-forward matrix (or scalar, for a single-input, single-output transfer function)
- *I* is the identity matrix
- *s* is the Laplace variable

Thus, using Equation (6) and Equation (7), the canonical form for the fourth-order PSS6C model would result in a transfer function between variables u and y shown in Equation (8):

$$G(s) = \frac{y(s)}{u(s)} = -\frac{(K_0 T_{i1} T_{i2} T_{i3} T_{i4})s^4 + (K_1 T_{i2} T_{i3} T_{i4})s^3 + (K_2 T_{i3} T_{i4})s^2 + (K_3 T_{i4})s + K_4}{(T_{i1} T_{i2} T_{i3} T_{i4})s^4 + (T_{i2} T_{i3} T_{i4})s^3 + (T_{i3} T_{i4})s^2 + (T_{i4})s + 1}$$
(8)

which is comparable to the block diagram for the PSS3B model, with the series connection of two secondorder blocks. Therefore, it is possible to convert parameters from a PSS3B model into a PSS6C model and vice-versa, but this is not a trivial task, as it might require solving fourth-order polynomials. Similar expressions for the transfer function G(s) can be obtained for the third-order ($K_{i3} = 1$, $K_{i4} = 0$) and secondorder ($K_{i3} = K_{i4} = 0$) transfer functions.

The main gain of the PSS is adjusted by the gain K_S and maximum allowed influence of the stabilizing signal on the AVR may be adjusted with the limit values V_{STmax} and V_{STmin} .

9.12 Type PSS7C power system stabilizer model

The PSS7C model shown in Figure 38 is a hybrid of the PSS2C model given in 9.5 and the PSS6C model from 9.10. The PSS7C model has exactly the same structure of the PSS2C model from the dual inputs up to the main PSS gain K_{SI} . The phase compensation, however, is provided by a canonical state equation, similar to what is applied in the PSS6C model.

It is possible to convert the parameters of a PSS2C model into the canonical form of the PSS7C model (see Equation (8) and associated description in 9.10). On the other hand, it might not be possible to convert the parameters from the PSS7C model back to a PSS2C model, as the canonical form might result in complex poles or zeros that cannot be represented by the lead-lag blocks in the PSS2C model.



\$69\$ Copyright © 2016 IEEE. All rights reserved.

V_{STmax}

Figure 38—Type PSS7C dual-input power system stabilizer with canonical form equations

10. Type OEL—Overexcitation limiters

10.1 General

Overexcitation limiters (OELs), also referred to as maximum excitation limiters, and field current limiters, have been provided with excitation systems for many years, but until recently, OELs have not been modeled in power system dynamic simulations. The possibility of voltage collapse in stressed power systems increases the importance of modeling these limiters in studies of system conditions that cause machines to operate at high levels of excitation for a sustained period, such as voltage collapse or system-islanding. Such events typically occur over a long time frame compared with transient or small-signal stability simulations. OEL modeling should not be required in most system studies. Most of the effort required to implement these models should be the collection of limiter data and prototype testing. The extra computational time required to process these models is expected to be minimal (Ribeiro [B46]). Reference material may be found in Girgis and Vu [B15], IEEE Task Force on Excitation Limiters [B28], Murdoch, et al., 2000 [B42], Murdoch, et al., 2001 [B43], Shimomura, Xia, Wakabayashi, and Paserba [B49], and van Cutsem and Vournas [B52].

An OEL model for long-term dynamic system studies should represent the stable, slowly-changing dynamics associated with long-term behavior, but not the fast dynamics which should be examined during their design and tuning. In simulations of the variable time step or quasi-steady-state type, in which the calculation time step may be increased from a fraction of a cycle to several seconds, differential equations for fast dynamics may be replaced by algebraic equations. OEL operation, as well as tap changing, capacitor bank switching, and load shedding, are essential to long-term simulations. In the simplest form, a limiter model might consist of a single constant representing the field current limit and a flag to warn that the limit has been exceeded, so that simulation results after this point in time may not be valid.

Excitation limiters interact with the voltage-regulator controls either as an addition to the automatic reference and feedback signals or as a takeover junction controlling the output of the excitation model and removing the AVR loop. As such, practical implementations interact with the set-points and limits of the voltage regulators, to avoid windup and discontinuous transient problems if system conditions result in the unit coming out of the limit and back to normal voltage set-point control. The models shown in this standard do not, in general, represent these interactions, and are valid only when the limiter is active and only for long-term dynamics.

Some vendor manuals and publications refer to volts-per-hertz limiters as overexcitation limiters. The models presented in this document do not represent these limiters.

Refer to Table 5 for a summary of the changes in the Type OEL models.

10.2 Field winding thermal capability

The limiting action provided by OELs should offer proper protection from overheating due to high field current levels while simultaneously allowing maximum field forcing for power system stability purposes. Limiting is typically delayed for some period to allow fault clearing.

OEL operating characteristics typically attempt to remain within the field overload capability for roundrotor synchronous machines given in IEEE Std C50.13TM [B27]. IEEE Std C50.13 specifies allowable levels of field current rather than field voltage. In simulation, a constant field resistance is normally assumed and field voltage and current, as a percentage of rated values, are equivalent in the steady state. The rotor capability is defined by Equation (9) (IEEE Std C50.13 [B27]). This relationship is plotted in Figure 39.

$$t = \frac{A}{\left(I_{FD}\right)^B - C} \tag{9}$$

where

- *t* is the maximum allowed time (thermal capability) for a given field current value
- I_{FD} is the generator field current, in per unit of the generator rated field current

A is 33.75

- B is 2
- *C* is 1

The OEL characteristic should also coordinate with overexcitation protection, volts-per-hertz limiters, and terminal voltage limiters and protections (IEEE Std C37.102TM [B25]).

Some OELs utilize a temperature or pressure recalibration feature, in which the OEL characteristic is shifted depending upon the generator's cooling-gas temperature or pressure. Since this is typically a slow-acting effect, it is not represented in the OEL model, and the OEL model should reflect the limiting characteristic at the initial operating condition.



Figure 39—Field current short time capability

⁷¹ Copyright © 2016 IEEE. All rights reserved.

10.3 OEL types

Limiting devices built to prevent field current from exceeding the capability are of several forms, but all operate through the same sequence of events: Detect the overexcitation condition, allow it to persist for a defined time-overload period, and then reduce the excitation to a safe level. Although ideally the quantity to measure to determine an overexcitation condition should be field winding temperature, limiters in use today measure field current, field voltage, or exciter field current or voltage. Therefore the detection stage of these limiters is a comparison of the measured current or voltage with a defined pickup level. The variation in limiter designs appears in the latter two stages. The allowed overexcitation period may be fixed or vary inversely with the excitation level. The excitation level may be reduced by instantaneously lowering the reference set point, by ramping or stepping down the reference set point, or by transferring control from the AVR to a lower manually controlled field voltage set point.

A simple form of OEL has a fixed pickup point, a fixed-time delay, and instantly reduces the excitation set point to a safe value. A more common type of overexcitation limiter provided by many manufacturers combines instantaneous and inverse-time pickup characteristics and switches from an instantaneous limiter (often described as a fast OEL) with a setting of about 160% of rated field current to a timed limiter with a setting of about 105% of rated field current. The field current set point is not ramped down, but decreases almost instantly when this type of limiter switches. The inverse-time curve, the instantaneous limiter value, and the timed limiter value are all adjustable on this type of limiter.

Other manufacturers provide overexcitation limiters that ramp down the limiter set point from the instantaneous value to the timed limiter setting. The ramp rate can be constant (Kundur [B33]) or proportional to the level of overexcitation (Morison, Gao, and Kundur [B41]).

Some, typically older, excitation systems do not have continuously acting overexcitation limiters. These systems switch from automatic voltage regulation to a fixed field set point if excitation is high for too long. The excitation set point may be positioned to produce the maximum continuous field current, or it may be positioned near the normal unity power factor position (Taylor [B50]). In these types of systems, the AVR output signal is permanently overridden.

10.4 Type OEL1B overexcitation limiter model

The model described herein is intended to represent the significant features of OELs necessary for some large-scale system studies. It is the result of a pragmatic approach to obtain a model that can be widely applied with attainable data from generator owners. An attempt to include all variations in the functionality of OELs and duplicate how they interact with the rest of the excitation systems would likely result in a level of application insufficient for the studies for which they are intended.

In actual systems, an OEL may monitor and limit one of several variables (main field current or voltage, exciter field current or voltage, etc.). While this design choice affects the fast dynamic response characteristics of the OEL, it is not of great concern when examining the long-term response. Therefore, it is generally sufficient to treat main field current as the input parameter. Since most simulation programs assume a constant field resistance, in the steady state the values of EFD and IFD should be equivalent in a non-reciprocal per-unit system (IEEE Std 1110 [B24]). The model in Figure 40 assumes that the measured/limited quantity is main field current I_{FD} , although main field voltage E_{FD} could be used as well. Systems that limit the field of a rotating exciter can also be based on the corresponding level of main field current.

Unfortunately, the choice of generator field voltage as the limited variable introduces a dependency on field resistance, which can change by over 20% with temperature changes from 25 °C to 75 °C. The field voltage limit point should then reflect a "hot" field temperature, or if field resistance is included in the model, the generator should be modeled with a higher field resistance, appropriate for the "hot" field condition.

In simulation programs, the normalized value of field current is usually the field current on the air-gap line of the machine saturation curve at rated terminal voltage. Since OEL settings are usually based on the field current under rated MVA, rated voltage conditions, the field current should be converted to the base value of $I_{FDrated}$. This parameter sets the per-unit base for the other variables in the limiter model. Thus, limiter models for varying sizes and types of machines can have similar parameters. It should be emphasized that the 1.0 per-unit base, used within the OEL model, is based on the rated machine excitation level and not on the air-gap line as used in the generator model.

The limiting characteristic parameters are then selected. The timed-limit pickup I_{TFpu} is usually near 1.05 pu of the rated value. The instantaneous limit value I_{INST} is normally near 1.5 pu. In some systems, hysteresis between pickup and dropout is included in the design, so the value of I_{FDLIM} can be set to the same level as I_{TFpu} . In some systems, the value of I_{FDLIM} should be set a few percent higher in order to avoid limit cycling.

Digital systems define the inverse-time limiter characteristic using an equation with variable parameters, and may adhere to standard curve definitions, such as Equation (9) or those found in IEEE Std C37.112TM [B26]. However, the inverse-time characteristics of older systems are dependent on the designs and may vary in shape. Most types of systems can be adequately modeled by a curve fit using the characteristic Equation (9) where *A*, *B*, and *C* are constants (IEEE Std C37.112 [B26]).

The level of I_{FD} is compared to the pickup level, I_{TFpu} , and if I_{FD} is less than the pickup level, the OEL should not be active. In this case, the timer should be reset or decremented by the appropriate amount. Some OELs automatically reset the timing device after the limiter has dropped out, i.e., the level of I_{FD} is less than I_{TFpu} . Other designs slowly reverse the timer back to zero, to account for the cooling of the field winding. If the limiter picks up again before the timer is fully reset, the OEL should act much quicker. In the model, the cool down rate is proportional to the difference between I_{TFpu} and I_{FD} and a gain set by K_{CD} .

More sophisticated designs incorporate a hysteresis feature, which should not allow the limiter to drop out until the excitation level is below a defined amount less than the pickup level. This helps to prevent limit cycling. The hysteresis should be initialized to zero, and only set to the constant value H_{YST} after the limiter has picked up. It should be reset to zero after the limiter has dropped out. A permanent limit condition, such as transferring to manual control, can be achieved by setting H_{YST} to a sufficiently large value, such as I_{TFpu} .

If an instantaneous maximum limit or ceiling level is represented, the parameter I_{FDmax} is used. The level of I_{FD} is then clamped to the maximum value I_{FDmax} .

While the level of I_{FD} remains above I_{TFpu} , the limiter timing is incremented according to the appropriate timing characteristic. A fixed-time limiter should simply increment the time regardless of the level of overexcitation. For inverse time applications, the time-overexcitation condition should be integrated according to the appropriate relationship [e.g., Equation (9)] to account for variation in the level of overexcitation while the limiter is timing. When the limiter timing reaches timeout, the level of I_{FD} is reduced to the value I_{FDLIM} . Most limiters accomplish this quickly, in one step, although some limiters ramp the excitation down. The ramp rate is set by the parameter K_{RAMP} . A step reduction in field current occurs if a sufficiently large value of K_{RAMP} is selected. The value of I_{FD} should remain at the limited value until system conditions result in a value of I_{FD} that is less than the pickup level, I_{TFpu} minus the hysteresis, H_{YST} . Again, as the per-unit system of excitation level of the OEL model is not the same as the generator and excitation system models, the value of I_{FDLIM} should be converted to the corresponding level of E_{FD} in the generator model by multiplying by $I_{FDrated}$. In most cases, windup of the limiter is appropriate, as implied in Figure 40 by continued time incrementing for high field current.

This model does not incorporate the necessary stability control functions of actual OELs. Therefore, it is not designed to interact with any of the excitation system models included in this document. It is intended that the synchronous machine field voltage, E_{FD} , is altered directly by auctioneering the excitation system model output with the output signal of this OEL model, as if there were a LV gate at the output of the excitation system model. The output signal of this OEL model is not, in general, equivalent to the signal V_{OEL} found in other sections of this document. The output signal should not enter any internal point in an excitation system model, as it then would require additional signal compensation and detailed tuning to

match actual equipment response. These details have been purposely eliminated from this model. If it is desired to represent a dynamic V_{OEL} signal that impacts the stability of the excitation control system, a more detailed OEL model should be used, such as detailed in IEEE Task Force on Excitation Limiters [B28] and in 10.5, 10.6, and 10.7.

Since the action of this limiter model should override the output of an excitation system model, if the simulated system voltage conditions improve during an imposed OEL limit to the point that the OEL may drop out of control, there may be additional lag time before the excitation system model resumes control due to windup of the excitation system model.

IEEE Std 421.5-2016 IEEE Recommended Practice for Excitation System Models for Power System Stability Studies



Figure 40—Type OEL1B overexcitation limiter with selectable pickup and limiting characteristics

10.5 Type OEL2C overexcitation limiter model

The model shown in Figure 41 is an extension of the OEL model proposed by the IEEE Task Force on Excitation Limiters [B28]. Most of the logic and settings of the model remain the same, so additional information and description of the parameters of this model are presented by the IEEE Task Force on Excitation Limiters [B28].



footnotes:

(a) OEL activation logic uses user-selected parameters T_{efn}, T_{off}, I_{THoff}, I_{reset} and I_{inst}. It also uses the signals T_{err}, I_{act} and I_{ref} shown in the block diagram.

 $\begin{array}{l} \mathsf{IF} \left\{ (\mathsf{T}_{err} \leq 0) \text{ or } \left[(\mathsf{I}_{act} > \mathsf{I}_{ref}) \text{ for longer than } \mathsf{T}_{ert} \right] \right\} \text{ or } (\mathsf{T}_{er} = 0) \\ & \text{ enable OEL } \rightarrow \mathsf{I}_{bias} = 0 \\ \mathsf{IF} \left\{ (\mathsf{I}_{ref} = \mathsf{I}_{inst}) \text{ and } \left[(\mathsf{I}_{ref} - \mathsf{I}_{act}) > \mathsf{I}_{THoff} \right] \text{ for longer than } \mathsf{T}_{off} \\ & \text{ reset OEL } \rightarrow \mathsf{I}_{bias} = \mathsf{I}_{reset} \end{array}$

- (b) The OEL transfer function is either just the gain K_{Poel}, or the PID control, or the double lead-lag blocks. The parameters in the model should be set accordingly.
- (c) OEL timer logic uses user-selected parameters $\mathsf{Fixed}_{\mathsf{RU}}, \mathsf{Fixed}_{\mathsf{RD}}$ and $I_{\mathsf{TFpu}}.$ It also uses the signals I_{pu} and $I_{\mathsf{ERRinv2}},$ shown in the block diagram.

```
 \begin{array}{l} \mathsf{IF} \; (\mathsf{I}_{\mathsf{TFpu}} - \mathsf{I}_{\mathsf{pu}}) \geq \; 0 \\ \mathsf{W} = \mathsf{Fixed}_{\mathsf{RU}} + \mathsf{I}_{\mathsf{ERRinv2}} \\ \mathsf{ELSE} \\ \mathsf{W} = \mathsf{Fixed}_{\mathsf{RD}} + \mathsf{I}_{\mathsf{ERRinv2}} \\ \mathsf{ENDIF} \end{array}
```

- (e) Parameter K_{SCALE} should be calculated to convert from the per unit base used for the OEL input signal to a per unit base corresponding to the rated value for the selected OEL input signal. All other parameters in the model are expressed in per unit of rated value.
- (f) OEL ramp rate logic uses user-selected parameters SW₁, K_{ZRU}, T_{FCL}, K_{RU} and K_{RD}. It also uses the signals T_{err} and I_{ERRIM1}, shown in the block diagram. The parameter SW₁ is a user-selected logic, which will select fixed ramp rates or a ramp rate function of the field current error.

IF SW1 = 0	(fixed ramp rates)
$C = K_{RU}$	
$D = K_{RD}$	
ELSE	
$C = I_{ERRinv1}$	
$D = I_{ERRinv1}$	
ENDIF	
$IF Terr \ge K_{zru}^*T_{FCL}$	(ramp I _{ref} up)
Z = C	
ELSEIF $T_{err} \le 0$	(ramp I _{ref} down)
Z = D	
ELSE	
Z = 0	
ENDIF	

Figure 41—Type OEL2C takeover or summation point overexcitation limiter with selectable pickup and limiting characteristics

This model allows the representation of different OEL actions, such as instantaneous and inverse-time responses. Also, the timed response could follow fixed ramp rates (definite time response) or use ramp rates proportional to the level of overexcitation. Furthermore, this model can represent summation point or takeover OEL implementations.

The limited quantity, input to the model, could be selected as the generator field current (I_{FD}), generator field voltage (E_{FD}), or a signal proportional to exciter field current (V_{FE}) for application with brushless excitation systems.

The output logic shown in Figure 41 permits the user to specify a time delay T_{en} greater than zero that would disable the instantaneous OEL response for a short period of time to allow very high transient-forcing capability.

When representing a summation point OEL, the upper limit for the OEL output $(V_{OELmaxI})$ should be set to zero, while the lower limit $(V_{OELminI})$ should be a negative value corresponding to the maximum reduction in voltage reference that the OEL can introduce.

To represent a takeover OEL, the upper limit for the OEL output ($V_{OELmaxI}$) should be set to large values, larger than the maximum value for the corresponding AVR signal at the LV gate. The lower limit ($V_{OELminI}$) should be set to a positive value, calculated based on the minimum excitation level to be maintained.

The timed action of the OEL is determined by the fixed parameter T_{FCL} and the timer output T_{lim} . The input to the timer integrator is determined by the OEL timer logic. The inverse-time characteristic is given by the signal $I_{ERRinv2}$, calculated based on the actual field current I_{pu} (and parameters K_2 , c_2 , and I_{TFpu}).

The OEL ramp rate logic uses the error signal T_{err} ($T_{FCL} - T_{lim}$) to determine if the OEL reference field current I_{err} should be ramped up toward the instantaneous value I_{inst} or ramped down toward the thermal (long-term) value I_{lim} . The ramp rates can be constant values (K_{RU} and K_{RD} , for ramping up and down, respectively) or the ramp rate is given by $I_{ERRinv1}$, calculated based on the actual field current I_{pu} (and parameters K_1 , c_1 , and I_{TFpu}).

The inverse-time characteristic is represented by $I_{ERRinv2}$, while the fixed ramp (definite time) is represented by the ramp rates $Fixed_{ru}$ and $Fixed_{rd}$.

To disable the inverse-time characteristic, the user can either set the parameter K_2 equal to zero or set the upper and lower limits V_{INVmax} and V_{INVmin} equal to zero. To disable the fixed ramp characteristic, the user should set the ramp rates $Fixed_{ru}$ and $Fixed_{rd}$ equal to zero.

The fixed ramp rates could also be used together with the inverse time characteristic to represent a bias in the response. For instance, it could represent a hysteresis for coming off the limit (OEL turning off). This effect could be represented by setting the positive ramp rate $Fixed_{ru}$ to zero and the negative ramp rate $Fixed_{rd}$ to a positive value, corresponding to the bias or offset in $I_{ERRinv2}$ before the timer associated with the OEL action starts to wind down.

Limiters that switch from the instantaneous limit (I_{inst}) to the timed limit can be represented by setting the logic switch SW_1 to zero and setting the ramp rates K_{ru} and K_{rd} to large values. Limiters that ramp down at a rate calculated from the overexcitation are represented by selecting logic switch SW_1 to nonzero and properly selecting the parameters K_1 and c_1 to represent the desired function for the ramp rates.

A key difference between the model presented in Figure 41 and the model proposed by the IEEE Task Force on Excitation Limiters [B28] is the ability to represent different inverse-time characteristics, by properly settings the parameters K_1 and c_1 for calculating $I_{ERRinv1}$ and parameters K_2 and c_2 for calculating $I_{ERRinv2}$.

The OEL dynamic response is determined by the parameters in the PID and double lead-lag path from the field current error I_{err} to the OEL model output V_{OEL} . A summation point OEL might not need any dynamic compensation, so just the gain K_{Poel} would be nonzero. If only the PID control is required, the lead-lag time constants should be set to zero to indicate that these blocks are bypassed. If the double lead-lag compensation is used, the gain K_{Poel} can provide the overall (steady-state) gain, while the integral and derivative gains of the PID block would be set to zero.

10.6 Type OEL3C overexcitation limiter model

The model shown in Figure 42 reduces voltage reference and thus terminal voltage in order to reduce field current according to an adjustable time characteristic. The reduction in voltage reference occurs whenever the actual excitation (field current) is greater than a pickup (reference) value I_{Fmax} . The pickup value is a constant value (I_{TFpu}), which should be sufficient for representation of an OEL system in system studies. It

should be recognized that the pickup value could be a function of the cold gas temperature of the generator, but that effect is not represented in this model.



Figure 42—Type OEL3C summation point overexcitation limiter model

The input to the model is either the generator field current I_{FD} (static excitation systems) or the exciter field current V_{FE} (for rotating exciters). The parameter K_I can be set to 1 or 2, allowing a linear or quadratic characteristic to the OEL. The time characteristic can be changed by adjusting the integrator time constant T_{OEL} and the upper limit of the integrator $V_{OELmaxI}$. The lower limit $V_{OELminI}$ has to be set accordingly to the internal operating range of the voltage regulator.

A boosting effect can be accomplished by using the path with the gain K_{POEL} . This path is active when the output of the integrator is negative.

The overall gain of the OEL is adjusted by the gain K_{OEL} . The OEL usually comes into action as soon as the output signal becomes negative. Thus, the upper limit $V_{OELmax2}$ is normally set to zero and the lower limit $V_{OELmin2}$ is set accordingly to the internal operating range of the voltage regulator.

10.7 Type OEL4C overexcitation limiter model

The model in Figure 43 acts to modify system excitation to limit reactive power from the generator. When generator reactive power output (Q_T) becomes greater than the adjustable pick-up value, Q_{REF} , the limiter should act to decrease excitation after a fixed-time delay, T_{delay} . The pick-up value should only be set to a positive value for operation in the overexcited region. The limiter response can be adjusted using the PI gains K_P and K_I . The lower limit, V_{min} , should be set to a negative value corresponding to the maximum reduction in voltage reference that the limiter can introduce. The output V_{OEL} is fed to an OEL summing point input in the AVR.

This limiter is also sometimes referred to as a var limiter. When applied to a conventional synchronous machine, this var limiter would modify the shape of the capability curve of the equipment and, possibly, reduce the reactive capability in the overexcited region. It should be recognized that local requirements

such as grid codes or reliability standards might preclude the use of such limiters, which should be settled before commissioning such limiter in any given equipment. Compliance with such regional requirements are outside the scope of this recommended practice, so the model in Figure 43 might be required to simulate the impact of such limiters, if they are activated on any given equipment.



(a) The OEL control logic uses user-selectable parameter T_{delay}. It also requires the signal Q_{ERR}.

IF $Q_{ERR} < 0$ for less than T_{delay} $V_{ERR} = 0$ reset time counter ELSE $V_{ERR} = Q_{ERR}$

Figure 43—Type OEL4C summation point overexcitation limiter model

10.8 Type OEL5C overexcitation limiter model

The OEL5C model represents a take-over type function which replaces the normal input to the firing circuits. The output of the model (V_{OEL}) is the result of either the generator field current regulator or the exciter field current regulator. For static exciter implementations the exciter field current regulator is not utilized and the gain K is set to 1. For static systems when generator field current has not exceeded the OEL activation logic pickup ($I_{FDPULEV}$), or caused the integrator output to exceed pickup value (I_{FDLIM}), the output of the OEL is set to ceiling (usually equal V_{OELmax}). For voltage regulator systems when generator field current has not exceeded the SLD pickup ($I_{FDPULEV}$), or caused the integrator output to exceed pickup value (I_{FDLIM}), the output of the OEL is set to the output of the exciter field current regulator which should typically be equal to V_{VFEmax} .

There are two ways for generator field current to cause the generator field current regulator to take control of firing command. First, the OEL activation logic is used to protect against close-in faults where the induced field current is large due to constant flux linkages in the generator with high stator current. The OEL activation logic should allow unlimited generator field current forcing for field current below $I_{FDPULEV}$, typically set to 140% of the generator rated field current (AFFLg). When forcing is sustained for a given period of time (T_{IFDLEV} , usually set to 1 s), the OEL activation logic should transition the output of the OEL from the ceiling value (full on firing command) for static systems or the output of the exciter field current regulator for Alterrex or dc exciters to the output of the generator field current regulator. The initial reference for the generator field current regulator (I_{FDREFI}) is typically set to 125% of AFFLg.

Second, an accumulated I × t (current multiplied by time) calculation provides an inverse time type function. This function begins accumulating if the current is above I_{FDPU} , typically set to 102% AFFLg. The function of the calculation is to accumulate I × t through an integrator with a small feedback term (what is termed a leaky integrator). If the function times out by exceeding I_{FDLIM} , the output of the OEL transitions to the output of the generator field current regulator, the OEL activation logic may already have accomplished this, and thus transitions the reference to the generator field current regulator from I_{FDREF1} to I_{FDREF2} , typically set to 100% AFFLg.

The OEL is monitoring both the heating and cooling of the generator field. After an OEL event, the integrators in the OEL are still active and it takes some time to decay to zero values. If a subsequent OEL event occurs before the machine had cooled to normal temperature, the time to accumulate to the limit value will be shorter to account for the fact the machine is hotter than normal.

When the OEL5C model is used to represent the overexcitation limiter applied to brushless excitation systems, where direct measurement of the generator field current is not available, the gain K is set to zero and the logic switch SW_I is set to position A. The exciter field current signal V_{FE} should then be used as the input signal to the model. Also, the application to the brushless excitation system usually does not require the integral action in the PI block, so the gain K_{Ioel} should be set to zero.



footnotes:

- (a) SW₁ is a user-selection option. Position A corresponds to the application to a static excitation system or a brushless system. Position B is used for rotating exciters with collector rings.
- (b) OEL input is user-selected. Could be generator field current I_{FD} or generator field voltage E_{FD} or exciter field current V_{FE} .
- (c) Parameter K_{SCALE1} should be calculated to convert from the per unit base used for the OEL input signal to a per unit base corresponding to the rated value for the selected OEL input signal. All other parameters in the model are expressed in per unit of rated value.
- (d) Parameter K_{SCALE2} should be calculated to convert from the per unit base used for the V_{FE} input signal to a per unit base corresponding to the rated value for the selected OEL input signal. All other parameters in the model are expressed in per unit of rated value.
- (e) The logical signal I_{ref} is the output of the S-R flip-flop block shown in the diagram. The input signals for the S-R flip-flop block are the result of the logical tests indicated in the block diagram.

- (f) The logic switch SW_{ref} uses the signal I_{ref} shown in the block diagram. SW_{ref} is in position "A" if I_{ref} = 0 and in position "B" if I_{ref} = 1.
- (g) The OEL activation logic uses the parameters $I_{FDpulev}$, T_{IFDlev} and $I_{iFDDOLEV}$. It also uses the signal I_{pu} shown in the block diagram.

ENDIF

(h) The logic switch SW_{OELout} uses the logic signals $I_{OELactive}$ and I_{ref} shown in the block diagram.

 $\begin{array}{l} \mathsf{IF} \; (\mathsf{I}_{\mathsf{ref}}) \; \textbf{or} \; (\mathsf{I}_{\mathsf{OELactive}}) \\ & \mathsf{enable} \; \mathsf{OEL} \; \textbf{\rightarrow} \; \mathsf{SW}_{\mathsf{OELout}} \; \mathsf{in} \; \mathsf{position} \; ``\mathsf{A}" \end{array}$

ELSE

block OEL → SW_{OELout} in position "B" ENDIF

Figure 44—Type OEL5C takeover overexcitation limiter model

11. Type UEL—Underexcitation limiters

11.1 General

An underexcitation limiter (UEL) acts to boost excitation for one or more of the following purposes (Berube, Hajagos, and Beaulieu [B6]):

- a) To prevent operation which jeopardizes stability of the synchronous machine or could lead to loss of synchronism due to insufficient excitation.
- b) To prevent operation that would lead to overheating in the stator end region of the synchronous machine, typically defined by the extreme underexcited region of the machine capability curve.
- c) To prevent loss-of-excitation relays from operating during underexcited operation.

The UEL typically senses either a combination of voltage and current of the synchronous machine or a combination of real and reactive power. The UEL output is applied in the voltage regulator to either a summing junction to add to the normal voltage control or a HV gate to override the normal action of the voltage regulator. Depending upon the implementation of the UEL function to control excitation, the action of the UEL could take the power system stabilizer out of service and/or cause interactions, which may not normally occur during normal operation when the UEL characteristic is not reached.

An UEL model should represent the stable, slowly-changing dynamics associated with long-term behavior, but not the fast dynamics which should be examined during their design and tuning. In simulations of the variable time step or quasi-steady-state type, in which the calculation time step may be increased from a fraction of a cycle to several seconds, differential equations for fast dynamics may be replaced by algebraic equations. UEL operation, as well as tap changing, capacitor bank switching, and load shedding, are essential to long-term simulations. In the simplest form, a limiter model might consist of a single constant representing the field current limit and a flag to warn that the limit has been exceeded, so that simulation results after this point in time may not be valid.

Excitation limiters interact with the voltage-regulator controls either as an addition to the automatic reference and feedback signals or as a takeover junction controlling the output of the excitation model and removing the AVR loop. As such, practical implementations interact with the set-points and limits of the voltage regulators, to avoid windup and discontinuous transient problems if system conditions result in the unit coming out of the limit and back to normal voltage set-point control. The models shown in this standard do not, in general, represent these interactions, and are valid only when the limiter is active and only for long-term dynamics.

Although UEL designs utilize various types of input sensing and signal processing, their limiting characteristics are usually plotted in terms of real and reactive power on MVAR versus MW axes. However in many cases, the specified limit in terms of MW and MVAR is terminal voltage dependent, such as would occur with UELs that sense apparent impedance at the generator terminals. In an attempt to encompass a wide range of UEL applications, two UEL models have been developed:

- a) Circular characteristic (Type UEL1)
- b) Single or multiple-segment straight-line characteristic (Type UEL2)

Some UELs utilize a temperature or pressure recalibration feature, in which the UEL characteristic is shifted depending upon the generator's cooling-gas temperature or pressure. Since this is typically a slow-acting effect, it is not represented in the UEL models, and selection of the UEL model constants should reflect the limiting characteristic at the initial operating condition.

The V_F input to both models allows provision for an excitation system stabilizer signal from the voltage regulator, which can be used for damping of oscillations. Similarly, the lag and lead functions represented by T_{UI} through T_{U4} may be appropriately adjusted in certain applications to provide damping.

Additional information may be found in Anderson, Simmons, and Woodrow [B2], Berdy [B5], Cawson and Brown [B8], Estcourt, Holley, Johnson, and Light [B11], Heffron and Phillips [B17], IEEE Std C37.102 [B25], IEEE Task Force on Excitation Limiters [B29], Carleton, Bobo, and Burt [B32], Landgren [B36], Nagy [B44], Ribeiro [B46], and Rubenstein and Temoshok [B47]. Refer to Table 6 for a summary of the changes in the Type UEL models. Sample data for the models described in this clause are provided in Annex H.

11.2 Type UEL1 underexcitation limiter model

The Type UEL1 model shown in Figure 45 has a circular limit boundary when plotted in terms of machine reactive power versus real power output. The phasor inputs of I_T and V_T are synchronous machine terminal output current and voltage with both magnitude and phase angle of these ac quantities sensed.



Figure 45—Type UEL1 circular characteristic underexcitation limiter model

Figure 46 shows a typical UEL1 limiting characteristic plotted on the P-Q plane. The gain K_{UR} determines the radius of the UEL limit such that V_{UR} has a pre-determined magnitude and is also proportional to the magnitude of machine terminal voltage V_T . The gain K_{UC} determines the center of the UEL limit. When K_{UC} multiplied by the phasor quantity V_T is summed with the phasor quantity $-jI_T$, the resulting magnitude V_{UC} determines whether or not the machine operating point has reached the UEL limit. Absorbing more reactive power (Q_T) from the grid or sending more real power (P_T) to the grid increase V_{UC} , and results in the machine operating point moving toward the circular UEL limit.



Figure 46—Circular limiting characteristic for Type UEL1 model

Since the Type UEL1 model derives the operating point using I_T and compares it with a radius and center proportional to V_T , this model essentially represents a UEL that utilizes a circular apparent impedance characteristic as its limit. Since generator loss of excitation relays often utilize a similar circular impedance characteristic, this type of UEL generally allows close coordination with a loss of excitation relay. Also, the UEL limit boundaries in terms of P_T and Q_T vary with V_T^2 , just as the steady-state stability limit varies with V_T^2 , so the UEL limit changes as terminal voltage variations alter the steady-state stability limit.

Under normal conditions when the UEL is not limiting, $V_{UC} < V_{UR}$ and the UEL error signal V_{Uerr} shown in Figure 45 is negative. When conditions are such that the UEL limit is exceeded, $V_{UC} > V_{UR}$ and the UEL error signal V_{Uerr} becomes positive. This would drive the UEL output in the positive direction, and if the gain is sufficient, the UEL output takes over control of the voltage regulator to boost excitation to move the operating point back toward the UEL limit.

11.3 Type UEL2 Underexcitation limiter model

The UEL2 underexcitation limiter model defined in the 2005 version of this recommended practice is being superseded by the model UEL2C shown in 11.4. Any existing underexcitation limiter represented by the UEL2 model could also be represented by the UEL2C model, with practically the same parameters, complemented by a few additional parameters that are specific to the UEL2C model. The differences between the UEL2 and UEL2C models are related to the voltage bias logic (parameter V_{bias}), the additional time constant T_{Qref} , the non-windup limits at the lead-lag blocks, and the gain adjustment associated with the logic switch SW_1 . The user should set $V_{bias} = 1$, $T_{Qref} = 0$, $V_{UELmax2} = 99$ pu (large value), $V_{UELmin2} = -99$ pu (large negative value), SW_1 set to position "A," and $K_{fix} = 1$ in the UEL2C model to get the same dynamic response as the UEL2 model. Refer to Table 6 for a summary of the changes in the Type UEL models.

11.4 Type UEL2C underexcitation limiter model

Figure 47 shows the Type UEL2C model. For this model, the UEL limit has either a straight-line or multisegment characteristic (piecewise linear) when plotted in terms of machine reactive power output (Q_T) versus real power output (P_T) . The UEL limit can be unaffected by the magnitude of the terminal voltage V_T by setting the exponential constants $K_1 = K_2 = 0$ (such that $F_1 = F_2 = 1$). If instead the UEL senses the real and reactive components of machine current I_T , the UEL limit characteristic can be made proportional to V_T by using $K_1 = K_2 = 1$. Similarly, if the UEL is configured to limit based on the real and reactive components of the apparent impedance looking from the machine terminals, the UEL limit characteristic can be made proportional to V_T^2 using $K_1 = K_2 = 2$ in the model. Proper coordination of the UEL function with generator protection functions such as loss-of-excitation relays (device 40) usually requires the UEL to have an impedance characteristic, so $K_1 = K_2 = 2$.

In the UEL2C model in Figure 47, after the real power P_T is modified by F_I (applying the appropriate effect of terminal voltage magnitude after first filtering and the selected voltage bias, represented by signal u), the resulting normalized value P' is sent to the UEL look-up table to determine the corresponding normalized value of the reactive power Q' at the UEL limit characteristic.

This normalized limit value Q' is then multiplied by F_2 to determine the UEL limit reference Q_{REF} , which is compared with the machine reactive power Q_T . Note that the UEL limit characteristic specified in the UEL limit look-up table utilizes normalized values of real and reactive power (P' and Q'), which are valid at rated terminal voltage magnitude ($V_T = 1.0$ pu). The functions F_1 and F_2 provide the appropriate adjustments so that the effects of terminal voltage, if any, on the UEL limit are properly taken into account.

Figure 48 shows the normalized UEL limit characteristic for a UEL in which the limit is a single straightline. When the points (P_0 , Q_0) and (P_1 , Q_1) are specified, they define two points on the straight-line UEL characteristic. In the example shown in Figure 48, these points are located on the intercepts of the P and Q axes such that $P_0 = 0$ and $Q_1 = 0$, but the points would not need to be defined in this manner. Note that the P_i and Q_i values used to define the piecewise linear characteristic of the UEL limit are those values which would be applicable with $V_T = 1.0$ pu. For any value of P', the corresponding value of Q' can readily be determined by linear interpolation.

Figure 49 shows a UEL limit characteristic in which the limit is composed of multiple straight-line segments, showing up to six segments, although some systems may use more or fewer segments. By defining the endpoints of each of the segments in terms of P_i and Q_i values (at $V_T = 1.0$ pu), the UEL characteristic is determined. The UEL characteristic can be composed of any number of straight-line segments from 1 to 6. The data requirements to define the UEL characteristic versus the number of UEL segments are defined in the Table 10.

Between the indicated segment endpoints, the UEL characteristic is defined by a straight-line. For any value of P', the corresponding value of Q' can readily be determined by linear interpolation. The UEL characteristic beyond each of the defined endpoints is a straight-line that is a continuation of the segment defined by the first two (for negative values of P_T) or last two (for positive values of P_T) endpoints. For example, in Figure 49 the UEL characteristic for negative values of P_T is an extension (extrapolation) of the segment defined by the points (P_0, Q_0) and (P_1, Q_1). Also in this example, it can be seen that beyond point (P_5, Q_5) a UEL limit continuing along the Q' = 0 axis can be represented by defining the point (P_6, Q_6) such that $Q_5 = Q_6 = 0$ and $P_6 > P_5$. If the point (P_6, Q_6) was not defined in this example, then the UEL characteristic would extend to the upper-right with the same slope (extrapolation) as the line segment defined by the points (P_4, Q_4) and (P_5, Q_5).

Under normal conditions when the UEL is not limiting, the UEL error signal V_{Uerr} shown in Figure 47 is negative, since the reactive power Q_T is greater than the limit value Q_{REF} . When conditions are such that the UEL limit is exceeded, V_{Uerr} becomes positive. This drives the UEL output in the positive direction, and if the gain is sufficient, the UEL output takes over control of the voltage regulator to boost excitation to move the operating point back toward the UEL limit.



 $$85\$ Copyright © 2016 IEEE. All rights reserved.

footnotes:

(a) The voltage bias logic uses the parameter V_{bias} and also uses the signal V_{TF} shown in the block diagram. Setting V_{bias} = 1 makes u = V_{TF} .

 $\label{eq:constraint} \begin{array}{l} \text{IF } V_{TF} > 1.0 \text{ pu} \\ u = V_{TF} \\ \text{ELSE} \\ \text{IF } V_{TF} > V_{bias} \\ u = 1 \\ \text{ELSE} \\ u = V_{TF} / V_{bias} \\ \text{ENDIF} \\ \text{ENDIF} \end{array}$ (b) The value for K_{adj} is calculated as shown in Equation (10)

(c) The input signal V_{FB} is specific for the application of the UEL2C model in conjunction with the ST7C model. The V_{FB} signal is defined in the block diagram for the ST7C model, shown in Figure 27

(b)







Demined comment on desiret colores	Number of linear segments in the UEL characteristic						
Required segment endpoint values	1	2	3	4	5	6	
[P ₀ , Q ₀]	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	
$[P_1, Q_1]$	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	
$[P_2, Q_2]$		Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	
$[P_3, Q_3]$			Х	Х	Х	Х	
$[P_4, Q_4]$				Х	Х	Х	
$[P_5, Q_5]$					Х	Х	
$[P_{6}, Q_{6}]$						Х	

Table 10—UEL piecewise linear characteristics requirements



Figure 49—Multi-segment normalized limiting characteristic for the Type UEL2 model

The logic associated with the voltage bias can be disabled (i.e., force $u = V_{TF}$) by setting the parameter $V_{bias} = 1$.

For compatibility with the UEL2 model defined in the 2005 version of this recommended practice, provision should be made to allow the time constant T_{Oref} to be set equal to zero.

In some implementations, the UEL gain can be automatically adjusted depending on the generator dispatch (terminal voltage, active and reactive power output). In these cases, the logic switch SW_1 should be selected to position "B." This automatic adjustment of the gain is usually rather slow and this is represented by the low-pass filter with time constant T_{adj} . Equation (10) shows the calculation of the parameter K_{adj} .

If the logic switch SW_l is in position "A," a constant value for the gain reduction is given by the parameter K_{fix} . If no gain reduction should be represented, the parameter K_{fix} should be set equal to 1.

$$K_{adj} = \frac{\frac{V_T^2}{X_q} + Q_T}{\sqrt{\left(\frac{V_T^2}{X_q} + Q_T\right)^2 + P_T^2}}$$
(10)

12. Type SCL—Stator current limiters

12.1 General

A stator current limiter (SCL) can be used to prevent operation that would lead to overheating of the stator winding due to high stator currents. This might happen when system voltage significantly changes (Kutzner, Loesing, Seeger, and Wenzel [B35]) or turbine power is increased without an associated upgrade of the generator stator windings. It should be mentioned that the SCL can only reduce stator current by influencing excitation during operation with reactive stator current. Alternatively a tap change of the main transformer, if applicable, or a reduction of turbine power can be considered to reduce stator current.

Reliability standards or grid interconnection agreements may demand the use of SCLs in some jurisdictions, or may prevent their use in other regions. Therefore, regional requirements should be checked regarding the application of these limiters. This recommended practice focuses on the modeling of such equipment, if necessary, without addressing the need for such limiters.

Stator current limiters have been provided with excitation systems for many years, but until recently, SCLs have not been considered for modeling in power system dynamic simulations. Because these limiters are typically designed to operate according to the heating capability of the stator winding, these limiters should only impact studies of system conditions that cause machines to operate at very high or very low levels of excitation for a sustained period. Such events typically occur over a long time frame compared with transient or small-signal stability simulations. SCL models should not be required in most system studies.

An SCL model for long-term dynamic system studies should represent the stable, slowly-changing dynamics associated with long-term behavior, but not the fast dynamics which should be examined during their design and tuning. In simulations of the variable time step or quasi-steady state type, in which the calculation time step may be increased from a fraction of a cycle to several seconds, differential equations for fast dynamics may be replaced by algebraic equations. SCL operation, as well as tap changing, capacitor bank switching, and load shedding are essential to long-term simulations. In the simplest form, a limiter model might consist of a single constant representing the field current limit and a flag to warn that the limit has been exceeded, so that simulation results after this point in time may not be valid.

Excitation limiters interact with the voltage-regulator controls either as an addition to the automatic reference and feedback signals, or as a takeover junction controlling the output of the excitation model and removing the AVR loop. As such, practical implementations interact with the set-points and limits of the voltage regulators, to avoid windup and discontinuous transient problems if system conditions result in the unit coming out of the limit and back to normal voltage set-point control. The models shown in this standard do not, in general, represent these interactions, and are valid only when the limiter is active and only for long-term dynamics.

An SCL acts to modify field excitation to limit generator output current (stator current). The excitation level is modified based on whether reactive power (vars) is being absorbed (leading) or generated (lagging) by the synchronous generator. When the generator is overexcited (exporting vars to the grid), the proper control action required to reduce stator current is to reduce excitation. When the generator is underexcited (importing vars from the grid), the proper control action required to reduce stator current is to increase excitation.

The SCL is responsible for limiting between points X and Y on the capability curve shown in Figure 50; a region below the OEL thermal limit setpoint and above the UEL characteristic. The SCL thermal setpoint (steady-state or continuous-operation limit) is usually set above the stator current corresponding to generator-rated MVA, as shown in Figure 50. The turbine capability commonly limits the real power output of the generator (P_T) such that the limits of reactive power output (Q_T) remain below the SCL characteristic (to the left of the curve between points X and Y), so the SCL would never become active, at least under normal voltage conditions. If the turbine capability is increased without an equivalent upgrade to the generator stator windings, as indicated in Figure 50, the SCL could become active under certain operating conditions. Also, the SCL might restrict the ability of the generating unit to meet its contractual or reliability compliance obligations for reactive power capability. Coordination with the actual local standards or requirements for reactive power capability of a synchronous machine is necessary under all conditions, but particularly when upgrades such as the turbine uprating are being considered.

The SCL allows for an adjustable time delay before limiting, thus allowing short-term increase of stator current during system disturbances. In addition, a dead-band is implemented around unity pf (zero reactive power) because the SCL cannot affect real power and modifying excitation in this region provides little to no benefit, or can produce unstable control output as the excitation requirement changes from increasing to decreasing in order to effect reduction of stator current.



Figure 50—Stator current limit on a synchronous machine capability curve

12.2 Type SCL1C stator current limiter model

Figure 51 shows the block diagram for the stator current limiter model SCL1C. When the magnitude of the stator current (I_T) is greater than the adjustable pick-up value (I_{SCL_LIM}), the limiter acts to modify excitation after a time delay. The time constant T_{IT} represents the transducer delay in the measurement of the stator current. When the logical switch SW_I is on position "B," limiting only occurs after an additional time delay defined by T_{INV} or T_{DSCL} . The parameter T_{INV} represents an inverse timing characteristic, while T_{DSCL} represents a fixed-time delay. A fixed-time delay is selected when the logical switch SW_2 is set to zero and an inverse time delay is selected when SW_2 is nonzero.

When SW_I is selected to position "A," the reactive current (I_{oexI} or I_{uexI} , outputs of the LV gates) is used to determine if the generator is operating on an overexcited or underexcited condition. When SW_I is selected to position "B," the reactive power (through the delayed reactive power logic block) is used. If the system is in an underexcited condition, excitation current is increased. If the system is in an overexcited condition, excitation current is decreased. The PI or integral control can be represented on the overexcited and underexcited control loops. These controls can be independently adjusted by proper settings for the parameters K_{Poex} , K_{Ioex} , K_{Puex} , and K_{Iuex} .

When SW_I is in position "A," the stator current limiter is off when inside the dead-band zone defined by $I_{Q\min}$. When SW_I is in position "B," the dead-band is defined in terms of reactive power output, expressed by the parameter V_{SCL_DB} . When SW_I is in position "B" and in the dead-band, the input to the PI stages (I_{oex2} and I_{uex2}) is zero. When the stator current drops below pick-up, both integrators will wind down to $V_{SCL\min}$. The output V_{SCL} is fed to the summing point of the main AVR loop.

IEEE Std 421.5-2016 IEEE Recommended Practice for Excitation System Models for Power System Stability Studies



Figure 51—Type SCL1C stator current limiter model

12.3 Type SCL2C stator current limiter model

The model shown in Figure 52 represents a block diagram for the stator current limiter model SCL2C. The general model structure is based on the OEL2C model, introduced in Figure 41.

Stator current limiters might become active in the underexcited as well as in the overexcited region, as shown in Figure 50. This is the main distinction of SCLs in comparison to dedicated OEL or UEL models. The SCL2C model can consequently be divided into a stator current UEL as well as a stator current OEL. Furthermore, this model can represent summation point or takeover SCL implementations.

Stator current limiter OELs are typically used in combination with an inverse-time response in order to avoid actions during grid faults, where dynamic overcurrents are expected and no action of the SCL is desired. The SCL2C model follows the same logic principles for the timer as already proposed for the OEL2C model, but using the magnitude of the generator stator current I_T as input value. The model therefore allows the representation of different SCL actions, such as instantaneous and inverse-time responses. Also, the timed response could follow fixed ramp rates (definite time response) or use ramp rates proportional to the level of overexcitation.

The timed action of the SCL is determined by the fixed parameter T_{SCL} , which represents a distinct overload time, and the timer output T_{lim} . The input to the timer integrator is determined by the SCL timer logic. The

inverse-time characteristic is given by the signal $I_{ERRinv2}$, calculated based on the actual stator current I_{pu} (and parameters K_2 , c_2 , and I_{TFpu}).

The SCL ramp rate logic uses the error signal T_{err} ($T_{SCL} - T_{lim}$) to determine if the SCL reference value I_{ref} should be ramped up toward the instantaneous value I_{inst} or ramped down toward the thermal (long-term) value I_{lim} . The ramp rates can be constant values (parameters K_{RU} and K_{RD} , for ramping up and down, respectively) or the ramp rate is given by $I_{ERRinvI}$, calculated based on the actual stator current I_{pu} (and parameters K_{I} , c_{I} , and I_{TFpu}).

The inverse-time characteristic is represented by $I_{ERRinv2}$, while the fixed ramp (definite time) is represented by the ramp rates $Fixed_{ru}$ and $Fixed_{rd}$. To disable the inverse-time characteristic, the user can either set the parameter K_2 equal to zero or set the upper and lower limits V_{INVmax} and V_{INVmin} equal to zero. To disable the fixed ramp characteristic, the user should set the ramp rates $Fixed_{ru}$ and $Fixed_{rd}$ equal to zero.

The fixed ramp rates could however also be used together with the inverse time characteristic. Such approach may, for instance, be used to represent an inverse time response to activate the thermal limit (I_{lim}) in combination with a distinct cooling-down time, represented by an selection of $Fixed_{rd}$ (small negative number) and an V_{INVmin} limit set to zero. In this case, V_{INVmax} is set to a positive large number and $Fixed_{ru}$ is set to zero.

Limiters that switch from the instantaneous limit (I_{inst}) to the timed limit can be represented by setting the logic switch SW_1 equal to zero and setting the ramp rates K_{ru} and K_{rd} to large values. Limiters that ramp down at a designated rate calculated from the actual current are represented by selecting logic switch SW_1 is nonzero and properly selecting the parameters K_1 and c_1 to represent the desired function for the ramp rates.

The actual feedback (I_{ACToel}), which is compared with the selected reference (I_{refOEL}) is derived from the active- and reactive-stator currents, as defined in Equation (2), offering individual transducer representations for the SCL OEL action (parameters T_{IQoel} , K_{IQoel} , T_{IPoel} , K_{IPoel}). An additional control loop based on the reactive current is available to avoid a malfunction of the SCL OEL action from drawing the machine into underexcited condition. It offers a control takeover if the SCL OEL action is trying to lower the excitation-level below $I_{QminOEL}$. This function can be disabled by setting $I_{QminOEL}$ to a large negative number. Another supplementary voltage control loop additionally prevents the generator voltage from dropping below V_{Tmin} as a result of an action of the SCL OEL. Disabling this loop can be achieved by setting V_{Tmin} to zero.

The SCL OEL activation logic shown in Figure 52 permits the user to specify a time delay T_{enOEL} greater than zero that would disable the instantaneous OEL response for the selected time frame and allow very high transient-forcing capabilities. Whereas this delay function can be disabled using a setting for T_{enOEL} which is equal to zero.

When representing a summation point SCL, the upper limit for the OEL output ($V_{OELmaxI}$) should be set to zero, while the lower limit ($V_{OELminI}$) should be a negative value corresponding to the maximum reduction in voltage reference that the OEL can introduce. Disabling of the SCL OEL on the other hand is achieved by setting both limits to zero.

To represent a takeover OEL, the upper limit for the OEL output ($V_{OELmaxI}$) should be set to a value larger than than the maximum value for the corresponding AVR signal at the appropriate LV gate location. The lower limit ($V_{OELminI}$) should be set to a negative value, which again should be coordinated with the applied exciter model. Disabling of the SCL OEL takeover action, on the other hand, requires setting both limits to large positive numbers (for instance, values larger than the excitation ceiling voltage).

The SCL OEL dynamic response is determined by the parameters in the PID and double lead-lag path from the stator current OEL error to the OEL model output V_{SCLoel} . A summation point OEL might not need any dynamic compensation, so just the gain K_{Poel} might be nonzero. If only the PID control is required, the lead-lag time constants should be set to zero to indicate that these blocks are bypassed. If the double lead-

lag compensation is used, the gain K_{Poel} can provide the overall (steady-state) gain, while the integral and derivative gains of the PID block would be set to zero and the double lead-lag time constants (T_{Cloel} , T_{Bloel} , T_{C2oel} , T_{B2oel}) are selected in order to achieve optimal dynamical response.

The SCL UEL action, on the other hand, is typically used without an inverse time response due to stability requirements and to avoid an out-of-step operation of the generator. This aspect is covered in the model with an adequate selection of $I_{instUEL}$ (e.g., equal to I_{lim}). The respective LV gate therefore uses $I_{instUEL}$ as the reference value for the SCL UEL loop. The actual feedback I_{ACTuel} is also built from generator's active- and reactive-currents, offering an individual representation of their associated transducers (T_{IQuel} , K_{IQuel} , T_{IPuel} , K_{IPuel}). A supplementary control loop of reactive power is available to prevent the SCL2C UEL function from moving the generator into overexcited conditions, which can be utilized by an adequate selection of $I_{QmaxUEL}$.

The SCL UEL activation logic shown in Figure 52 permits the user to specify a time delay T_{enUEL} greater than zero that would disable the instantaneous UEL response for the selected time frame and allow very high transient-forcing capabilities. This feature can be disabled using a setting for T_{enUEL} which is equal to zero.

When representing a summation point SCL, the lower limit for the UEL output ($V_{UELminI}$) should be set to zero, while the upper limit ($V_{UELmaxI}$) should be a positive value corresponding to the maximum increase in voltage reference that the UEL can introduce. Disabling of the SCL UEL, on the other hand, is achieved with a setting of zero for both limitations.

To represent a takeover UEL, the upper limit for the UEL output $(V_{UELmaxI})$ should be set to positive values, coordinated with the expected values for the corresponding AVR signal at the selected HV gate location. The lower limit $(V_{UELminI})$ should be set to a negative value, which again should be coordinated with the applied exciter model. Disabling of the SCL UEL on the other hand is achieved with a large negative number set for both limitations.

The SCL UEL dynamic response is determined by the parameters in the PID and double lead-lag path from the stator current UEL error to the UEL model output V_{SCLuel} . A summation point UEL might not need any dynamic compensation, so just the gain K_{Puel} would be nonzero. If only the PID control is required, the lead-lag time constants should be set to zero to indicate that these blocks are bypassed. If the double leadlag compensation is used, the gain K_{Puel} can provide the overall (steady-state) gain, while the integral and derivative gains of the PID block would be set to zero and the double lead-lag time constants (T_{Cluel} , T_{Bluel} , T_{C2uel} , T_{B2uel}) are selected in order to achieve optimal dynamical response.

IEEE Std 421.5-2016 IEEE Recommended Practice for Excitation System Models for Power System Stability Studies



 $$93\$ Copyright © 2016 IEEE. All rights reserved.

footnotes:

(a)	$\begin{array}{l} SCL_{UEL} \mbox{ activation logic uses user-selected parameters } T_{enUEL}, T_{off}, I_{THoff}, \\ I_{reset} \mbox{ and } I_{instUEL}. \mbox{ It also uses the signals } T_{err}, I_{ACTuel} \mbox{ and } I_{retUEL} \mbox{ shown in the block diagram}. Function can be disabled by setting } T_{enUEL} \mbox{ to a large value}. \end{array}$	(d)	SCL ramp rate logic uses user-selected parameters SW ₁ , K _{ZRU} , T _{SCL} , K _{RU} K _{RD} and V _{Treset} . It also uses the signals T _{err} , I _{ERRIMT} and V _{Titti} shown in the block diagram. The parameter SW ₂ is a user-selected logic which will select fixed ramp rates					
	$\begin{array}{l} IF\left\{(T_{err}\leq 0) \text{ or } \left[(I_{ACTuel} > I_{refUEL}) \text{ for longer than } T_{enUEL}\right] \} \text{ or } (T_{enUEL}=0) \\ \\ enable UEL \rightarrow I_{UELbias} = 0 \\ \\ ELSEIF\left\{(I_{refUEL} \geq I_{instUEL}) \text{ and } \left[(I_{refUEL} - I_{ACTuel}) > I_{THoff}\right] \text{ for longer than } T_{off} \\ \\ \\ \\ reset OEL \rightarrow I_{UELbias} = I_{reset} \end{array}\right.$		or a ramp rate function of the field current error. IF $SW_1 = 0$ (fixed ramp rates) $C = K_{RU}$ $D = K_{RD}$					
(b)	$SCL_{OEL} \mbox{ activation logic uses user-selected parameters } T_{enOEL}, T_{off}, I_{THoff}, I_{reset}, V_{Treset} \mbox{ and } I_{inst}. \mbox{ It also uses the signals } T_{efr}, I_{actOEL} \mbox{ and } I_{refOEL} \mbox{ shown in the block diagram}.$		ELSE C = I _{ERRinv1} D = I _{ERRinv1} ENDIF					
	$ \begin{array}{l} IF \left(V_{Tfili} > V_{Trini}\right) and \left\{(T_{err} \leq 0) \mbox{ or } \left[(I_{ACToel} > I_{refOEL}) \mbox{ for longer than } T_{enOEL}\right] \mbox{ or } (T_{enOEL}=0) \\ make one of the term of $		$ \begin{array}{l} \text{IF Terr} \geq K_{zn} ^* T_{SCL} & (\text{ramp } I_{ref} up) \\ \text{Z} = C \\ \text{ELSEIF} \left(T_{err} \leq 0 \right) \text{ or } \left(V_{Tfilt} < V_{Treset} \right) & (\text{ramp } I_{ref} down) \\ \text{Z} = D \\ \text{ELSE} \end{array} $					
(c)	SCL timer logic uses user-selected parameters $Fixed_{RU},Fixed_{RD}$ and $I_{TFpu}.$ It also uses the signals I_{pu} and $I_{ERRinv2},$ shown in the block diagram.		Z = 0 ENDIF					
	$\begin{array}{l} \text{IF} \left(I_{TFpu}-I_{pu}\right) \geq 0 \\ \text{W}=\text{Fixed}_{RU}+I_{ERRim2} \\ \text{ELSE} \\ \text{W}=\text{Fixed}_{RD}+I_{ERRim2} \\ \text{ENDIF} \end{array}$	(e)	SCL reference logic uses user-selected parameter K _{Pref} and it also uses the signals l' _{ref} and l _{Pref} , shown in the block diagram. $\begin{split} I_{ref} &= I'_{ref} \\ IF K_{Pref} > 0 \text{ and } '_{ref} > _{Pref} \\ I_{ref} &= sqrt((I'_{ref}^{2} - I_{Pref}^{2}) \\ ENDIF \end{split}$					
(b)								
Figure 52—Type SCL2C stator current limiter model:								

13. Types PF and VAR—Power factor and reactive power controllers and regulators

(a) block diagram, (b) notes and footnotes

13.1 General

Excitation systems for synchronous machines are sometimes supplied with an optional means of automatically adjusting generator output reactive power (var) or power factor (pf) to a user-specified value. This can be accomplished with either a reactive power or power factor controller or regulator, as described in reference Hurley, Bize, and Mummert [B18]. A reactive power or power factor controller is defined as a "var/pf controller" in IEEE Std 421.1 as "A control function that acts through the reference adjuster to modify the voltage regulator set point to maintain the synchronous machine steady-state power factor or reactive power at a predetermined value." A var/pf regulator is defined as "A synchronous machine regulator that functions to maintain the power factor or reactive component of power at a predetermined value."

The use of a var/pf controller or regulator has its origin in industrial applications of synchronous motors and generators, in which the synchronous machine is typically tied directly to a plant distribution bus. In many of these industrial applications, the machine voltage is expected to follow any variations in the utility-fed system voltage, in which case machine terminal voltage regulation may not be desirable. Var/pf controllers and regulators are often used in these types of industrial applications. On the other hand, large generators connected to bulk power systems are usually required to operate on automatic voltage control and the use of these power factor or reactive power controllers is forbidden, either by reliability standards (e.g., North American Electric Reliability Corporation [B45]) or grid interconnection agreements (e.g., Independent Electricity System Operator [B30]).

In this sense, each synchronous machine on a power system might be placed into one of the two following categories:

- a) Voltage supporting machines
- b) Voltage following machines

Voltage supporting machines are the synchronous machines which would be expected to aid in the regulation of system voltage. Most generators and synchronous condensers should be in this category, particularly larger machines or any machines that deliver power directly to the transmission system. These machines should typically regulate voltage, in which case specification of a var/pf controller or regulator would not be appropriate.

Voltage following machines are the synchronous machines which would not be expected to aid in the regulation of system voltage, but whose voltage would be expected to follow the variations of incoming system voltage. This category would tend to include small synchronous machines that are connected to distribution systems whose incoming voltage is regulated by the utility with load tap changing transformers or other such devices (IEEE Std C50.13 [B27]). These machines are typically the ones that could justifiably be specified to include a var/PF controller or regulator.

It is in the interest of maintaining proper grid voltage stability and voltage support that as many machines as possible be operated as voltage supporting, rather than voltage following machines.

Var/pf controllers and regulators are popular with small independent power producers, since they eliminate one of the labor-intensive operating activities. When applied to large machines or machines connected to the transmission system, however, they reduce the amount of voltage regulation, which may adversely affect power system stability. If improperly configured, var/pf controllers and regulators can also contribute to system overvoltage or undervoltage. Many utilities are developing policies to limit the use of such controls, or at least require that each application is reviewed in detail.

At the distribution level the situation is somewhat different. Distribution systems were not originally designed to rely on voltage regulation from generation sources; instead other means such as capacitor banks, load tap changing transformers or feeder voltage regulators were relied upon. Although introduction of voltage regulation can improve the voltage profile and dynamic response of distribution systems, coordination with existing controls could be a problem where multiple voltage controlling devices are located on a single feeder. Under these circumstances, var/pf controls provide an alternative mode of operation that could be easier to coordinate (EPRI TR-111490 [B10]).

In the case of a controller, the AVR is equipped with a slow, outer-loop control, which uses the error between the desired and measured pf, var, or reactive current signal to raise or lower the AVR's set-point, in order to maintain the desired unit reactive output. This is the same as if the unit were under the control of an attentive operator. The var or pf controller tends to perform the right action during a disturbance because the voltage regulator reacts immediately and the var or pf slowly integrates its setpoint back to normal after the voltage regulator corrective action occurs. A var/pf controller should allow dynamic voltage support during faults. A var/pf regulator might not allow dynamic voltage support during faults. So a controller, instead of a regulator, is used where dynamic voltage support during faults is desired.

In the case of a var/pf regulator, the var/pf regulator eliminates the AVR terminal voltage feedback loop, and instead directly controls the unit's field voltage to regulate pf or var to the user's reference set point. These types of regulators typically utilize a reference adjuster and error detection methods similar to that with a voltage regulator, except for the sensed feedback signal. This regulator could be implemented as a separate device, or as part of a programmable logic control system used to control different aspects of the generator's operation. For motors, continuous acting control may typically be implemented using a regulator so as to increase the machines pull out torque when subjected to pulse type loads. For generators, one should be careful in applying var/pf regulators.
Since var/pf regulators function similar to a voltage regulator, the var/pf regulators can be modeled using the same models as most of the excitation systems. The only change to these models is that the terminal voltage input V_C is replaced by the quantity being regulated, i.e., power factor or vars. The controller functions require a new set of models to simulate how they modify the reference signal V_{REF} , and consequently the machine terminal voltage, so as to keep the controlled quantity near to a set value over an extended time period. Included in the controller is a time delay. This allows the machine to provide voltage support until the time delay has been exceeded. In addition this time delay allows a synchronous generator to support voltage while a synchronous motor is being started. Sample data is provided in Annex H.

13.2 Power factor input normalization

The control action of the power factor controller or regulator is determined based on whether the generator is operating in the overexcited or underexcited region. By strict definition, power factor is positive when real power is positive and negative when real power is negative, as expressed in Equation (11):

$$pf = \frac{P_T}{\sqrt{P_T^2 + Q_T^2}} \tag{11}$$

where

- *pf* is the power factor of the synchronous generator
- P_T is the active power output of the generator
- Q_T is the reactive power output of the generator

Figure 53 presents the power factor of a generator considering a fixed active power output. The excitation system controls the field current and thus the excitation level of the machine. There is a certain value of field current that results in power factor equal to unity or, equivalently, reactive power output of the generator equal to zero. Further increasing the excitation level pushes the generator into the overexcited operation region and the generator convention for the output current). Similarly, reducing the excitation from the level required to maintain unity power factor puts the generation into the underexcited operation region and the generator from the generator puts the generation into the underexcited operation region and the generator draws reactive power from the grid (considered a negative reactive power value).

Thus, based on the definition of power factor shown in Figure 53, there are two possible setpoints (and field currents) that would result in the same power factor: one in the overexcited region and another in the underexcited region. Therefore, the power factor definition needs to be modified to provide a unique definition of the required setpoint (field current), in order to achieve a proper power factor controller.

A common convention in excitation systems is to assume that the machine is operating as a generator (positive active power output) and then define power factor as negative when operating in the underexcited region. Figure 54 shows the modified convention for power factor as the excitation level (field current) is increased.



Figure 53—Power factor of a generator for varying excitation levels



Figure 54—Example of a modified definition of power factor for excitation systems

97 Copyright © 2016 IEEE. All rights reserved.

IEEE Std 421.5-2016 IEEE Recommended Practice for Excitation System Models for Power System Stability Studies

This modified power factor definition leads to a discontinuous function for power factor versus excitation level, while it is desirable for modeling and control purposes to have a continuous function. There are two typically used methods for normalizing power factor to obtain a continuous function. The first is represented in Figure 55 and its model shown in Figure 56. The power factor is calculated from the generator active and reactive power outputs, as given in Equation (11). The power factor is modified to be negative for the underexcited operation region, as shown in Figure 54, and then normalized to the scale shown in Figure 55. Using this procedure, the normalized power factor becomes a continuous function going from negative to positive as the generator goes from underexcited to overexcited operation (increasing field current).

The second normalization procedure is represented in Figure 57 and its model is shown in Figure 58. The normalized power factor moves from less than one to greater than one going from an underexcited case to an overexcited case.

The $PF_{REFnorm}$ and PF_{norm} signals are used as the inputs to the power factor models and it is assumed that a normalization process is being applied to calculate PF_{norm} , and therefore the definition of the reference power factor $PF_{REFnorm}$ follows the same normalization process used in the calculation of PF_{norm} . When implemented properly, either method for handling this discontinuity can be applied and it should not affect the model response.



Figure 55—Normalized power factor from -1 to +1

98 Copyright © 2016 IEEE. All rights reserved.

IEEE Std 421.5-2016 IEEE Recommended Practice for Excitation System Models for Power System Stability Studies



Figure 56—Model for normalized power factor from -1 to +1





Figure 57—Power factor normalized from 0 to 2

IEEE Std 421.5-2016 IEEE Recommended Practice for Excitation System Models for Power System Stability Studies



Figure 58—Model for normalized power factor from 0 to 2

13.3 Voltage reference adjuster

The model shown in Figure 59 is used to represent the voltage adjuster in either a power factor or var control system represented by a Type 1 model (see 13.4 and 13.5). The output of the model is the V_{REF} signal that is to be used as the V_{REF} input shown in any of the previously presented excitation system models. The magnitude of the slew rate (V_{ADJ}) is determined by the parameters T_{slew} , V_{REFmax} , and V_{REFmin} . The parameter T_{slew} corresponds to the time required to change the voltage reference V_{REF} from V_{REFmin} to V_{REFmax} . The voltage reference adjuster model requires the raise (V_{CR}) and lower (V_{CL}) signals from one of the Type 1 controller models (pf or var controllers), and a user-selected parameter V_{ADJF} indicating if the adjuster should be raised at a fast rate. In the voltage adjuster model, when both input signals V_{CR} and V_{CL} are inactive, the signal V_{ADJ} is 2ero and the output V_{REF} remains constant. When only V_{CR} is active, V_{ADJ} is positive when V_{pulse} is 1 (T_{on}), and V_{ADJ} is 0 when V_{pulse} is 0 (T_{off}). The user-selected parameter V_{ADJF} can be used to allow a fast rate of change in the voltage reference V_{REF} . When V_{ADJF} is active the pulse generator (V_{pulse}) is ignored, thus the slew rate V_{ADJ} is positive when the raise signal V_{CR} is active.

IEEE Std 421.5-2016 IEEE Recommended Practice for Excitation System Models for Power System Stability Studies



ENDIF IF (V_{ADJF} is inactive) and (Vpulse = 0) $V_{ADJ} = 0$ ENDIF

Figure 59—Voltage adjuster model

13.4 Power factor controller Type 1

The model shown in Figure 60 is used to represent a Type 1 power factor controller that operates by moving the voltage reference directly. The model assumes that a normalized power factor PF_{norm} is being calculated based on one of the methods presented in 13.2. The power factor reference $PF_{REFnorm}$ is assumed to be calculated in the same manner as the normalized power factor.

The power factor controller generates "Adjuster Raise" (V_{CR}) or "Adjuster Lower" (V_{CL}) signals, which are used as inputs to the voltage adjuster model described in 13.3. The power factor controller Type 1 operates after a time delay to raise or lower the reference setpoint until the generator power factor is within the set deadband value (V_{PFC_BW}). Both outputs are inactive when the calculated power factor error (PF_{err}) is between $-V_{PFC_BW}$ and V_{PFC_BW} . When the power factor error exceeds $+V_{PFC_BW}$ for a time greater than T_{PFC} seconds, the output V_{CR} becomes active and the voltage adjuster model (see 13.3) raises excitation, which will then increase the normalized power factor PF_{norm} , reducing the power factor error (PF_{err}). When the power factor error (PF_{err}) is more negative than $-V_{PFC_BW}$ for a time greater than T_{PFC} seconds, the output V_{CL} becomes active and the voltage adjuster model lowers excitation, reducing the normalized power factor PF_{norm} .

The power factor controller model becomes inactive if the magnitude of the generator terminal current I_T drops below the threshold $V_{IT\min}$. The power factor controller model also becomes inactive if the magnitude of the generator terminal voltage V_T is outside the range defined by the values $V_{VT\min}$ and $V_{VT\max}$. The power factor controller model also becomes inactive if any excitation limiter (OEL, UEL, or SCL) becomes active.



- (a) The raise (V_{CR}) and lower (V_{CL}) signals are logical signals to be connected to the voltage adjuster model.
- (b) The signal PF_{norm} is the normalized power factor of the machine, while PF_{REFnorm} is the desired (reference) setpoint, using the same normalization as PF_{norm}.
- (c) The PF controller logic uses user-selected parameters V_{ITmin}, V_{VTmax}, V_{PFC_BW} and T_{PFC}. It also requires the signals PF_{err} and the magnitudes of the generator terminal voltage (V_T) and current (I_T). The logic also depends on the status of the excitation limiters, OEL, UEL and/or SCL.

```
\begin{array}{l} \mathsf{V}_{\mathsf{CR}} \rightarrow inactive \\ \mathsf{V}_{\mathsf{CL}} \rightarrow inactive \\ \mathsf{IF} \quad \mathsf{OEL} \text{ and } \mathsf{UEL} \text{ and } \mathsf{SCL} \text{ are inactive} \\ \mathsf{IF} (\mathsf{I}_{\mathsf{T}} > \mathsf{V}_{\mathsf{ITmin}}) \text{ and } (\mathsf{V}_{\mathsf{T}} > \mathsf{V}_{\mathsf{VTmin}}) \text{ and } (\mathsf{V}_{\mathsf{T}} < \mathsf{V}_{\mathsf{VTmax}}) \\ \mathsf{IF} \mathsf{PF}_{\mathsf{err}} > \mathsf{V}_{\mathsf{PFC}\_\mathsf{BW}} \text{ for longer than } \mathsf{T}_{\mathsf{PFC}} \\ \mathsf{V}_{\mathsf{CR}} \rightarrow active \\ \mathsf{ELSEIF} \mathsf{PF}_{\mathsf{err}} < -\mathsf{V}_{\mathsf{PFC}\_\mathsf{BW}} \text{ for longer than } \mathsf{T}_{\mathsf{PFC}} \\ \mathsf{V}_{\mathsf{CL}} \rightarrow active \\ \mathsf{ENDIF} \\ \mathsf{ENDIF} \\ \mathsf{ENDIF} \end{array}
```

Figure 60—Power factor controller Type 1 model

13.5 Var controller Type 1

The model shown in Figure 61 represents a Type 1 var (reactive power) controller that operates by moving the voltage reference through the voltage adjuster model shown in 13.3. The var controller generates "Adjuster Raise" (V_{CR}) or "Adjuster Lower" (V_{CL}) signals, which are used as inputs to the voltage adjuster model shown in Figure 59. The var controller operates after a time delay to raise or lower the reference setpoint until the generator reactive power (var) is within the given deadband value (V_{VARC_BW}). Both outputs signals V_{CR} and V_{CL} are inactive when the reactive power error Q_{err} is between $-V_{VARC_BW}$ and $+V_{VARC_BW}$. When the reactive power error exceeds V_{VARC_MW} for a time greater than T_{VARC} seconds, the output V_{CR} becomes active and the voltage reference adjuster model raises excitation, causing the reactive power output of the generator to increase, until the reactive power error drops below V_{VARC_BW} . When the reactive power error is more negative than $-V_{VARC_BW}$ for a time greater than T_{VARC} seconds, the output V_{CL} becomes active and the voltage reference adjuster model lowers excitation, causing the reactive power output of the generator to be reduced.

The var controller model becomes inactive if the magnitude of the generator terminal current I_T drops below the threshold V_{TTmin} . The power factor controller model also becomes inactive if the magnitude of the generator terminal voltage V_T is outside the range defined by the values V_{VTmin} and V_{VTmax} . The var controller model also becomes inactive if any excitation limiter (OEL, UEL, or SCL) becomes active.



- (a) The raise (V_{CR}) and lower (V_{CL}) signals are logical signals to be connected to the voltage adjuster model.
- (b) The reactive power output of the generator (Q_T) is assumed to be positive for overexcited and negative for underexcited operation. The signal Q_{REF} is the desired (reference) setpoint for the reactive power output of the unit.
- (c) The var controller logic uses user-selected parameters V_{ITmin} , V_{VTmin} , V_{VTmax} , V_{VARC_BW} and T_{VARC} . It also requires the signals Q_{err} and the magnitudes of the generator terminal voltage (V_T) and current (I_T). The logic also depends on the status of the excitation limiters, OEL, UEL and/or SCL.

```
\begin{array}{ll} \mathsf{V}_{\mathsf{CR}} \rightarrow inactive \\ \mathsf{V}_{\mathsf{CL}} \rightarrow inactive \\ \mathsf{IF} & \mathsf{OEL} \ \textbf{and} \ \mathsf{UEL} \ \textbf{and} \ \mathsf{SCL} \ are inactive \\ \mathsf{IF} & \mathsf{IF} \ (\mathsf{I}_{\mathsf{T}} > \mathsf{V}_{\mathsf{ITmin}}) \ \textbf{and} \ (\mathsf{V}_{\mathsf{T}} > \mathsf{V}_{\mathsf{VTmax}}) \\ \mathsf{IF} \ \mathsf{Q}_{\mathsf{err}} > \mathsf{V}_{\mathsf{VARC}\_\mathsf{BW}} \ for \ \mathsf{longer} \ \mathsf{than} \ \mathsf{T}_{\mathsf{VARC}} \\ \mathsf{V}_{\mathsf{CR}} \rightarrow \mathsf{active} \\ \mathsf{ELSEIF} \ \mathsf{Q}_{\mathsf{err}} < -\mathsf{V}_{\mathsf{VARC}\_\mathsf{BW}} \ \mathsf{for} \ \mathsf{longer} \ \mathsf{than} \ \mathsf{T}_{\mathsf{VARC}} \\ \mathsf{V}_{\mathsf{CL}} \rightarrow \mathsf{active} \\ \mathsf{ENDIF} \\ \mathsf{ENDIF} \end{array}
```

Figure 61—Var controller Type 1 model

13.6 Power factor controller Type 2

The power factor controller Type 2 shown in Figure 62 is a summing point type controller and makes up the outside loop of a two-loop system. The model assumes that a normalized power factor PF_{norm} is being calculated based on one of the methods presented in 13.2. The power factor reference $P_{FREFnorm}$ is assumed to be calculated in the same manner as the normalized power factor.

This power factor controller should be implemented as a slow PI controller acting as the outside loop, while the voltage regulator forms the inner loop and is implemented as a fast controller. As shown in Figure 62, the power factor controller generates the pf controller signal (V_{PF}), which should be added to the voltage reference V_{REF} , used as input to the voltage regulator loop. When properly tuned, the resulting control makes the generator power factor reach the desired power factor setpoint smoothly. Unlike the power factor controller Type 1, shown in 13.4, this model does not represent a dead band or a time delay: the controller response time is determined by the PI controller gains.

The pf controller model becomes inactive if the magnitude of the generator terminal current I_T drops below the threshold $V_{IT\min}$. The power factor controller model also becomes inactive if the magnitude of the generator terminal voltage V_T is outside the range defined by the values $V_{VT\min}$ and $V_{VT\max}$. The power factor controller model also becomes inactive if any excitation limiter (OEL, UEL, or SCL) becomes active.



- (a) The output of the model (V_{PF}) is an incremental variable that should be added to the voltage reference setpoint (V_{REF}) in the excitation system model.
- (b) The signal PF_{norm} is the normalized power factor of the machine, while $PF_{REFnorm}$ is the desired (reference) setpoint, using the same normalization as PF_{norm} .
- (c) The PF controller logic uses user-selected parameters V_{ITmin}, V_{VTmin}, and V_{VTmax}. It also requires the signals PF_{err} and the magnitudes of the generator terminal voltage (V_T) and current (I_T). The logic also depends on the status of the excitation limiters, OEL, UEL and/or SCL.

Figure 62—pf controller Type 2 sodel

13.7 Var controller Type 2

The var controller Type 2 shown in Figure 63 is a summing point type controller. It makes up the outside loop of a two-loop system.



- (a) The output of the model (V_{VAR}) is an incremental variable that should be added to the voltage reference setpoint (V_{REF}) in the excitation system model.
- (b) The reactive power output of the generator (Q_T) is assumed to be positive for overexcited and negative for underexcited operation. The signal Q_{REF} is the desired (reference) setpoint for the reactive power output of the unit.
- (c) The var controller logic uses user-selected parameters V_{ITmin} , V_{VTmin} and V_{VTmax} . It also requires the signals Q_{err} and the magnitudes of the generator terminal voltage (V_T) and current (I_T). The logic also depends on the status of the excitation limiters, OEL, UEL and/or SCL.

Figure 63—var controller Type 2 model

This controller should be implemented as a slow PI controller and the voltage regulator forms the inner loop and is implemented as a fast controller. As shown in Figure 63, the var controller generates the var controller output signal (V_{VAR}), which should be added to the voltage reference V_{REF} , used as input to the voltage regulator loop. When properly tuned, the resulting control makes the generator reactive power output reach the desired reactive power setpoint smoothly. Unlike the var controller Type 1, shown in 13.5, this model does not represent a dead band or a time delay: the controller response time is determined by the PI controller gains.

The var controller model becomes inactive if the magnitude of the generator terminal current I_T drops below the threshold $V_{IT\min}$. The var controller model also becomes inactive if the magnitude of the generator terminal voltage V_T is outside the range defined by the values $V_{VT\min}$ and $V_{VT\max}$. The var controller model also becomes inactive if any excitation limiter (OEL, UEL, or SCL) becomes active.

14. Supplementary discontinuous excitation control

14.1 General

In some particular system configurations, continuous excitation control with terminal voltage and power system stabilizing regulator input signals might not be sufficient to fully exploit the potential of the excitation system for improving system stability. For these situations, discontinuous excitation control signals may be employed to enhance stability following large transient disturbances, see Bayne, Kundur, and Watson [B4], Lee and Kundur [B38], and Taylor [B51].

14.2 Type DEC1A discontinuous excitation control

The Type DEC1A discontinuous excitation control model, shown in Figure 64, is used to represent a scheme that boosts generator excitation to a level higher than that demanded by the voltage regulator and stabilizer immediately following a system fault. The scheme, which has been applied to a number of large synchronous generators with bus-fed static exciters (e.g., model ST1C, 8.3), adds a signal proportional to rotor angle change to the terminal voltage and power system stabilizing signals. This angle signal is used only during the transient period of about two seconds because it results in steady-state instability if used continuously. The objective of such a control is to maintain the field voltage and, hence, the terminal voltage high until the maximum of the rotor angle swing is reached. This control is used specifically for instances where both local and inter-area oscillations are present in the transient, and where the back swing of the local mode would otherwise bring the excitation off ceiling before the true peak of the angular swing is reached. Excessive terminal voltage is prevented by the use of a terminal voltage limiter circuit.

The effect of this discontinuous control, in addition to increasing generator terminal voltage and air-gap power, is to raise the system voltage level and hence load power, contributing to unit deceleration.

As shown in Figure 64, the speed (or equivalent) PSS signal provides continuous control to maintain steady-state stability under normal operating conditions. For the discontinuous control, a signal proportional to change in the angle of the synchronous machine is obtained by integrating the speed signal. It is not a perfect integrator, i.e., the signal is reset with the time constant T_{AN} .

The speed change is integrated only during the transient period following a severe system fault. The relay contact (S_l) which introduces the signal, is closed if the following conditions are satisfied:

- A drop in terminal voltage in excess of a preset value;
- Regulator output at positive ceiling; and
- Rise in speed above a preset value

The relay contact (S_l) is opened when either

- The speed change drops below a threshold value, or
- Regulator output comes off ceiling



- (b) Signal V_A is defined in the block diagram for the ST1C model
- (c) Signal V_{ST} is the output of the PSS model
- (d) Switch S₁ is closed when the output of the logical block is true
- (e) The output of the decision block is 1 if the logic operation indicated in the block is true

Figure 64—Type DEC1A discontinuous excitation controller transient excitation boosting with dual action terminal voltage limiter

The output of the integrator block then decays exponentially with a time constant T_{AN} .

The use of a fast-acting terminal voltage limiter is essential for satisfactory application of this discontinuous excitation control scheme. A dual voltage limiter is used to provide fast response and a high degree of security, without the risk of exciting shaft torsional oscillations. One of the limiters is fast acting and uses a discrete or bang-bang type of control with hysteresis to limit the generator terminal voltage. The second limiter uses a continuous control action and is slower acting, but limits to a lower terminal voltage. It takes over control of terminal voltage from the first limiter after an initial delay and limits the terminal voltage to a lower value for sustained overexcitation conditions such as those that could be caused by malfunction of PSS or DEC controls. By overriding the action of the discrete limiter, the slower limiter prevents sustained terminal voltage and resulting power oscillations inherent to the action of the bang-bang limiter should the unit be operating continuously against the limit for any reason.

The outputs of the power system stabilizer V_{ST} the terminal voltage limiter, and the angle signal are combined, and overall limits are applied to the new signal V_S which goes to the summing junction of the voltage regulator.

14.3 Type DEC2A discontinuous excitation control

A model for the DEC2A discontinuous excitation control is shown in Figure 65. This system provides transient excitation boosting via an open loop control as initiated by a trigger signal generated remotely. The trigger initiates a step of amplitude (V_K), which may be conditioned by a small time constant (T_{D1}). The high-pass filter block with time constant T_{D2} produces a decaying pulse which should temporarily raise generator terminal voltage and hence system voltage. The limiter freezes the filter block output if terminal voltage exceeds a fixed level. The output is released when terminal voltage drops below this level and filter block output drops below its value at the time the output was frozen (bumpless clipping using digital logic).

This transient excitation boosting is implemented at the Grand Coulee third powerhouse, with the control initiated for outage of the Pacific 3100 MW HVDC Intertie, see Taylor [B51]. For this disturbance, the inter-area mode swing center is about 1300 km from the power plant and normal voltage regulator field boosting was minimal.



14.4 Type DEC3A discontinuous excitation control

In some systems, the stabilizer output is disconnected from the regulator immediately following a severe fault to prevent the stabilizer from competing with action of voltage regulator during the first swing. This is accomplished in the DEC3A model, shown in Figure 66, by opening the output of the stabilizer for a set time (T_{DR}) if the magnitude of the terminal voltage (V_T) drops below a set value (V_{Tmin}) .

IEEE Std 421.5-2016 IEEE Recommended Practice for Excitation System Models for Power System Stability Studies



 $\begin{array}{ll} \mbox{(a)} & \mbox{PSS switch logic uses user-selected parameters V_{Tmin} and T_{DR}. It also uses the signal V_T shown in the block diagram.} \\ & \mbox{IF $V_T < V_{Tmin}$} \\ & \mbox{open switch S_1 and keep it open for the given time T_{DR}} \\ & \mbox{ELSE} \end{array}$

switch S₁ is closed, V_S = V_{ST}

- (b) Signal V_{ST} is the output of the PSS model
- Figure 66—Type DEC3A discontinuous excitation controller temporary interruption of stabilizing signal

Annex A

(normative)

Nomenclature

This annex defines the signals and other variables that are considered global, and might have been used in several different models. The specific parameters and variables of each model are described with the associated sample data in Annex H.

E_{FD}	Generator field voltage		
E_{FDbase}	Base value of the generator field voltage (see Annex B)		
$E_{FDrated}$	Rated value of the generator field voltage (see Annex B)		
E_{FE}	Exciter field voltage		
E_{FEbase}	Base value of the exciter field voltage (see Annex B)		
$E_{FErated}$	Rated value of the exciter field voltage (see Annex B)		
F_{EX}	Rectifier loading factor, as a function of the normalized current I_N (see Annex D)		
HV gate	Logical block with two or more input signals and one output signal. The output is always the higher value among all input signals.		
IFD	Generator field current		
IEDhasa	Base value of the generator field current (see Annex B)		
IFDrated	Rated value of the generator field current (see Annex B)		
IFF	Exciter field current		
IFFhase	Base value of the exciter field current (see Annex B)		
IFErated	Rated value of the exciter field current (see Annex B)		
I_N	Normalized rectifier load current (see Annex D)		
IP	Active component of generator terminal current, see Equation (2)		
I ₀	Active component of generator terminal current, see Equation (2)		
$I_{T}^{\mathfrak{G}}, \overline{I}_{T}$	Magnitude or phasor (magnitude and phase) of generator terminal current		
LV gate	Logical block with two or more input signals and one output signal. The output is always		
0	the lower value among all input signals.		
pf	Generator power factor, see Equation (11)		
\tilde{P}_T	Generator active power output		
Q_T	Generator reactive power output		
\overline{V}_C	Compensated voltage, output of the voltage transducer and current compensation model		
V_E	Exciter output voltage behind commutating reactance (ac rotating exciter model, see		
	Figure 8)		
$V_{F,} V_{FE}$	Signal proportional to exciter field current (dc rotating exciter, see Figure 3, or ac rotating exciter, see Figure 8)		
V _{OEL}	Output signal of the overexcitation limiter model (see Clause 10) and input to the excitation system models (see Clauses 6.7 and 8)		
VPFF	Voltage reference setpoint (see Clauses 6, 7, and 8)		
Vs	Combined power system stabilizer model output and possibly discontinuous excitation		
, 9	control output after any limits or switching (see Clause 14) and input to the excitation e_{1}		
	System models (see Clauses 0, 7, and 8)		
V_{SI}, V_{SII}, V_{SI2} V_{ST}	Power system stabilizer model input variable(s) (see Clause 9) Power system stabilizer model output		
V_T , \overline{V}_T	Magnitude or phasor (magnitude and phase) of generator terminal voltage		
V _{UEL}	Output signal of the underexcitation limiter model (see Clause 11) and input to the maintain grater models (see Clauses $(7, 2, 2, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3,$		
V	Excitation system models (see Clauses 0, 7 , and 8) Output signal of the Type 2 year controller (see Clauses 10)		
V VAR	Dutput signal of the Type 2 val controller (see Clause 10)		
ω	Rotor speed, in per unit of nominal speed (see 14.2)		

Copyright © 2016 IEEE. All rights reserved.

Annex B

(normative)

Per-unit system

Generator (synchronous machine) currents and voltages in system studies are represented by variables expressed in terms of per unit (pu) using the per-unit system, where each designated base quantity represents a value of one per unit (1 pu). In the per-unit system used here, one per unit (1 pu) synchronous machine terminal voltage is defined to be rated voltage, and one per unit (1 pu) stator current is the rated current, calculated with the synchronous machine at rated conditions (rated MVA and rated terminal voltage).

The base value for generator field current ($I_{FDbase} = 1$ pu) is the field current required to produce rated synchronous machine terminal voltage on the air-gap line (IEEE Committee Report, 1973 [B22]), as shown in Figure B.1.

The base value for generator field voltage ($E_{FDbase} = 1$ pu) is the corresponding field voltage to produce the 1 pu generator field current⁵.

This is referred to as the non-reciprocal per-unit system in (IEEE Std 1110 [B24]). Figure B.1 shows the base value ($I_{FDbase} = 1$ pu) for the generator field current on the air-gap line, using the generator open-circuit saturation characteristic as the basis for determining the generator air-gap line.

⁵ It is important to note that grid codes often define ceiling voltage in per-unit terms with rated field voltage defined as one per-unit base and where rated field current and voltage are those values needed for the generator to operate at rated conditions. This contrasts with the definition used here where the current required to produce rated synchronous machine terminal voltage on the air-gap line is used. As the definition of field voltage to produce rated terminal voltage on the air-gap line is inherently much less than the field voltage at rated conditions then a particular value of per-unit ceiling voltage (e.g., 2 pu) will represent a much higher actual field voltage when using a grid code definition than might be understood if the definition in IEEE Std 421.5 were used.



Figure B.1—Generator open-circuit saturation characteristics

Excitation system models interface with both the stator and field terminals of the generator model. Signals which are summed with the sensed generator terminal voltage at the input to the voltage regulator must, of necessity, be normalized to the base value which would have the same effect as 1 pu terminal voltage.

In the excitation system models, exciter output current is expressed in per unit on the generator field current base, and exciter output voltage is expressed in per unit on the generator field voltage base. Note that if these base values for generator field current and generator field voltage differ from those used internally in the model of the synchronous machine, then appropriate base conversion of these quantities might be required at the interface between the excitation system model and the synchronous machine model. These interfaces are shown in Figure 1, but without showing any base conversion that might be required.

The base value for generator field voltage (E_{FDbase}) in this per-unit system depends directly on the generator field resistance base. A reference temperature of the generator field winding was defined with respect to insulation class in IEEE Std C50.13 [B27]. In IEEE Std 421.1, two temperatures (75 °C and 100 °C) on which to calculate the field resistance base are defined, and these are related to temperature rise rather than insulation class. For modeling purposes, both the generator field resistance base and the temperature assumed for its value should be specified. This allows recalculation, per the equations in IEEE Std 115, of a new base value for generator field resistance for any desired operating temperature.

In the past, several different bases have been used to normalize regulator output voltage. Similar excitation systems having essentially the same performance characteristics can have quite different parameters depending on the choice of this base, see the 1968 IEEE Committee Report [B21].

For excitation systems using a rotating exciter represented by a Type AC model, the base value for exciter field current ($I_{FEbase} = 1$ pu) is that current required for 1 pu exciter output voltage on the exciter air-gap

IEEE Std 421.5-2016 IEEE Recommended Practice for Excitation System Models for Power System Stability Studies

line (unloaded), where 1 pu exciter output voltage is equal to 1 pu generator field voltage. Since the generator field resistance base value depends on the selected temperature, the value for I_{FEbase} is also dependent on the selected temperature for the generator field winding, and might need to be recalculated for any other desired operating temperature. The base value for exciter field voltage ($E_{FEbase} = 1$ pu) is the corresponding exciter field voltage to produce 1 pu exciter field current, which depends on the exciter field resistance base and the temperature assumed for its value should be specified.

Figure B.2 shows an example of the characteristic curves for a rotating exciter represented by a Type AC model. The exciter no-load saturation curve assumes the exciter output current is zero, while the exciter constant resistance load saturation curve assumes the exciter output is loaded with a resistance equal to the generator field resistance (at the selected temperature, as described above). The base value for the exciter field current (I_{FEbase}) is determined from the no-load saturation curve for the rotating exciter. Thus, I_{FEbase} (= 1 pu) is typically a relatively small value, compared to the actual exciter field current required for normal operation with the exciter output connected to the generator field winding. For the example shown in Figure B.2, the exciter field current with the generator at rated voltage and no load is about 2 pu, and about 5.3 pu at rated generator load.

Each Type AC excitation system model includes the variable V_E representing the exciter ac output voltage and E_{FD} representing the dc output voltage to the generator field. The base value of V_E is defined to be the ac output voltage required to obtain 1 pu E_{FD} with the exciter output unloaded, or with $I_{FD} = 0$. As seen in Figure 8 and Annex D, when $I_{FD} = 0$ there are no rectifier loading effects, and thus $F_{EX} = 1$, and the values of V_E and E_{FD} , when expressed in per unit, are equal with the exciter unloaded. Furthermore, with the exciter output voltage E_{FD} expressed in pu, the same no-load saturation curve and air-gap line shown in Figure B.2 also applies to V_E when expressed in pu.



Figure B.2—Rotating exciter saturation characteristics

For excitation systems using a rotating exciter represented by a Type DC model, there are two possible methods to define the base value for exciter field current ($I_{FEbase} = 1$ pu). The more traditional method, consistent with the method used for ac exciters and synchronous machines, is shown in Figure B.2, where the base value for exciter field current (I_{FEbase}) is that current required to produce 1 pu exciter output voltage on the exciter air-gap line (unloaded), where 1 pu exciter output voltage is equal to 1 pu generator field voltage. Note that with this method the exciter air-gap line is also used as a basis for defining the exciter saturation function (Annex C).

The alternative method of defining I_{FEbase} for a Type DC model utilizes a linear extrapolation of the exciter constant resistance load saturation curve, instead of the air-gap line. With this method, I_{FEbase} is that current required for 1 pu exciter output voltage on a linear extrapolation of the exciter constant resistance load saturation curve. In this case the constant resistance load saturation curve (and its linear extrapolation) considers the load resistance equal to the generator field resistance base described above. Note that with this method the linear extrapolation of the exciter constant resistance saturation curve is also used as a basis for defining the exciter saturation function (Annex C).

With both methods, the generator field resistance base value depends on the selected temperature, so the value for I_{FEbase} is also dependent on the selected temperature for the generator field winding, and might need to be recalculated for any other desired operating temperature. The base value for exciter field voltage $(E_{FEbase} = 1 \text{ pu})$ is the corresponding exciter field voltage to produce 1 pu exciter field current, which depends on the exciter field resistance. Similar to the discussion for the generator field winding, the exciter field resistance base and the temperature assumed for its value should be specified.

Annex C

(normative)

Saturation function and loading effects

C.1 General

Information in this annex relates to both the saturation characteristics of the generator (synchronous machine) and the saturation characteristics of a rotating exciter used as part of an excitation control system. The saturation function, generally designated as S(E) or as $S_E(E)$ for rotating exciters, is used to determine the amount of field current beyond the air-gap line that is needed to provide a specified generator or exciter output voltage.

C.2 Generator saturation

Although the generator saturation function is not included as part of the excitation system model, it is considered here to point out both the similarities and differences in how the generator and rotating exciter saturation functions are defined in models and expressed as input data to power system simulation programs. Refer to IEEE Std 1110 and IEEE Std 115 for further details of generator modeling and testing, respectively.

Figure C.1 shows the values on the generator open-circuit saturation curve and air-gap line used to calculate saturation factors due to magnetic saturation of the generator. At a given generator terminal voltage (E), the difference between the field current on the open-circuit saturation curve and the field current on the air-gap line is used to determine the saturation function S(E). The input data protocol for most synchronous machine models requires saturation factors to be provided at two values of terminal voltage, 1.0 pu and 1.2 pu, with saturation factors defined using Equation (C.1) and the values in Figure C.1 (Anderson and Fouad [B1]):

$$S(1.0 \text{ pu}) = \frac{I_{FD1} - I_{FD0}}{I_{FD0}}$$

$$S(1.2 \text{ pu}) = \frac{I_{FD3} - I_{FD2}}{I_{FD2}}$$
(C.1)

These saturation factors S(1.0) and S(1.2) are non-dimensional and thus can be calculated with the field currents measured in physical units, per unit, or even from direct measurement (in mm or inches, for instance) from a plot of the generator open-circuit saturation curve. A mathematical expression of the saturation function S(E) for the generator is typically derived by applying an appropriate curve fit using these two saturation factors. Different mathematical functions have been used to represent generator saturation, including quadratic, exponential, and geometric functions.



Figure C.1—Generator open-circuit saturation characteristics

C.3 Rotating exciter saturation

Depending upon the excitation system model, the function $S_E(E)$ for representing rotating exciter saturation utilizes either the exciter no-load saturation curve or the exciter constant resistance load saturation curve. Figure C.2 illustrates curves and values used in the calculation of the appropriate saturation factor for various types of rotating exciters. At a given exciter output voltage E_X , current A is the exciter field current on the exciter constant resistance load saturation curve, current B is the exciter field current on the air-gap line, and current C is the exciter field current on the exciter no-load saturation curve.

For all Type AC models (except the superseded type AC5A model), the exciter no-load saturation curve is used in defining the saturation factors for the saturation function $S_E(V_E)$ (see Figure 8). Although saturation in the Type AC models is a function of alternator output voltage V_E , the calculation of the saturation function can instead utilize the no-load saturation curve of the exciter output voltage E_{FD} , since the per-unit values of V_E and E_{FD} are the same for the unloaded exciter. If the exciter output voltage in Figure C.2 is expressed in pu, the no-load saturation curve and air-gap line are the same for both E_{FD} and V_E . Thus the Type AC exciter saturation factors used to determine $S_E(V_E)$ can instead be calculated as $S_E(E_{FD})$. Using the exciter no-load saturation curve for either V_E or E_{FD} , $S_E(V_E)$ is defined in Equation (C.2) using the values shown in Figure C.2:

$$S_E(V_E) = S_E(E_{FD}, I_{FD} = 0) = \frac{C - B}{B}$$
 (C.2)

116 Copyright © 2016 IEEE. All rights reserved.



Figure C.2—Exciter saturation characteristics

The no-load saturation curve and saturation function $S_E(V_E)$ for alternator-rectifier exciters is used to model only the effects of magnetic saturation, because exciter regulation effects are separately represented by inclusion of a demagnetizing factor K_D and a rectifier regulation function F_{EX} in the model (Annex D).

For all Type DC rotating exciter models (and also the superseded type AC5A model), the constantresistance load saturation curve is used in defining the saturation factors for the saturation function $S_E(E_{FD})$ (see Figure 3). In these cases, $S_E(E_{FD})$ is defined by Equation (C.3) using the values shown in Figure C.2:

$$S_E(E_{FD}) = \frac{A - B}{B} \tag{C.3}$$

For all Type DC rotating exciter models the specific values used for *B* in Equation (C.3) depend upon the method in which the base value of exciter field current (I_{FEbase}) is defined for an exciter represented in a Type DC model (Annex B). If I_{FEbase} is defined based on the more traditional use of the exciter air-gap line, then the values of *B* in Equation (C.3) are from the exciter air-gap line. If I_{FEbase} is defined based on the alternative use of the linear extrapolation of the exciter constant resistance load saturation curve, then the values of *B* in Equation (C.3) are from the linear extrapolation of the exciter constant resistance load saturation curve, shown as the point B^{*} in Figure C.2.

For Type DC models the effects of both magnetic saturation and load regulation are combined into one saturation function, and thus the exciter constant resistance load saturation curve is used to determine and represent these combined effects.

Equation (C.2) and Equation (C.3) define the saturation factors as ratios of exciter field current and, thus, these saturation factors are non-dimensional and can be calculated from Figure C.2 with the horizontal axis measured in physical quantities, per unit, or direct measurement.

Note that when the exciter field resistance is significantly different from the exciter field resistance base used to determine E_{FEbase} , an adjusted value of the saturation function may be used as described in Annex A of the 1983 IEEE Committee Report [B23].

Different computer programs have represented the exciter saturation characteristic with different mathematical expressions. In general, the saturation function can be defined adequately by two points. To be consistent, the suggested procedure specifies two exciter output voltages E_1 and E_2 at which to specify the saturation factors $S_E(E_1)$ and $S_E(E_2)$ for the exciter saturation function. These data points may then be used as input parameters for the excitation system model. The mathematical function to represent the saturation function as a curve fit of the specified points is not defined here, but rather considered to be a part of the particular computer program used.

In general, the saturation factors of the rotating exciter would be specified as shown in Table C.1:

Rotating exciter model type	Exciter voltages	Saturation factors
Type DC rotating exciter model and superseded AC5A model (Figure 3)	E_{FD1}, E_{FD2}	$S_E(E_{FDI})$, $S_E(E_{FD2})$ (value calculated as shown in Equation C.3)
Type AC rotating exciter model (Figure 8)	V_{EI}, V_{E2} (= E_{FDI}, E_{FD2} in pu on no-load curve)	$S_E(V_{El}), S_E(V_{E2})$ or $S_E(E_{FDl}), S_E(E_{FD2})$ (values calculated as shown in Equation C.2)

Table C.1—Parameters for definition of rotating exciter saturation

Saturation effects are most significant at higher exciter output voltages. For dc commutator rotating exciters, since the highest exciter output voltage occurs during the maximum positive forcing condition, the exciter output voltages E_{FD1} and E_{FD2} , used to define $S_E(E_{FD1})$ and $S_E(E_{FD2})$, should be at or near the exciter ceiling voltage and at a lower value, usually near 75% of the exciter ceiling voltage. Similarly, for the alternator-rectifier exciters, the exciter voltages V_{E1} and V_{E2} (or E_{FD1} and E_{FD2}), used to define $S_E(V_{E1})$ and $S_E(V_{E1})$, should be at or near the exciter no-load ceiling voltage and at a lower value, usually near 75% of the exciter no-load ceiling voltage.

In some cases, such as a self-excited dc exciter, the ceiling voltage may not be precisely known because it depends on K_E . In such cases, the saturation factors are obtained at a specified value of exciter voltage at or near its expected maximum value. The second saturation point is then specified at a lower value, usually around 75% of the first selected value.

Annex D

(normative)

Rectifier regulation

All ac sources that supply rectifier circuits in excitation systems have an internal impedance that is predominantly inductive. The effect of this impedance extends the process of commutation and causes a non-linear decrease in rectifier average output voltage as the rectifier load current increases. The three-phase full-wave bridge rectifier circuits commonly employed have three distinct modes of operation. The rectifier load current determines the particular equations characterizing each of these three modes. Figure D.1 shows the rectifier regulation characteristics determined by the equations shown in Figure D.2. For small values of K_C , only mode 1 operation need be modeled, as is done in the Type ST1C model shown in 8.3.

The quantities E_{FD} , I_{FD} , V_E , and K_C are all expressed in per unit on the synchronous machine field base. For computer simulation purposes, the curve of Figure D.1 is defined by three segments as shown by the equations in Figure D.2.

Note that I_N should not be greater than 1. However, if I_N is greater than 1 for any reason, the model should set F_{EX} equal to 0. If I_{FD} is less than zero or I_N is less than zero, the condition should be flagged and the considerations of Annex G would then apply. Further information may be found in ANSI C34.2-1968 [B3], Witzke, Kresser, and Dillard [B53] and Krause, Wasynczuk, and Sudhoff [B32].

The rectifier regulation can have a significant impact on the ceiling generator field voltage E_{FD} . In the Type ST1C model shown in 8.3, the ceiling value for the generator field voltage E_{FD} is determined by the output limit of the model as given in Equation (D.1):

$$(E_{FD})_{ceiling} = V_T \times V_{R\max} - (K_C)_{ST1C} \times I_{FD}$$
(D.1)

For excitation system models using the rectifier model shown in Figure D.2, such as the Type ST4C model shown in 8.9, the ceiling value for the generator field voltage E_{FD} is determined by the output limit on the AVR control path and also the effect of the rectifier model on its output signal V_B . In the example of the Type ST4C model, the ceiling can be calculated as given in Equation (D.2):

$$(E_{FD})_{ceiling} = V_{M\max} \times V_B = V_{M\max} \times V_E \times F_{EX}(I_N)$$
(D.2)

For comparison with the Type ST1C model, it is assumed that $V_E = K_P \times V_T$ and the rectifier model is also operating on mode 1. Therefore Equation (D.2) can be expressed as shown in Equation (D.3):

$$(E_{FD})_{ceiling} = V_{M\max} \times K_P \times V_T \times \left[1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} (K_C)_{ST4C} \frac{I_{FD}}{V_T} \right] =$$

$$= V_{M\max} \times K_P \times V_T - \frac{K_P \times V_M\max}{\sqrt{3}} (K_C)_{ST4C} \times I_{FD}$$
(D.3)

 $\frac{119}{\text{Copyright} @ 2016 \text{ IEEE. All rights reserved.}}$

To represent the same ceiling value for the generator field voltage E_{FD} in the Type ST1C and Type ST4C models, the following relationships should exist between the parameters in these models, based on a comparison of Equation (D.1) and Equation (D.3):

$$V_{R\max} = V_{M\max} \times K_P$$

$$(K_C)_{ST1C} = \frac{K_P \times V_{M\max}}{\sqrt{3}} (K_C)_{ST4C}$$
(D.4)



Figure D.1—Rectifier regulation characteristic



Figure D.2—Rectifier regulation equations (function F_{EX})

The voltage V_E in Figure D.2 represents the ac voltage at the input of the rectifier bridge. In general, this ac voltage is the secondary voltage of an excitation transformer or is provided by a pilot exciter. Figure D.3 presents the overall model to represent the power source for the excitation system, combining the rectifier regulation model shown in Figure D.2 with the representation of an excitation transformer (input "A" in the logic switch SW_I) or the representation of an ac power source independent from the generator terminal conditions, such as a pilot exciter (position "B" of the logic switch SW_I).

 $120\ \mbox{Copyright}$ © 2016 IEEE. All rights reserved.



(a) SW₁ is a user-selection option. Position A corresponds to a power source derived from generator terminal voltage, such as an excitation transformer. Position B corresponds to a power source independent of generator terminal conditions.

Figure D.3—Representation of the power source

The variable y represents the ac voltage at the secondary of the excitation transformer and is calculated based on the generator terminal voltage V_T . Additionally, it is possible to represent the effect of compound windings in the excitation transformer (IEEE Committee Report, 1983 [B23]), so the ac voltage at the secondary of the excitation transformer has a component proportional to the generator terminal current I_T .

When representing a conventional (potential) excitation transformer, the parameter K_P should be greater than zero, while the parameters associated with the compound windings (K_I and X_L) should be set equal to zero. In this case, the phase angle for K_P (parameter θ_P) becomes irrelevant for the calculation of the magnitude of the parameter y, and therefore θ_P is commonly set to zero.

When representing a compound excitation transformer, the parameters K_I and/or X_L would have nonzero values. In such case, the proper phasor calculation shown in Figure D.3 should be applied and therefore the phase relationships become fundamental, so θ_P would have to be set accordingly.

It should also be noted that the current I_N is a normalized current, with a value ranging between 0 and 1, as shown in Figure D.2. Thus, the calculation of the parameter K_C , shown in Equation (D.4), has to take into consideration the value for the magnitude of the parameter K_P .

Annex E

(normative)

Block diagram representations

E.1 General

This annex describes the most common blocks used in the block diagrams presented in this recommended practice. Several of these blocks are relatively straightforward, but there are possible different implementations for some of these blocks, particularly when limits are applied. This annex serves as the reference for the intended implementation of the individual blocks in the block diagrams of the models presented in this recommended practice.

Two distinct types of limiters, windup and non-windup, are represented in the models. Implementation of the different types of limiters for several model blocks is described in the following clauses.

E.2 Simple integrator

The functions of these two types of limits, as applied to simple integrator blocks, are illustrated in Figure E.1 and Figure E.2.

Note the difference in block diagram notation of the two types of limiters. With the non-windup limiter (see Figure E.2), starting from a limited condition with y = A or y = B, the output of the block y begins to change in value as soon as the input to the block changes sign. This is not the case with the windup limiter (see Figure E.2), where the integrator output y should first integrate back to the limiter setting before the output x can come off the limit.







Figure E.2—Integrator with non-windup limiter

122 Copyright © 2016 IEEE. All rights reserved.

E.3 Simple time constant

Figure E.3 and Figure E.4 show the representation and implementation of single time constant blocks with windup and non-windup limiters.

It should be noted that in the case of a windup limit, the variable y is not limited. Therefore when the output variable x hits a limit, it cannot come off the limit until y comes within the limits.

In the case of the non-windup limit, to be at a limit x = y = A or x = y = B, implies input u < A or u > B, respectively. With this limiter, the output comes off the limit as soon as the input u re-enters the range within the limits defined by A < u < B.







Figure E.4—Simple time constant with non-windup limiter

E.4 Lead-lag block

A block diagram representation and equations for a windup limiter applied to a lag-lead block are provided in Figure E.5.



Figure E.5—Lead-lag block with windup limiter

Figure E.6 shows the block diagram representation for a non-windup limiter applied to a lag-lead block, along with equations and a diagram showing how it is realized. Other models of non-windup limiting of a lag-lead block are possible, but this one is considered to most accurately represent the behavior of most digital implementations of lag-lead functions.



Figure E.6—Lead-lag block with non-windup limiter



E.5 Proportional-integral (PI) block

The use of proportional-integral regulator blocks in the models ST4C, ST6C, ST8C, AC7C, and AC11C requires the definition of the implementation of the non-windup limit in the PI blocks in these computer models, as defined in Figure E.7.



The ST7C model implements a non-windup proportional-integral function as represented in Figure E.8. If a non-linearity is acting (that means a saturation is reached or a low value (LV) or high value (HV) comparator imposes another signal "w" calculated by another function, for example an over or underexcitation limiter as the output signal), then the low-pass filter output follows the PI output signal, insuring a non-windup behavior of the PI function integrator. The input signal of the low-pass filter of time constant T_i is the PI output signal, after application of all non-linearity treatments.



(b) Implementation



The PI controller in Figure E.8 is implemented according to the following principle:



125 Copyright © 2016 IEEE. All rights reserved.

The error signal u is the input signal of the function. The signal x is its output. The non-linearities can be a saturation of the output signal, or a HV gate or LV gate with any other signal. If no non-linearities are acting, the transfer function can be calculated as follows:

$$x = K_P u + \frac{1}{1 + sT_I} x \Leftrightarrow \left(1 - \frac{1}{1 + sT_I}\right) x = K_P u \Leftrightarrow x = K_P \frac{1 + sT_I}{sT_I} u$$
(E.1)

The transfer function of this diagram is:

$$\frac{x}{u} = K_P \frac{1 + sT_I}{sT_I}$$
(E.2)

Then this diagram represents a PI controller. This representation provides a non-windup behavior of the integrator.

E.6 Proportional-integral-derivative (PID) block

Several models utilize proportional-integral-derivative (PID) regulators with non-windup limits. They require some definition of the non-windup modeling for implementation of the computer models.

Often the parallel form of a PID controller with the transfer function

$$K(s) = K_P + \frac{K_I}{s} + \frac{sK_D}{1 + sT_D}$$
(E.3)

is realized by the sum of the three terms: the proportional term with the proportional gain K_P , the integral term with the integral gain K_I , and the derivative term with the derivative gain K_D and the lag time constant T_D . To avoid windup effects of the integral term, a non-windup modeling according to Figure E.9 is required similar to the non-windup scheme of a PI controller (see Figure E.7). If the sum y hits the limits, the integration is stopped. Other models of non-windup limiting of a PID controller are possible, but this one is considered to represent an easy-to-implement principle in digital control and simulation.

IEEE Std 421.5-2016 IEEE Recommended Practice for Excitation System Models for Power System Stability Studies



Figure E.9—Proportional-integral-derivative block with non-windup limit

An alternative implementation of the PID regulator with non-windup limits is applied in the Type AC11C model (7.20), which is represented as shown in Figure E.10.



Figure E.10—Alternative proportional-integral-derivative block with non-windup limit

E.7 Washout block

A block diagram representation for a washout (high-pass filter) is provided in Figure E.11. As applied in the PSS2C stabilizer, some washouts may not be used. In this case, the block should be bypassed (its output set equal to its input). Bypassing the block is denoted by setting its time constant, T_W , equal to zero.



IF $(T_W = 0) \rightarrow x = u$ (block by-passed)

Figure E.11—Washout block

\$127\$ Copyright © 2016 IEEE. All rights reserved.

E.8 Filtered derivative block

In Figure E.12 the block diagram (a) and the implementation (b) of a derivative element are illustrated. The equations of Figure E.11 show how the implementation is modeled. The derivative block with a limit is used in the AC9C model, as part of its regulator structure. The limiter is needed to allow the proper function of other excitation elements such as limiters or to model analog or numerical limited systems. To disable the limits, A and B can be set to infinite.

It should be noted that there is no difference between a windup or a non-windup limit applied to the filtered derivative block. Therefore, the same implementation can be used to either type of block diagram shown in Figure E.12(a).



Figure E.12—Filtered derivative block with limiter

E.9 Logical switch block

Figure E.13 presents the graphical representation of a logical switch. In its most basic form, the parameter SW_I represents a user-selection (constant parameter) with two possible values. This recommended practice is not defining how these possible values should be defined, but SW_I could be a numerical value such as 0 or 1, or SW_I could be a character value such as "A" or "B." In this basic form, the switch block represents two possible values for the output signal y, and the definition of which input signal $(u_A \text{ or } u_B)$ to use is fixed, determined by the selected value for the parameter SW_I .



Figure E.13—Logical switch block

IEEE Std 421.5-2016 IEEE Recommended Practice for Excitation System Models for Power System Stability Studies

In a more general form, SW_I could be a signal calculated as a function of other signals in the model and, therefore, the definition of which input signal to use could change during a simulation, as SW_I could change its value.

Notes have been added to the block diagrams that use this switch block or any other form of a logical block, so the logic described on these specific notes should supersede the definition shown in Figure E.13, in case of any discrepancies.

Annex F

(informative)

Avoiding computational problems by eliminating fast-feedback loops

F.1 General

The models represented in the body of this document are reduced order models, which do not contain all of the feedback loops of the physical system.

The models are valid for oscillation frequencies up to about 3 Hz and simulation software integration time steps of typically ¹/₄ of a cycle. This annex discusses the elimination of fast-feedback loops. The direct simulation of these loops using such time steps could result in computational problems. Therefore such computation problems have been avoided in the models by simulating the loops indirectly as limiters.

F.2 Type AC3C excitation system model

F.2.1 Minimum field voltage limiter loop

The recommended model for the Type AC3C system is shown in Figure 11. The lower limiter on the exciter voltage V_E is not a physical limit. The physical system contains a fast-feedback loop that limits the field voltage, as shown in Figure F.1.





The output of the field limiter loop is normally the lower of the two parameters entering the high value (HV) gate. As such, it has no effect on the excitation system output. As the field voltage drops, the output of the loop increases. As the field voltage decreases to approximately V_{LV} , the output of the loop becomes the greater of the two parameters entering the gate and an error signal is produced to boost the field voltage.

The field voltage limiter loop is a fast loop with a natural frequency of oscillation greater than 4.0 Hz. Direct simulation of this loop in a stability study would require time steps smaller than those normally used in stability studies. The recommended model in Figure 11 simulates the loop as a lower limiter on the exciter voltage.

 $130 \\ \text{Copyright} @ \text{2016 IEEE. All rights reserved}. \\$

IEEE Std 421.5-2016 IEEE Recommended Practice for Excitation System Models for Power System Stability Studies

F.2.2 Derivation of minimum exciter voltage

The equations representing the steady-state position of the exciter voltage can be obtained from Figure 11 and Figure F.1 as follows:

$$V_{FE} = E_{FE} = \left(K_A \times K_R \times K_{LV} \times E_{FD}\right) \left(V_{LV} - E_{FD}\right)$$
(F.1)

$$V_{FE} = \left[K_E + S_E(V_E)\right]V_E + K_D \times I_{FD}$$
(F.2)

$$E_{FD} = F_{EX}(I_N) \times V_E \tag{F.3}$$

Solving Equation (F.1) through Equation (F.3) for E_{FD} , then substituting E_{FDmin} for E_{FD} ,

$$E_{FDmin} = \frac{G_1 \times V_{LV} - K_D \times I_{FD}}{G_2} \tag{F.4}$$

where

$$G_1 = K_A \times K_R \times K_{LV} \times E_{FDmin} \tag{F.5}$$

$$G_2 = G_1 + \frac{K_E + S_E(V_E)}{F_{EX}(I_N)}$$
(F.6)

Since G_I is very large (70 to 1000), E_{FDmin} can be approximated as:

$$E_{FDmin} \cong V_{LV} \tag{F.7}$$

The minimum steady-state limit for the exciter voltage can be obtained by substituting Equation (F.7) into Equation (F.3).

$$V_{Emin} \cong \frac{F_{LV}}{F_{EX}(I_N)} \tag{F.8}$$

F.2.3 Maximum field current limiter loop

The recommended model for the AC3A system is shown in Figure 11. The upper limiter on the exciter voltage V_E , is not a physical limit. The physical system contains a fast-feedback loop that limits the exciter field current. This loop is shown in Figure F.2.

The output of the field current limiter loop is normally zero. As the field current V_{FE} increases, the output of this loop decreases. When the field current multiplied by K_{FA} exceeds E_{TX} , the output of the loop comes off its limit and an error signal to decrease the excitation is produced, thus limiting the field current.
The field current limiter loop is a fast loop with a natural frequency of oscillation greater than 4.0 Hz. Direct simulation of this loop in a stability study would require time steps smaller than those normally used in stability studies. The recommended model in Figure 11 simulates the loop as an upper limiter on the exciter voltage.



Figure F.2—Maximum field current limiter loop for the Type AC3C alternator-rectifier exciter with alternator field current limiter

F.2.4 Derivation of maximum exciter voltage

The equations representing the steady-state position of the exciter voltage can be obtained from Figure 11 and Figure F.2 as follows:

$$V_L = K_{L1} \times \left(E_{TX} - K_{FA} \times V_{FE} \right) \tag{F.9}$$

$$V_{FE} = E_{FE} = \left(K_A \times K_R \times E_{FD}\right) \left(V_L + V_S + V_{err} - V_F\right)$$
(F.10)

$$V_{FE} = \left[K_E + S_E(V_E)\right]V_E + K_D \times I_{FD}$$
(F.11)

$$E_{FD} = F_{EX}(I_N) \times V_E \tag{F.12}$$

$$V_{err} = V_{REF} - V_C \tag{F.13}$$

The exciter stabilizer output V_F is zero in the steady-state. The output decays to zero, however, with a relatively long time constant T_F , which is approximately 1.0 seconds. The other time constants in the system vary from 0.01 to 0.02 seconds with the exception of T_E , which is approximately 1.0 seconds. Although T_E is large, the effective time constant is quite small due to the large gains K_A and K_R .

By combining these equations, setting V_F equal to zero, and substituting V_{FEmax} for V_{FE} ,

$$V_{FEmax} = (K_{L1} \times E_{TX} + V_S + V_{err}) \frac{G_1}{1 + G_1 \times K_{FA} \times K_{L1}}$$
(F.14)

132 Copyright © 2016 IEEE. All rights reserved. where

$$G_1 = K_A \times K_R \times F_{EX}(I_N) \times V_{Emax}$$
(F.15)

The typical values of the parameters when the field voltage is near ceiling are given below:

$$K_{L1} \times E_{TX} = 0.93$$

$$V_S = 0.0 \text{ to } 0.10$$

$$V_{err} = 0.0 \text{ to } 1.0$$

$$G_I = 1000$$

$$G_1 \times K_{FA} \times K_{L1} = 56$$

Assuming the above typical values, Equation (F.14) can be simplified:

$$V_{FEmax} = \frac{K_{L1} \times E_{TX} + V_S + V_{err}}{K_{FA} \times K_{L1}}$$
(F.16)

Solving Equation (F.11) for V_E , then substituting V_{Emax} for V_E :

$$V_{Emax} = \frac{V_{FEmax} - K_D \times I_{FD}}{K_E + S_E(V_E)}$$
(F.17)

F.3 Other Type AC excitation system models

F.3.1 Maximum field current limiter loop (fast OEL)

As an example, consider the recommended model for the Type AC2C system shown in Figure 10. Rather than the upper limiter on the exciter voltage V_E being a physical limit, the actual physical system contains a fast-feedback loop that limits the exciter field current as shown in Figure F.3.



Figure F.3—Maximum field current limiter loop for the Type AC2C high initial response alternator-rectifier excitation system with non-controlled rectifiers and feedback from exciter field current

IEEE Std 421.5-2016 IEEE Recommended Practice for Excitation System Models for Power System Stability Studies

The output of the fast field current limiter loop V_L is normally the higher of the two parameters entering the LV gate. As such, it has no effect on the excitation system output. As the exciter field current V_{FE} increases, the output of the loop decreases. As the field current increases to approximately V_{LR} , the output of the loop becomes the lower of the two parameters entering the gate and an error signal is produced to decrease the field current.

The effective time constant for the field current limiter loop is approximately 1.0 ms and direct simulation of this loop would require time steps smaller than those normally used in stability studies. The recommended model in Figure 10 simulates the loop as an upper limit on the exciter voltage.

F.3.2 Derivation of maximum exciter voltage

The equations representing the steady-state position of the exciter voltage can be obtained from Figure F.2 and Figure F.3, as follows:

$$V_{FE} = E_{FE} = K_L \times K_B \times (V_{LR} - V_{FE})$$
(F.18)

$$V_{FE} = \left[K_E + S_E(V_E)\right]V_E + K_D \times I_{FD}$$
(F.19)

Solving Equation (F.18) for V_{FE} then substituting V_{FEmax} for V_{FE} ,

$$V_{FE\max} = \frac{K_L \times K_B}{1 + K_L \times K_B} V_{LR} \cong V_{LR}$$
(F.20)

Solving Equation (F.19) for V_E , then substituting V_{FEmax} and V_{Emax} for V_{FE} and V_E , respectively,

$$V_{E\max} = \frac{V_{FE\max} - K_D \times I_{FD}}{K_E + S_E(V_E)}$$
(F.21)

If there is no fast OEL then set V_{FEmax} to a large number so that the limit is disabled.

Annex G

(normative)

Paths for flow of induced synchronous machine negative field current

G.1 General

AC and ST Type exciters cannot deliver negative field current because they have rectifiers at their output. Under some conditions a negative current may be induced in the field of the synchronous machine (de Mello, Leuzinger, and Mills [B40]). If this current is not allowed to flow, a dangerously high voltage may result. In some cases, damper windings or solid iron rotor effects may limit the maximum voltage experienced by the field winding and rectifiers under such conditions, but in other cases circuitry is provided to allow negative field currents to flow, bypassing the exciter itself. These take the form of either "crowbar" circuits (field shorting) or non-linear resistors (varistors), as shown in Figure G.1.

In the case of the crowbar, a linear or non-linear resistor is inserted across the field of the synchronous machine by thyristors that are triggered on the overvoltage produced when the field current attempts to reverse and is blocked by the rectifiers on the output of the exciter.

Varistors are non-linear resistors that may be permanently connected across the field of the synchronous machine. During normal conditions, the resistance of these devices is very high and small currents flows through them. The varistor current increases very rapidly as the voltage across it is increased beyond a threshold level and thus limits the voltage seen by the field winding and the rectifiers on the output of the exciter.



Figure G.1—Bypass circuits for induced negative field current

For some machines, no special field shorting circuitry is provided. For these machines, the amortisseur windings and solid iron rotor current paths are sufficient to limit the maximum voltage attained when the rectifiers block to a level that is below the withstand capabilities of the field winding and the rectifiers.

In most power system stability studies, the generator units are disconnected from the system (protection trip) when a negative current is induced in the field. However, for some special studies, it is desirable to have the capability to represent the various methods of handling negative synchronous machine field currents (Kundur and Dandeno [B34]).

In such study cases, set $E_{FD} = R_D \times I_{FD}$ when the field current of the synchronous machine becomes negative. When the field current becomes positive again, restore the field voltage to the normal value of

IEEE Std 421.5-2016 IEEE Recommended Practice for Excitation System Models for Power System Stability Studies

 E_{FD} calculated by the corresponding excitation system model. This simple approach is suitable when representing linear field discharge resistances R_D as shown in Figure G.1(a). The actuation time of the crowbar circuit can be neglected in the simulation.

When representing a non-linear resistance or a variator, as shown in Figure G.1(b) and Figure G.1(c), the discharge resistance value is also a function of the field current I_{FD} as shown in Equation (G.3). When the field current becomes negative, the field voltage E_{FD} can be calculated as:

$$E_{FD} = K \times \left(\left| I_{FD} \right| \right)^a \tag{G.1}$$

where

- *K* voltage coefficient of the non-linear resistor
- *a* non-linearity coefficient

If there are *n* varistors in parallel, the varistor characteristic may be expressed in terms of the field current as follows:

$$E_{FD} = K \left(\frac{|I_{FD}|}{n}\right)^a \tag{G.2}$$

The effective resistance introduced by the varistor is then given in terms of the magnitude of I_{FD} by:

$$R_{D} = \frac{E_{FD}}{I_{FD}} = \frac{K}{n^{a}} \left(|I_{FD}| \right)^{a-1}$$
(G.3)

G.2 No special provision for handling negative field current

Where no paths for negative field current are provided external to the synchronous machine, conditions in the machine during blocking of field current may be simulated by increasing the field leakage inductance of the synchronous machine model to a very large value. The field leakage inductance is restored to its normal value when the field current is positive. Paths for induced rotor currents are provided entirely by the amortisseur and rotor body circuits. It is important, therefore, to use a synchronous machine model that includes these effects.

Accurate representation of conditions where negative field currents might be encountered requires detailed generator modeling as well as the representation of the paths for the flow of induced currents described above.

Annex H

(informative)

Sample data

H.1 General

The data presented below should be considered as sample data only, not representative or typical data. Depending upon the parameters used, any one model may represent many different designs and many levels of performance for any one design. In this annex, consistent sets of data are provided which are considered neither typical nor representative of systems using that model.

Unless specified otherwise, time constants are in seconds and all other parameters are in per unit. It should be noted that the base values for the different parameters expressed in per unit are not explicitly presented in the sample data. It should be noted that some of the parameters expressed in per unit, particularly gains, might include in their definition a scaling factor associated with the conversion of the input variable to the output variable.

Also, the terminal voltage transducer and reactive current compensation model shown in Figure 2 requires the parameters T_R , R_C , and X_C . These values are provided as part of the sample data, but it should be recognized that they are not, strictly speaking, part of the excitation system models as defined in this recommended practice. The user of the sample data presented in this annex should be aware that actual implementation of the transducer time constant and reactive current compensation might vary, and the software documentation should be consulted.

Finally, the new models introduced in this version of the recommended practice have several different options for the interconnection of overexcitation limiter (OEL) and underexcitation limiter (UEL) models and, sometimes, power system stabilizer (PSS) models.

The correct choice of interconnection point for the OEL and UEL signals depends on the actual OEL/UEL implementations in a given excitation system, and thus the selected OEL/UEL models that represent such limiters. Therefore, the choice of interconnection point for the OEL/UEL signals is not defined in any of the sample data sets for the excitation systems presented below. This is equivalent to say that the provided sample data for the excitation system models does not consider the presence of OEL/UEL models, and thus the actual choice of interconnection point for these signals is irrelevant. The proper selection of the interconnection point in the excitation system model for the OEL/UEL signals is presented with the sample data for the OEL/UEL limiters.

Some excitation system sample data sets in this annex include PSS sets, as the sample data for a PSS model cannot be defined without an associated excitation system model and parameters and even the generator model used for tuning the PSS settings. For the sample data presented in this annex, unless indicated otherwise, the PSS parameters have been set considering the synchronous generator parameters (IEEE Std 1110 [B24]) shown in Table H.1.

The PSS parameters should be tuned for each specific application, so it is expected that the PSS parameters might have to be modified if this sample data is applied to a different generator (different generator model parameters).

In the excitation system models, some parameters are adjustable with the tuning/commissioning of the equipment, while other parameters are fixed or calculated based on the physical (nameplate) characteristics

of the equipment. Thus, the parameters for the proposed models were classified according to the following parameter types: A (adjustable parameter), F (fixed parameter), or E (equipment characteristic).

Description	Parameter	Valua	Unit
		100	
Generator rated MVA	MBASE	100	MVA
Inertia	Н	3.25	MW-s/MVA
Damping	D	0	pu
d-axis OC transient time constant	T'do	6.35	S
d-axis OC sub-transient time constant	T″do	0.06	S
q-axis OC sub-transient time constant	T″qo	0.077	S
d-axis synchronous reactance	Xd	1.071	pu
q-axis synchronous reactance	Xq	0.704	pu
d-axis transient reactance	X'd	0.351	pu
Sub-transient reactance	X''d = X''q	0.296	pu
Leakage reactance	Xl	0.154	pu
Saturation factor at 1.0 pu terminal voltage	S(1.0)	0.07	
Saturation factor at 1.2 pu terminal voltage	S(1.2)	0.203	
Armature resistance	Ra	0.004	pu

Table H.1—Sample data for a synchronous generator

H.2 Type DC1C excitation system

Sample data for the Type DC1C excitation system model (see Figure 3) is shown in Table H.2.

Table H.3 presents the sample data for a PSS model that could be applied in conjunction with the excitation system model described in Table H.2.

Description	Parameter	Туре	Value	Unit
Resistive component of load compensation	R_C	Α	0	pu
Reactance component of load compensation	X_C	Α	0	pu
Regulator input filter time constant	T_R	Е	0	S
Regulator output gain	K_A	Α	46	pu
Regulator time constant	T_A	Е	0.06	S
Regulator denominator (lag) time constant	T_B	А	0	S
Regulator numerator (lead) time constant	T_C	А	0	S
Exciter field proportional constant	K_E	Е	а	pu
Exciter field time constant	T_E	Е	0.46	S
Maximum controller output	V_{RMAX}	Е	1	pu
Minimum controller output	V_{RMIN}	Е	-0.9	pu
Rate feedback gain	K_F	Α	0.1	pu
Rate feedback time constant	T_F	А	1	S
Exciter output voltage for saturation factor $S_E(E_l)$	E_1	Е	3.1	pu
Exciter saturation factor at exciter output voltage E_1	S_{E1}	Е	0.33	
Exciter output voltage for saturation factor $S_E(E_2)$	E_2	Е	2.3	pu
Exciter saturation factor at exciter output voltage E_2	S_{E2}	Е	0.10	

Table H.2—Sample data for DC1C excitation system model

^a The value of K_E should be calculated based on the initial conditions, as described in 6.3.

IEEE Std 421.5-2016 IEEE Recommended Practice for Excitation System Models for Power System Stability Studies

Parameter	Туре	Value	Unit
K_S	А	3.15	pu
A_{I}	А	0	
A_2	А	0	
T_I	А	0.76	S
T_2	А	0.01	S
T_3	А	0.76	S
T_4	А	0.01	S
T_5	А	10	S
T_6	Е	0.0	S
V _{STmax}	А	0.09	pu
V _{STmin}	А	-0.09	pu
	Parameter K_S A_1 A_2 T_1 T_2 T_3 T_4 T_5 T_6 V_{STmax} V_{STmin}	ParameterType K_S A A_I A A_2 A T_1 A T_2 A T_3 A T_4 A T_5 A T_6 E V_{STmax} A V_{STmin} A	Parameter Type Value K_S A 3.15 A_I A 0 A_2 A 0 I_1 A 0.76 T_1 A 0.76 T_2 A 0.01 I_3 A 0.76 I_4 A 0.01 I_5 A 10 I_6 E 0.0 V_{STmax} A 0.09 V_{STmin} A -0.09

Table H.3—Sample data for PSS1A stabilizer (for DC1C model in Table H.2)

NOTE 1—PSS settings depend not only on the excitation system model and parameters, but also on the generator model. These PSS parameters might not work properly for different generator models, even if the excitation system model remains the same. NOTE 2—The second-order block in the PSS1A model (parameters A_1 and A_2) is not applied. These values should be set as required by the software being used, in order to make sure that the block is bypassed.

H.3 Type DC2C excitation system

The generator connected to this excitation system has been up-rated and has a very flat saturation curve at normal operating points. Therefore, the excitation system gain is relatively high. Table H.4 presents the data associated with the Type DC2C excitation system model (see Figure 5). Table H.5 lists the sample data for a PSS model that could be applied with this excitation system model with the given parameters in Table H.4.

Description	Symbol	Туре	Value	Units
Resistive component of load compensation	R_C	А	0	pu
Reactance component of load compensation	X_C	А	0	pu
Regulator input filter time constant	T_R	Е	0	s
Regulator output gain	K_A	А	300	pu
Regulator time constant	T_A	Е	0.01	S
Regulator denominator (lag) time constant	T_B	А	0	S
Regulator numerator (lead) time constant	T_C	А	0	S
Exciter field proportional constant	K_E	Е	1	pu
Exciter field time constant	T_E	Е	1.33	S
Maximum controller output	V _{Rmax}	Е	4.95	pu
Minimum controller output	V _{Rmin}	Е	-4.90	pu
Rate feedback gain	K_F	А	0.02	pu
Rate feedback time constant	T_F	А	0.675	S
Exciter output voltage for saturation factor $S_E(E_I)$	E_1	Е	3.05	pu
Exciter saturation factor at exciter output voltage E_1	S_{E1}	Е	0.279	
Exciter output voltage for saturation factor $S_E(E_2)$	E_2	E	2.29	pu
Exciter saturation factor at exciter output voltage E_2	S_{E2}	Е	0.117	

Table H.4—Sample data for Type DC2C excitation system model

IEEE Std 421.5-2016 IEEE Recommended Practice for Excitation System Models for Power System Stability Studies

Description	Symbol	Туре	Value	Units
PSS gain	K_S	А	1.4	pu
PSS denominator constant for second-order block	A_{I}	А	0	
PSS denominator constant for second-order block	A_2	А	0	
PSS numerator (lead) compensating time constant	T_{I}	А	0.5	S
PSS denominator (lag) compensating time constant	T_2	А	0.06	S
PSS numerator (lead) compensating time constant	T_3	А	0.5	S
PSS denominator (lag) compensating time constant	T_4	А	0.06	S
PSS washout time constant	T_5	А	30	S
PSS transducer time constant	T_6	Е	0.016	S
Maximum PSS output	V _{STmax}	А	0.05	pu
Minimum PSS output	V _{STmin}	А	-0.05	pu

Table H.5—Sample data for PSS1A stabilizer (for DC2C model in Table H.4)

NOTE 1—PSS settings depend not only on the excitation system model and parameters, but also on the generator model. These PSS parameters might not work properly for different generator models, even if the excitation system model remains the same. NOTE 2—The second-order block in the PSS1A model (parameters A_1 and A_2) is not applied. These values should be set as required by the software being used, in order to make sure that the block is bypassed.

H.4 Type DC3A excitation system

Sample data for the Type DC3A excitation system model (see Figure 6) is shown in Table H.6.

Table H.6—Sample data for Type DC3A excitation system model

Description	Symbol	Туре	Value 1	Value 2	Units		
Resistive component of load compensation	R_C	А	0	0	pu		
Reactance component of load compensation	X_C	А	0	0	pu		
Regulator input filter time constant	T_R	Е	0	0	S		
Exciter field proportional constant	K_E	Е	0.05	1	pu		
Exciter field time constant	T_E	Е	0.5	1.45	S		
Fast raise/lower contact setting	K_V	А	0.05	0.05	pu		
Maximum controller output	V _{Rmax}	Е	1	5.7	pu		
Minimum controller output	V _{Rmin}	Е	0	-1.1	pu		
Rheostat travel time	T_{RH}	Е	20	20	S		
Exciter output voltage for saturation factor $S_E(E_I)$	E_1	Е	3.375	4.5	pu		
Exciter saturation factor at exciter output voltage E_1	S_{E1}	Е	0.267	0.27			
Exciter output voltage for saturation factor $S_E(E_2)$	E_2	Е	3.15	3.38	pu		
Exciter saturation factor at exciter output voltage E_2	S_{E2}	Е	0.068	0.07			
NOTE—The column labeled Value 1 contains the data to represent a self-excited system, while the data to represent a separately- excited system is presented in the column labeled Value 2							

H.5 Type DC4C excitation system

Sample data for the Type DC4C excitation system model (see Figure 7) is shown in Table H.7.

Description	Symbol	Туре	Value	Units
Resistive component of load compensation	R_C	А	0	pu
Reactance component of load compensation	X_C	А	0	pu
Regulator input filter time constant	T_R	Е	0	S
Regulator proportional gain	K_{PR}	А	80	pu
Regulator integral gain	K_{IR}	А	20	pu/s
Regulator derivative gain	K_{DR}	А	20	pu
Regulator derivative filter time constant	T_{DR}	A/F	0.01	S
Regulator output gain	K_A	А	1	pu
Regulator output time constant	T_A	Е	0.02	S
Maximum controller output	V_{Rmax}	Е	2.7	pu
Minimum controller output	$V_{R\min}$	Е	-2.7	pu
Exciter field time constant	T_E	Е	0.8	S
Exciter field proportional constant	K_E	Е	1.0	pu
Exciter minimum output voltage	V_{Emin}	Е	0	pu
Exciter output voltage for saturation factor $S_E(E_I)$	E_1	Е	4.8	pu
Exciter saturation factor at exciter output voltage E_1	S_{E1}	Е	1.54	
Exciter output voltage for saturation factor $S_E(E_2)$	E_2	Е	3.6	pu
Exciter saturation factor at exciter output voltage E_2	S_{E2}	Е	1.26	
Rate feedback gain	K_F	А	0	pu
Rate feedback time constant	T_F	А	1	S
Potential circuit gain coefficient	K_P	Е	1	pu
Potential circuit phase angle (degrees)	θ_P	Е	0	pu
Potential circuit (current) gain coefficient	K_I	Е	0	pu
Reactance associated with potential source	X_L	Е	0	pu
Rectifier loading factor proportional to commutating reactance	K_{Cl}	Е	0	pu
Maximum available exciter field voltage	V_{Bmax}	Е	1.5	pu
Logical switch 1	SW_1	Е	pos. A	

Table H.7—Sample data for Typ	e DC4C excitation system model
-------------------------------	--------------------------------

H.6 Type AC1C excitation system

Sample data for the Type AC1C excitation system model (see Figure 9) is shown in Table H.8.

Description	Symbol	Туре	Value	Units
Resistive component of load compensation	R_C	А	0	pu
Reactance component of load compensation	X_C	А	0	pu
Regulator input filter time constant	T_R	Е	0	S
Regulator output gain	K_A	А	400	pu
Regulator output time constant	T_A	Е	0.02	S
Regulator denominator (lag) time constant	T_B	А	0	S
Regulator numerator (lead) time constant	T_C	А	0	S
Rate feedback excitation system stabilizer gain	K_F	А	0.03	pu
Rate feedback time constant	T_F	А	1	S
Maximum regulator output	V _{Amax}	Е	14.5	pu
Minimum regulator output	$V_{A\min}$	Е	-14.5	pu
Maximum exciter field voltage	E_{FEmax}	Е	6.03	pu
Minimum exciter field voltage	E_{FEmin}	Е	-5.43	pu
Exciter field proportional constant	K_E	Е	1.0	pu
Exciter field time constant	T_E	Е	0.8	S
Rectifier loading factor proportional to commutating reactance	K_C	Е	0.2	pu
Demagnetizing factor, function of exciter alternator reactances	K_D	Е	0.38	pu
Exciter output voltage for saturation factor $S_E(E_1)$	E_{I}	Е	4.18	pu
Exciter saturation factor at exciter output voltage E_1	$S_E(E_l)$	Е	0.10	
Exciter output voltage for saturation factor $S_E(E_2)$	E_2	Е	3.14	pu
Exciter saturation factor at exciter output voltage E_2	$S_E(E_2)$	Е	0.03	

Table H.8—Sample data for Type AC1C excitation system model

H.7 Type AC2C excitation system

Sample data for the Type AC2C excitation system model (see Figure 10) is shown in Table H.9.

Description	Symbol	Туре	Value	Units
Resistive component of load compensation	R_C	А	0	pu
Reactance component of load compensation	X_C	А	0	pu
Regulator input filter time constant	T_R	Е	0	S
Regulator output gain	K_A	А	400	pu
Regulator output time constant	T_A	Е	0.01	S
Regulator denominator (lag) time constant	T_B	А	0	S
Regulator numerator (lead) time constant	T_C	А	0	S
Second stage regulator gain	K_B	А	25	pu
Exciter field current regulator feedback gain	K_H	А	1	pu
Rate feedback excitation system stabilizer gain	K_F	А	0.03	pu
Rate feedback time constant	T_F	А	1	S
Exciter field proportional constant	K_E	Е	1.0	pu
Exciter field time constant	T_E	Е	0.6	S
Demagnetizing factor, function of exciter alternator reactances	K_D	Е	0.35	pu
Rectifier loading factor proportional to commutating reactance	K_C	Е	0.28	pu
Maximum regulator output	$V_{A\max}$	Е	8.0	pu
Minimum regulator output	V _{Amin}	Е	-8.0	pu
Maximum exciter field voltage	$E_{FE\max}$	Е	105	pu
Minimum exciter field voltage	E_{FEmin}	Е	-95	pu
Maximum exciter field current limit reference	V_{FEmax}	Е	4.4	pu
Minimum exciter voltage output	V _{Emin}	Е	0	pu
Exciter output voltage for saturation factor $S_E(E_1)$	E_{I}	Е	4.4	pu
Exciter saturation factor at exciter output voltage E_1	$S_E(E_l)$	Е	0.037	
Exciter output voltage for saturation factor $S_E(E_2)$	E_2	Е	3.3	pu
Exciter saturation factor at exciter output voltage E_2	$S_E(E_2)$	Е	0.012	

Table H.9—Sample data for Type AC2C excitation system model

H.8 Type AC3C excitation system

Sample data for the Type AC3C excitation system model (see Figure 11) is shown in Table H.10.

Description	Symbol	Туре	Value	Units
Resistive component of load compensation	R_C	А	0	pu
Reactance component of load compensation	X_C	А	0	pu
Regulator input filter time constant	T_R	Е	0	S
Voltage regulator proportional gain	K_{PR}	А	1	pu
Voltage regulator integral gain	K_{IR}	А	0	pu/s
Voltage regulator derivative gain	K_{DR}	А	0	pu.s
Lag time constant for derivative channel of PID controller	T_{DR}	A/E	1	S
Maximum PID regulator output	V _{PIDmax}	A/E	3.2	pu
Minimum PID regulator output	V _{PIDmin}	A/E	-3.2	pu
Regulator numerator (lead) time constant	T_C	А	0	S
Regulator denominator (lag) time constant	T_B	А	0	S
Regulator output gain	K_A	А	45.62	pu
Regulator output time constant	T_A	Е	0.013	S
Maximum regulator output	$V_{A \max}$	Е	1	pu
Minimum regulator output	$V_{A\min}$	Е	-0.95	pu
Exciter field time constant	T_E	Е	1.17	S
Rate feedback time constant	T_F	А	1	S
Value of E _{FD} at which feedback gain changes	E_{FDN}	А	2.36	pu
Minimum exciter voltage output	V_{Emin}	Е	0.1	pu
Exciter output voltage for saturation factor $S_E(E_I)$	E_{I}	Е	6.24	pu
Exciter saturation factor at exciter output voltage E_1	$S_E(E_l)$	Е	1.143	
Exciter output voltage for saturation factor $S_E(E_2)$	E_2	Е	4.68	pu
Exciter saturation factor at exciter output voltage E_2	$S_E(E_2)$	Е	0.1	
Gain associated with regulator and alternator field power supply	K_R	A/E	3.77	pu
Rectifier loading factor proportional to commutating reactance	K_C	Е	0.104	pu
Demagnetizing factor, function of exciter alternator reactances	K_D	Е	0.499	pu
Exciter field proportional constant	K_E	Е	1.0	pu
Rate feedback excitation system stabilizer gain	K_F	А	0.143	pu
Rate feedback excitation system stabilizer gain	K_N	А	0.05	pu
Exciter field current limit	V_{FEmax}	E	16	pu

Table H.10—Sam	ole data [.]	for Type	AC3C	excitation	system	model
	pic uata	ю турс	7000	excitation	System	mouci

H.9 Type AC4C excitation system

Sample data for the Type AC4C excitation system model (see Figure 12) is shown in Table H.11.

Description	Symbol	Туре	Value	Units
Resistive component of load compensation	R_C	А	0	pu
Reactance component of load compensation	X_C	А	0	pu
Regulator input filter time constant	T_R	Е	0	s
Regulator denominator (lag) time constant	T_B	А	10	s
Regulator numerator (lead) time constant	T_C	А	1	S
Regulator output time constant	T_A	Е	0.015	s
Voltage regulator input (voltage error) maximum limit	V _{Imax}	Е	10	pu
Voltage regulator input (voltage error) minimum limit	V _{Imin}	Е	-10	pu
Maximum regulator output	V _{Rmax}	Е	5.64	pu
Minimum regulator output	V _{Rmin}	Е	-4.53	pu
Regulator output gain	K_A	А	200	pu
Rectifier loading factor proportional to commutating reactance	K _C	E	0	pu

Table H.11—Sample data for	Type AC4C excitat	ion system model
----------------------------	-------------------	------------------

H.10 Type AC5C excitation system

Sample data for the Type AC5C excitation system model (see Figure 13) is shown in Table H.12.

Description	Symbol	Туре	Value	Units
Resistive component of load compensation	R_C	А	0	pu
Reactance component of load compensation	X_C	А	0	pu
Regulator input filter time constant	T_R	E	0	S
Regulator output gain	K_A	А	400	pu
Regulator output time constant	T_A	Е	0.02	S
Maximum regulator output	$V_{A \max}$	Е	7.3	pu
Minimum regulator output	$V_{A\min}$	Е	-7.3	pu
Exciter field time constant	T_E	Е	0.8	S
Exciter field proportional constant	K_E	Е	1.0	pu
Exciter output voltage for saturation factor $S_E(E_1)$	E_I	Е	5.6	pu
Exciter saturation factor at exciter output voltage E_1	$S_E(E_l)$	Е	0.86	
Exciter output voltage for saturation factor $S_E(E_2)$	E_2	Е	4.2	pu
Exciter saturation factor at exciter output voltage E_2	$S_E(E_2)$	Е	0.5	
Rate feedback excitation system stabilizer gain	K_F	А	0.03	pu
Rate feedback excitation system stabilizer time constant	T_{FI}	А	1	S
Rate feedback excitation system stabilizer time constant	T_{F2}	А	0	S
Rate feedback excitation system stabilizer time constant	T_{F3}	А	0	S

Table H.12—Sample data for Type AC5C excitation system model

H.11 Type AC6C excitation system

Sample data for the Type AC6C excitation system model (see Figure 14) is shown in Table H.13.

Table H.14 presents the sample data for a PSS model that could be applied in conjunction with the excitation system model described in Table H.13.

Description	Symbol	Туре	Value	Units
Resistive component of load compensation	R_C	А	0	pu
Reactance component of load compensation	X_C	А	0	pu
Regulator input filter time constant	T_R	Е	0.02	S
Regulator output gain	K_A	А	536	pu
Regulator output time constant	T_A	Е	0.086	S
Regulator numerator (lead) time constant	T_C	А	3	S
Regulator denominator (lag) time constant	T_B	А	9	S
Regulator numerator (lead) time constant	T_K	А	0.18	S
Exciter field current limiter gain	K_H	А	92	pu
Exciter field time constant	T_E	Е	1	S
Exciter field current limiter denominator (lag) time constant	T_H	А	0.08	S
Exciter field current limiter numerator (lead) time constant	T_J	А	0.02	S
Rectifier loading factor proportional to commutating reactance	K _C	Е	0.173	pu
Demagnetizing factor, function of exciter alternator reactances	K _D	Е	1.91	pu
Exciter field proportional constant	K_E	Е	1.6	pu
Maximum voltage regulator outputs	$V_{A \max}$	Е	75	pu
Minimum voltage regulator outputs	$V_{A\min}$	Е	-75	pu
Maximum exciter field voltage	E_{FEmax}	Е	44	pu
Minimum exciter field voltage	E_{FEmin}	Е	-36	pu
Exciter field current limiter maximum output	$V_{H \max}$	E/A	75	pu
Exciter field current limiter reference	V_{FELIM}	E/A	19	pu
Maximum exciter field current	V_{FEmax}	E/A	999	pu
Exciter output voltage for saturation factor $S_E(E_l)$	E_{I}	Е	7.4	pu
Exciter saturation factor at exciter output voltage E_1	$S_E(E_l)$	Е	0.214	
Exciter output voltage for saturation factor $S_E(E_2)$	E_2	Е	5.55	pu
Exciter saturation factor at exciter output voltage E_2	$S_E(E_2)$	E	0.044	

Table H.13—Sample data for Type AC6C excitation system model

IEEE Std 421.5-2016	
IEEE Recommended Practice for Excitation System Models for Power System Stability Studie	es

Description	Symbol	Туре	Value	Units
PSS gain	K_{SI}	А	20	pu
PSS gain	K_{S2}	E/A	а	pu
PSS gain	K _{S3}	Е	1	pu
PSS transducer time constant	T_6	Е	0.0	S
PSS transducer time constant ^b	T_7	А	10	S
PSS washout time constant	T_{wl}	А	10	S
PSS washout time constant	T_{w2}	А	10	S
PSS washout time constant	T_{w3}	А	10	S
PSS washout time constant	T_{w4}	А	с	S
PSS transducer time constant	T_8	А	0.30	S
PSS washout time constant	T_{9}	А	0.15	S
PSS transducer time constant	М	А	2	
PSS washout time constant	N	А	4	
PSS numerator (lead) compensating time constant (first block)	T_{I}	А	0.16	S
PSS denominator (lag) compensating time constant (first block)	T_2	А	0.02	S
PSS numerator (lead) compensating time constant (second block)	T_3	А	0.16	S
PSS denominator (lag) compensating time constant (second block)	T_4	А	0.02	S
PSS numerator (lead) compensating time constant (third block)	T ₁₀	А	d	S
PSS denominator (lag) compensating time constant (third block)	T_{II}	А	d	S
PSS numerator (lead) compensating time constant (fourth block)	T_{12}	А	е	S
PSS denominator (lag) compensating time constant (fourth block)	T_{13}	А	е	S
Maximum PSS output	V _{STmax}	А	0.20	pu
Minimum PSS output	V _{STmin}	А	-0.066	pu
Input signal #1 maximum limit	V _{SIImax}	А	2	pu
Input signal #1 minimum limit	V _{SIImin}	А	-2	pu
Input signal #2 maximum limit	V _{SI2max}	А	2	pu
Input signal #2 minimum limit	V _{SI2min}	А	-2	pu
Generator MW threshold for PSS activation	P _{PSSon}	А	0	pu
Generator MW threshold for PSS de-activation	P _{PSSoff}	Α	0	pu

Table H.14—Sample data for PSS2C stabilizer (for AC6C model in Table H.13)

NOTE-PSS settings depend not only on the excitation system model and parameters, but also on the generator model. These PSS parameters might not work properly for different generator models, even if the excitation system model remains the same.

^a The gain K_{S2} should be calculated as $T_7/2H$, where H is the total shaft inertia of all mechanically connected rotating components of the unit (MW-s/MVA).

^b The time constant T_7 should be equal to T_{w2} . ^c The washout block with time constant T_{w4} should be bypassed. Set Tw4 as necessary to bypass this block, based on the documentation of the software being used and the description in E.7.

^d The third lead-lag block is not used in this example. Set $T_{10} = T_{11}$ or follow the instructions in the documentation of the software being used.

^e The fourth lead-lag block is not used in this example. Set $T_{12} = T_{13}$ or follow the instructions in the documentation of the software being used.

H.12 Type AC7C excitation system

H.12.1 Alternator-rectifier excitation system

Sample data for the Type AC7C excitation system model (see Figure 15), representing an alternator-rectifier excitation system (brushless excitation system), is shown in Table H.15.

Table H.16 presents the sample data for a PSS model that could be applied in conjunction with the excitation system model described in Table H.15.

Description	Symbol	Туре	Value	Units
Resistive component of load compensation	R_C	А	0	pu
Reactance component of load compensation	X_C	А	0	pu
Regulator input filter time constant	T_R	Е	0	S
Voltage regulator proportional gain	K_{PR}	А	40	pu
Voltage regulator integral gain	K _{IR}	А	5.6	pu/s
Voltage regulator derivative gain	K _{DR}	А	0	pu.s
Lag time constant for derivative channel of PID controller	T_{DR}	A/E	1	S
Maximum regulator output	$V_{R\max}$	A/E	3.2	pu
Minimum regulator output	$V_{R\min}$	A/E	-3.2	pu
Field current regulator proportional gain	K_{PA}	A/E	112	pu
Field current regulator integral gain	K _{IA}	A/E	0	pu/s
Maximum field current regulator output	$V_{A \max}$	Е	65.2	pu
Minimum field current regulator output	$V_{A\min}$	Е	-54	pu
Potential circuit gain coefficient	K_P	Е	1	pu
Potential circuit phase angle (degrees)	θ_P	Е	0	pu
Potential circuit (current) gain coefficient	K_I	Е	0	pu
Reactance associated with potential source	X_L	Е	0	pu
Rectifier loading factor proportional to commutating reactance	K_{Cl}	Е	0	pu
Maximum available exciter field voltage	V_{Bmax}	Е	999	pu
Power source selector	SW_1	Е	pos. B	
Power source selector	SW_2	Е	pos. A	
Gain related to regulator and alternator field power supply	K_R	Е	0	pu
Gain related to negative exciter field current capability	K_L	Е	0	pu
Generator field voltage feedback gain	K_{Fl}	A/E	0	pu
Exciter field current feedback gain	K_{F2}	A/E	0.08	pu
Rate feedback gain	K_{F3}	A/E	0.01	pu
Rate feedback time constant	T_F	A/E	1	S
Rectifier loading factor proportional to commutating reactance	K_C	Е	0.12	pu
Demagnetizing factor, function of exciter alternator reactances	K_D	Е	3.3	pu
Exciter field proportional constant	K_E	E	1	pu
Exciter field time constant	T_E	Е	1.2	S
Maximum exciter field current	V_{FEmax}	E/A	23.2	pu
Minimum exciter voltage output	V _{Emin}	E	0	pu
Exciter output voltage for saturation factor $S_E(E_I)$	E_I	Е	13.6	pu
Exciter saturation factor at exciter output voltage E_1	$S_E(E_l)$	Е	3.74	
Exciter output voltage for saturation factor $S_E(E_2)$	E_2	Е	10.2	pu
Exciter saturation factor at exciter output voltage E_2	$S_E(E_2)$	Е	0.32	

 Table H.15—Sample data for Type AC7C excitation system model (set 1)

NOTE—there are two rectifiers represented in this model, the diode bridge at the output of the rotating ac exciter and the controlled rectifier that provides the exciter field voltage and current. The parameter K_C is associated with the former, while the parameter K_{CI} is associated with the latter.

IEEE Std 421.5-2016
IEEE Recommended Practice for Excitation System Models for Power System Stability Studies

Description	Symbol	Туре	Value	Units
PSS gain	K_{SI}	А	5	pu
PSS gain	K_{S2}	E/A	а	pu
PSS gain	K _{S3}	Е	1	pu
PSS transducer time constant	T_6	Е	0.0	S
PSS transducer time constant ^b	T_7	А	10	S
PSS washout time constant	T_{wl}	А	10	S
PSS washout time constant	T_{w2}	А	10	S
PSS washout time constant	T_{w3}	А	10	S
PSS washout time constant	T_{w4}	А	с	S
PSS transducer time constant	T_8	А	0.5	S
PSS washout time constant	T_{9}	А	0.1	S
PSS transducer time constant	М	А	5	
PSS washout time constant	N	А	1	
PSS numerator (lead) compensating time constant (first block)	T_{I}	А	0.16	S
PSS denominator (lag) compensating time constant (first block)	T_2	А	0.04	S
PSS numerator (lead) compensating time constant (second block)	T_3	А	0.16	S
PSS denominator (lag) compensating time constant (second block)	T_4	А	0.04	S
PSS numerator (lead) compensating time constant (third block)	T ₁₀	А	0.18	S
PSS denominator (lag) compensating time constant (third block)	T_{II}	А	0.03	S
PSS numerator (lead) compensating time constant (fourth block)	T_{12}	А	d	S
PSS denominator (lag) compensating time constant (fourth block)	T_{13}	А	d	S
Maximum PSS output	V _{STmax}	А	0.10	pu
Minimum PSS output	V _{STmin}	А	-0.10	pu
Input signal #1 maximum limit	V _{SIImax}	А	2	pu
Input signal #1 minimum limit	V _{SIImin}	А	-2	pu
Input signal #2 maximum limit	V _{SI2max}	А	2	pu
Input signal #2 minimum limit	V _{SI2min}	А	-2	pu
Generator MW threshold for PSS activation	P _{PSSon}	А	0	pu
Generator MW threshold for PSS de-activation	P _{PSSoff}	Α	0	pu

Table H.16—Sample data for PSS2C stabilizer (for AC7C model in Table H.15)

NOTE-PSS settings depend not only on the excitation system model and parameters, but also on the generator model. These PSS parameters might not work properly for different generator models, even if the excitation system model remains the same.

^a The gain K_{S2} should be calculated as $T_7/2H$, where H is the inertia constant of the generator (MW.s/MVA).

^b The time constant T_7 should be equal to T_{w2} . ^c The washout block with time constant T_{w4} should be bypassed. Set T_{w4} as necessary to bypass this block, based on the documentation of the software being used and the description in E.7. ^d The fourth lead-lag block is not used in this example. Set $T_{12} = T_{13}$ or follow the instructions in the documentation of the software

being used.

H.12.2 DC exciter

The model for the ac rotating exciter shown in Figure 8 can be reduced to the model for the dc rotating exciter given in Figure 3 when the parameters K_C and K_D are set to zero. Thus, with proper selection of the model parameters, an AC Type model can be used to representing an excitation system based on a dc rotating exciter.

Sample data for the Type AC7C excitation system model (see Figure 15), representing a dc rotating exciter, is shown in Table H.17.

Description	Symbol	Туре	Value	Units
Resistive component of load compensation	R_C	А	0	pu
Reactance component of load compensation	X_C	А	0	pu
Regulator input filter time constant	T_R	Е	0	S
Voltage regulator proportional gain	K_{PR}	А	170	pu
Voltage regulator integral gain	K _{IR}	А	130	pu/s
Voltage regulator derivative gain	K _{DR}	А	60	pu.s
Lag time constant for derivative channel of PID controller	T_{DR}	A/E	0.03	S
Maximum regulator output	V _{Rmax}	A/E	10	pu
Minimum regulator output	$V_{R\min}$	A/E	0	pu
Field current regulator proportional gain	K_{PA}	A/E	1	pu
Field current regulator integral gain	K _{IA}	A/E	0	pu/s
Maximum field current regulator output	$V_{A \max}$	Е	10	pu
Minimum field current regulator output	$V_{A\min}$	Е	0	pu
Potential circuit gain coefficient	K_P	Е	1	pu
Potential circuit phase angle (degrees)	θ_P	Е	0	pu
Potential circuit (current) gain coefficient	K _I	Е	0	pu
Reactance associated with potential source	X_L	Е	0	pu
Rectifier loading factor proportional to commutating reactance	K _{CI}	Е	0	pu
Maximum available exciter field voltage	V _{Bmax}	Е	999	pu
Power source selector	SW_I	Е	pos. A	
Power source selector	SW_2	Е	pos. A	
Gain related to regulator and alternator field power supply	K_R	Е	0	pu
Gain related to negative exciter field current capability	K_L	Е	0	pu
Generator field voltage feedback gain	K_{FI}	A/E	0	pu
Exciter field current feedback gain	K_{F2}	A/E	0	pu
Rate feedback gain	K_{F3}	A/E	0	pu
Rate feedback time constant	T_F	A/E	1	S
Rectifier loading factor proportional to commutating reactance	K_C	Е	0	pu
Demagnetizing factor, function of exciter alternator reactances	K_D	Е	0	pu
Exciter field proportional constant	K_E	Е	1	pu
Exciter field time constant	T_E	Е	1	S
Maximum exciter field current	V _{FEmax}	E/A	99	pu
Exciter field minimum voltage	V_{Emin}	Е	0	pu
Exciter output voltage for saturation factor $S_E(E_l)$	E_I	Е	4.5	pu
Exciter saturation factor at exciter output voltage E_1	$S_E(E_l)$	Е	1.5	
Exciter output voltage for saturation factor $S_E(E_2)$	E_2	Е	3.38	pu
Exciter saturation factor at exciter output voltage E_2	$S_E(E_2)$	Е	1.36	

Table H.17—Sample data for Type AC7C excitation system model (set 2)

H.13 Type AC8C excitation system

Sample data for the Type AC8C excitation system model (see Figure 16) is shown in Table H.18.

Description	Symbol	Туре	Value	Units
Resistive component of load compensation	R_C	А	0	pu
Reactance component of load compensation	X_C	А	0	pu
Regulator input filter time constant	T_R	Е	0	S
Voltage regulator proportional gain	K_{PR}	А	80	pu
Voltage regulator integral gain	K _{IR}	А	5	pu/s
Voltage regulator derivative gain	K_{DR}	А	10	pu.s
Lag time constant for derivative channel of PID controller	T_{DR}	A/E	0.02	S
Maximum regulator output	V _{Rmax}	A/E	35	pu
Minimum regulator output	V _{Rmin}	A/E	0	pu
Rectifier bridge gain	K_A	A/E	1	pu
Rectifier bridge time constant	T_A	Е	0.01	S
Potential circuit gain coefficient	K _P	Е	1	pu
Potential circuit phase angle (degrees)	θ_P	Е	0	pu
Potential circuit (current) gain coefficient	K_I	Е	0	pu
Reactance associated with potential source	X_L	Е	0	pu
Rectifier loading factor proportional to commutating reactance	K_{CI}	Е	0	pu
Maximum available exciter field voltage	V _{Bmax}	Е	1.25	pu
Logical switch 1	SW_1	Е	pos. A	
Rectifier loading factor proportional to commutating reactance	K_C	Е	0.55	pu
Demagnetizing factor, function of exciter alternator reactances	K_D	Е	1.1	pu
Exciter field proportional constant	K_E	Е	1	pu
Exciter field time constant	T_E	Е	1.2	S
Maximum exciter field current	V_{FEMAX}	E/A	6	pu
Exciter output voltage for saturation factor $S_E(E_1)$	E_{I}	Е	9	pu
Exciter saturation factor at exciter output voltage E_1	$S_E(E_l)$	Е	3	
Exciter output voltage for saturation factor $S_E(E_2)$	E_2	Е	6.5	pu
Exciter saturation factor at exciter output voltage E_2	$S_E(E_2)$	Е	0.3	

Table H.18—Sample data for Type AC8C excitation system model

H.14 Type AC9C excitation system

H.14.1 Thyristor converter excitation system

Sample data for the Type AC9C excitation system model (see Figure 17), considering a thyristor bridge supplying the exciter field winding, is shown in Table H.19.

Description	Symbol	Туре	Value	Units
Resistive component of load compensation	R_{C}	A	0	pu
Reactance component of load compensation	X_C	А	0	pu
Regulator input filter time constant	T_R	Е	0.01	s
Voltage regulator proportional gain	K _{PR}	Α	10	pu
Voltage regulator integral gain	K _{IR}	А	10	pu/s
Voltage regulator derivative gain	K_{DR}	А	0	pu.s
Lag time constant for derivative channel of PID controller	T_{DR}	А	0.01	S
Maximum voltage regulator output	V _{PIDmax}	A/E	1.6	pu
Minimum voltage regulator output	V _{PIDmin}	A/E	0	pu
Field current regulator proportional gain	K_{PA}	Α	4	pu
Field current regulator integral gain	K _{IA}	А	0	pu/s
Maximum current regulator output	$V_{A \max}$	A/E	0.996	pu
Minimum current regulator output	$V_{A\min}$	A/E	-0.866	pu
Controlled rectifier bridge equivalent gain	K_A	A/E	20	pu
Controlled rectifier bridge equivalent time constant	T_A	A/E	0.0018	S
Maximum rectifier bridge output	V_{Rmax}	Е	19.92	pu
Minimum rectifier bridge output	$V_{R\min}$	Е	-17.32	pu
Exciter field current feedback gain	K_F	Α	0.2	pu
Field current feedback time constant	T_F	Α	0.01	S
Free wheel equivalent feedback gain	K_{FW}	Α	0	pu
Maximum free wheel feedback	V_{FWmax}	Е	10	pu
Minimum free wheel feedback	$V_{FW\min}$	Е	0	pu
Power stage type selector	S_{CT}	Е	1	
Diode bridge loading factor proportional to commutating reactance	K _C	Е	0	pu
Demagnetizing factor, function of exciter alternator reactances	K_D	Е	1	pu
Exciter field proportional constant	K_E	Е	1	pu
Exciter field time constant	T_E	Е	1	S
Exciter field current limit	V_{FEmax}	Е	16	pu
Minimum exciter output limit	V_{Emin}	Е	0	pu
Exciter output voltage for saturation factor $S_E(E_l)$	E_{I}	Е	4.167	pu
Exciter saturation factor at exciter output voltage E_1	$S_E(E_l)$	Е	0.001	
Exciter output voltage for saturation factor $S_E(E_2)$	E_2	Е	3.125	pu
Exciter saturation factor at exciter output voltage E_2	$S_E(E_2)$	Е	0.01	
Power source selector	SW_I	Е	pos. A	
Rectifier loading factor proportional to commutating reactance	K_{CI}	Е	0	pu
Potential circuit (voltage) gain coefficient	K_P	Е	1	pu
Compound circuit (current) gain coefficient	K_{II}	Е	0	pu
Reactance associated with potential source	X_L	Е	0	pu
Potential circuit phase angle (degrees)	θ_P	Е	0	degrees
Maximum available exciter voltage	V _{B1max}	A/E	100	pu
Rectifier loading factor proportional to commutating reactance	K_{C2}	Е	0	pu
Compound circuit (current) gain coefficient	K_{I2}	Е	0	pu
Maximum available compound exciter voltage	V _{P2max}	A/E	0	nu

 Table H.19—Sample data for Type AC9C excitation system model (set 1)

152

Copyright © 2016 IEEE. All rights reserved.

H.14.2 Chopper converter excitation system

Sample data for the Type AC9C excitation system model (see Figure 17), considering a chopper converter supplying the exciter field winding, is shown in Table H.20.

Description	Symbol	Туре	Value	Units
Resistive component of load compensation	R _C	Α	0	pu
Reactance component of load compensation	X_C	Α	0	pu
Regulator input filter time constant	T_R	Е	0.01	S
Voltage regulator proportional gain	K _{PR}	А	10	pu
Voltage regulator integral gain	K _{IR}	Α	10	pu/s
Voltage regulator derivative gain	K _{DR}	Α	0	pu.s
Lag time constant for derivative channel of PID controller	T_{DR}	Α	0.1	S
Maximum voltage regulator output	V _{PIDmax}	A/E	1.6	pu
Minimum voltage regulator output	V _{PIDmin}	A/E	0	pu
Field current regulator proportional gain	K _{PA}	А	4	pu
Field current regulator integral gain	K _{IA}	А	0	pu/s
Maximum current regulator output	$V_{A \max}$	A/E	1	pu
Minimum current regulator output	$V_{A\min}$	A/E	-1	pu
Controlled rectifier bridge equivalent gain	K _A	A/E	20	pu
Controlled rectifier bridge equivalent time constant	T_A	A/E	0.0017	s
Maximum rectifier bridge output	$V_{R\max}$	Е	20	pu
Minimum rectifier bridge output	$V_{R\min}$	Е	-20	pu
Exciter field current feedback gain	K _F	Α	0.2	pu
Field current feedback time constant	T_F	Α	0.01	s
Free wheel equivalent feedback gain	K_{FW}	Е	0.4	pu
Maximum free wheel feedback	V_{FWmax}	Е	10	pu
Minimum free wheel feedback	V _{FWmin}	Е	0	pu
Power stage type selector	S_{CT}	Е	0	
Diode bridge loading factor proportional to commutating reactance	K _C	Е	0	pu
Demagnetizing factor, function of exciter alternator reactances	K _D	Е	1	pu
Exciter field proportional constant	K_E	Е	1	pu
Exciter field time constant	T_E	Е	1	S
Exciter field current limit	V _{FEmax}	Е	16	pu
Minimum exciter output limit	$V_{E\min}$	Е	0	pu
Exciter output voltage for saturation factor $S_E(E_l)$	E_I	Е	4.167	pu
Exciter saturation factor at exciter output voltage E_1	$S_E(E_l)$	Е	0.001	
Exciter output voltage for saturation factor $S_E(E_2)$	E_2	Е	3.125	pu
Exciter saturation factor at exciter output voltage E_2	$S_E(E_2)$	Е	0.01	
Power source selector	SW_{I}	Е	pos. A	
Rectifier loading factor proportional to commutating reactance	K _{Cl}	Е	0	pu
Potential circuit (voltage) gain coefficient	K_P	Е	1	pu
Compound circuit (current) gain coefficient	K _{II}	Е	0	pu
Reactance associated with potential source	X_L	Е	0	pu
Potential circuit phase angle (degrees)	θ_P	Е	0	degrees
Maximum available exciter voltage	V _{B1max}	A/E	100	pu
Rectifier loading factor proportional to commutating reactance	K_{C2}	Е	0	pu
Compound circuit (current) gain coefficient	<i>K</i> ₁₂	Е	0	pu
Maximum available exciter voltage	V _{P2mar}	A/E	0	pu

Table H.20—Sample data for Type AC9C excitation system model (set 2)

H.15 Type AC10C excitation system

Sample data for the Type AC10C excitation system model (see Figure 18) is shown in Table H.21.

Description	Symbol	Туре	Value	Units
Resistive component of load compensation	R_C	A	0	pu
Reactance component of load compensation	X _C	А	0	pu
Regulator input filter time constant	T_R	Е	0.01	s
Regulator gain	K_R	А	600	pu
Voltage regulator denominator (lag) time constant 1	T_{BI}	А	22.5	s
Voltage regulator numerator (lead) time constant 1	T_{Cl}	А	3	s
Voltage regulator denominator (lag) time constant 2	T_{B2}	А	0.13	s
Voltage regulator numerator (lead) time constant 2	T_{C2}	А	0.9	s
UEL regulator denominator (lag) time constant 1	T_{UBI}	А	22.5	s
UEL regulator numerator (lead) time constant 1	T _{UC1}	А	3	s
UEL regulator denominator (lag) time constant 2	T_{UB2}	А	0.13	S
UEL regulator numerator (lead) time constant 2	T_{UC2}	А	0.9	S
OEL regulator denominator (lag) time constant 1	T _{OB1}	А	18	S
OEL regulator numerator (lead) time constant 1	T _{OCI}	А	0.9	S
OEL regulator denominator (lag) time constant 2	T_{OB2}	А	0.1	S
OEL regulator numerator (lead) time constant 2	T _{OC2}	А	0.1	S
Maximum PSS regulator output	V _{RSmax}	A/E	20	pu
Minimum PSS regulator output	V _{RSmin}	A/E	-20	pu
Maximum regulator output	V _{Rmax}	A/E	40	pu
Minimum regulator output	V_{Rmin}	A/E	-35	pu
Exciter field current regulator feedback selector	SW _{EXC}	Е	pos. B	P
Exciter field current regulator measurement time constant	TEXC	Е	0	s
Exciter field current regulator feedback gain	KEYC	Е	1	ກາ
Exciter field current regulator proportional gain	KCR	А	0	pu
Exciter field current regulator numerator (lead) time constant	T_{FI}	А	0.1	s s
Exciter field current regulator denominator (lag) time constant	T_{F2}	А	0.1	s
Exciter field current limiter feedback gain	KVFF	A/E	1	pu
Exciter field current limiter proportional gain	KUM	А	0	pu
Exciter field current limiter reference	VEELIM	A/E	20	pu
Exciter field time constant	T_F	Е	1.3	s s
Rectifier loading factor proportional to commutating reactance	Kc	Е	0.13	pu
Demagnetizing factor, function of exciter alternator reactances	Kp	Е	1.15	pu
Exciter field proportional constant	K _E	Е	1.0	pu
Maximum exciter field current	VEEmax	E	44	pu pu
Exciter output voltage for saturation factor $S_E(E_1)$	E	Е	10	pu pu
Exciter saturation factor at exciter output voltage E_1	$S_F(E_1)$	Е	0.214	Pa
Exciter output voltage for saturation factor $S_F(E_2)$	E_2	Е	7.5	pu
Exciter saturation factor at exciter output voltage E_2	$S_{E}(E_{2})$	Е	0.044	Pu
Power source selector	$\frac{\sim E(-2)}{SW_1}$	Е	pos B	
Potential circuit (voltage) gain coefficient	K _P	E	1	ກາ
Potential circuit (current) gain coefficient	K _I	Ē	0	pu pu
Reactance associated with potential source		E	0	pu pu
Potential circuit phase angle (degrees)	- Ap	E	0	degrees
Rectifier loading factor proportional to commutating reactance		E	0	nu
Maximum available exciter voltage	V _{Plmax}	A/E	15	pu pu
Additive potential circuit (current) gain coefficient	K ₁₂	E	0	nii
Rectifier loading factor proportional to commutating reactance	Kcz	E	0	pu pu
Maximum available exciter voltage	V _{P2}	A/E	0	pu pu
	ol V and SCI	aianal V) a	hould be got to	ention "D "

Table H.21—Sample data for Type AC10C excitation system model

154 Copyright © 2016 IEEE. All rights reserved.

H.16 Type AC11C excitation system

Sample data for the Type AC11C excitation system model (see Figure 20) is shown in Table H.22.

Description	Symbol	Туре	Value	Units
Resistive component of load compensation	R_C	А	0	pu
Reactance component of load compensation	X_C	А	0	pu
Regulator input filter time constant	T_R	Е	0.01	S
Voltage regulator proportional gain	K _{PA}	А	30	pu
Voltage regulator integral time constant	T _{IA}	А	2	S
UEL regulator proportional gain	K_{PU}	А	30	pu
UEL regulator integral time constant	T_{IU}	А	2	S
Voltage and UEL regulator derivative gain	K _B	А	3	pu
Time constant for derivative element	T_B	А	0.4	S
OEL regulator proportional gain	K _{PO}	А	30	pu
OEL regulator integral time constant	T _{IO}	А	2	S
Maximum PSS regulator output	V _{RSmax}	A/E	3	pu
Minimum PSS regulator output	V _{RSmin}	A/E	-3	pu
Maximum regulator output	V _{Rmax}	A/E	20	pu
Minimum regulator output	V _{Rmin}	A/E	-20	pu
Maximum exciter output	$V_{A \max}$	A/E	20	pu
Minimum exciter output	V _{Amin}	A/E	0	pu
Exciter field time constant	T_E	Е	0.4	S
Rectifier loading factor proportional to commutating reactance	K_C	Е	0.173	pu
Demagnetizing factor, function of exciter alternator reactances	K_D	Е	1.0	pu
Exciter field proportional constant	K_E	E	1.0	pu
Maximum exciter field current	V _{FEmax}	E	20	pu
Exciter output voltage for saturation factor $S_E(E_I)$	E_I	E	7.4	pu
Exciter saturation factor at exciter output voltage E_1	$S_E(E_l)$	E	0.214	
Exciter output voltage for saturation factor $S_E(E_2)$	E_2	Е	5.55	pu
Exciter saturation factor at exciter output voltage E_2	$S_E(E_2)$	Е	0.044	
Power source selector	SW_1	Е	pos. B	
Potential circuit (voltage) gain coefficient	K_P	E	1	pu
Potential circuit (current) gain coefficient	K_I	E	0	pu
Reactance associated with potential source	X_L	Е	0	pu
Potential circuit phase angle (degrees)	θ_P	Е	0	degrees
Rectifier loading factor proportional to commutating reactance	K_{Cl}	Е	0	pu
Maximum available exciter voltage	V_{BImax}	A/E	1.5	pu
Additive potential circuit (current) gain coefficient	K_{I2}	Е	0	pu
Rectifier loading factor proportional to commutating reactance	K_{C2}	Е	0	pu
Maximum available additive exciter voltage	V _{B2max}	A/E	0	pu
Additive independent source	KBOOST	Е	0	pu
Reference value for applying additive (boost) circuit [see note 2]	VBOOST	A/E	0	pu
NOTE 1 The DCC signal <i>V</i> should be assured using alternate antian "C"	بالمرام والمروا والمرار		- (OEL -imm	1 IZ LIEL

Table H.22—Sample data for Type AC11C excitation system model

NOTE 1—The PSS signal V_s should be connected using alternate option "C," while the other input signals (OEL signal V_{OEL} , UEL signal V_{UEL} , and SCL signal V_{SCL}) should be set to option "B."

NOTE 2—Additive circuit can be uses as follows:

 $V_{BOOST} = 0$ pu and SW_{BOOST} in position A $\rightarrow 0$ is continuously added to V_R (disabled)

 $V_{BOOST} = 2$ pu and SW_{BOOST} in position B $\rightarrow V_{B2}$ is continuously added to V_R (continuous)

 $V_{BOOST} = 0.7$ pu and SW_{BOOST} switched from position A to position B $\rightarrow V_{B2}$ is added to V_R if $|V_T|$ drops below V_{BOOST} , otherwise 0 is added to V_R (enabled on event)

H.17 Type ST1C excitation system

H.17.1 Bus-fed thyristor excitation system without transient gain reduction

Sample data for the Type ST1C excitation system model (see Figure 21), considering a bus-fed thyristor bridge supplying the generator field winding, is shown in Table H.23. Table H.24 presents the sample data for a PSS model that could be applied in conjunction with the excitation system model described in Table H.23.

Description	Symbol	Туре	Value	Units
Resistive component of load compensation	R_C	А	0	pu
Reactance component of load compensation	X_C	А	0	pu
Regulator input filter time constant	T_R	Е	0.02	S
Voltage regulator gain	K_A	A/E	210	pu
Voltage regulator time constant	T_A	Е	0	S
Regulator denominator (lag) time constant	T_B	А	1	S
Regulator numerator (lead) time constant	T_C	А	1	S
Regulator denominator (lag) time constant	T_{BI}	А	0	S
Regulator numerator (lead) time constant	T_{CI}	А	0	S
Maximum exciter output	V_{Rmax}	A/E	6.43	pu
Minimum exciter output	V _{Rmin}	A/E	-6	pu
Maximum regulator output	$V_{A \max}$	A/E	6.43	pu
Minimum regulator output	$V_{A\min}$	A/E	-6	pu
Maximum voltage error (regulator input)	V _{Imax}	A/E	99	pu
Minimum voltage error (regulator input)	V _{Imin}	A/E	-99	pu
Rate feedback gain	K_F	А	0	pu
Rate feedback time constant	T_F	А	1	S
Rectifier loading factor proportional to commutating reactance	K _C	Е	0.038	pu
Exciter output current limiter gain	K _{LR}	A	4.54	pu
Exciter output current limit reference	I_{LR}	A	4.4	pu

Table H.23—Sample data for Type ST1C excitation system model (set 1)

Description	Symbol	Туре	Value	Units
Power system stabilizer gain	K _{SI}	A	20	pu
Power system stabilizer gain	K_{S2}	E/A	а	pu
Power system stabilizer gain	K _{S3}	Е	1	pu
PSS transducer time constant	T_6	Е	0.0	S
PSS transducer time constant ^b	T_7	А	10	S
PSS washout time constant	T_{wl}	А	10	S
PSS washout time constant	T_{w2}	А	10	S
PSS washout time constant	T_{w3}	А	10	S
PSS washout time constant	T_{w4}	А	с	S
PSS transducer time constant	T_8	А	0.30	S
PSS washout time constant	T_{9}	А	0.15	S
PSS transducer time constant	M	А	2	
PSS washout time constant	N	А	4	
PSS numerator (lead) compensating time constant	T_{I}	А	0.16	S
PSS denominator (lag) compensating time constant	T_2	А	0.02	S
PSS numerator (lead) compensating time constant	T_3	А	0.16	S
PSS denominator (lag) compensating time constant	T_4	А	0.02	S
PSS numerator (lead) compensating time constant	T_{10}	А	d	S
PSS denominator (lag) compensating time constant	T_{II}	А	d	S
Maximum PSS output	V _{STmax}	А	0.20	pu
Minimum PSS output	V _{STmin}	А	-0.066	pu
Input signal #1 maximum limit	V _{SIImax}	А	2	pu
Input signal #1 minimum limit	V _{SIImin}	А	-2	pu
Input signal #2 maximum limit	V _{SI2max}	А	2	pu
Input signal #2 minimum limit	V _{SI2min}	А	-2	pu
Generator MW threshold for PSS activation	P _{PSSon}	Α	0	pu
Generator MW threshold for PSS de-activation	P _{PSSoff}	А	0	pu
NOTE_PSS settings depend not only on the excitation system model and para	meters but al	so on the gen	erator model	These DSS

Table H.24—Sample data for PSS2C stabilizer (for ST1C model in Table H.23)

NOTE—PSS settings depend not only on the excitation system model and parameters, but also on the generator model. These PSS parameters might not work properly for different generator models, even if the excitation system model remains the same.

^a The gain K_{S2} should be calculated as $T_7/2$ H, where H is the inertia constant of the generator.

^b The time constant T_7 should be equal to T_{W2} .

 $^{\circ}$ The washout block with time constant T_{w4} should be bypassed. Set T_{w4} as necessary to bypass this block, based on the documentation of the software being used.

^d The third lead-lag block is not used in this example. Set $T_{10} = T_{11}$ or follow the instructions in the documentation of the software being used.

H.17.2 Bus-fed thyristor excitation system with transient gain reduction

Sample data for the Type ST1C excitation system model (see Figure 21), considering a thyristor bridge supplying the exciter field winding and transient gain reduction in the AVR settings, is shown in Table H.25.

Table H.26 presents the sample data for a PSS model that could be applied in conjunction with the excitation system model described in Table H.25.

Description	Symbol	Туре	Value	Units
Resistive component of load compensation	R_C	А	0	pu
Reactance component of load compensation	X_C	А	0	pu
Regulator input filter time constant	T_R	Е	0.04	S
Voltage regulator gain	K_A	A/E	190	pu
Voltage regulator time constant	T_A	Е	0	s
Regulator denominator (lag) time constant	T_B	А	10	s
Regulator numerator (lead) time constant	T_C	А	1	S
Regulator denominator (lag) time constant	T_{BI}	А	0	s
Regulator numerator (lead) time constant	T_{CI}	А	0	S
Maximum exciter output	$V_{R \max}$	A/E	7.8	pu
Minimum exciter output	$V_{R\min}$	A/E	-6.7	pu
Maximum regulator output	$V_{A \max}$	A/E	7.8	pu
Minimum regulator output	$V_{A\min}$	A/E	-6.7	pu
Maximum voltage error (regulator input)	V _{Imax}	A/E	99	pu
Minimum voltage error (regulator input)	V _{Imin}	A/E	-99	pu
Rate feedback gain	K_F	А	0	pu
Rate feedback time constant	T_F	А	1	s
Rectifier loading factor proportional to commutating reactance	K _C	Е	0.08	pu
Exciter output current limiter gain	K_{LR}	Α	0	pu
Exciter output current limit reference	I_{LR}	A	0	pu

Table H.25—Sample data for Type ST1C excitation system model (set 2)

Description	Symbol	Туре	Value	Units
PSS gain	K_S	А	16.7	pu
PSS denominator constant for second-order block	A_{I}	А	0	
PSS denominator constant for second-order block	A_2	А	0	
PSS numerator (lead) compensating time constant	T_{I}	А	0.15	S
PSS denominator (lag) compensating time constant	T_2	А	0.03	S
PSS numerator (lead) compensating time constant	T_3	А	0.15	S
PSS denominator (lag) compensating time constant	T_4	А	0.03	S
PSS washout time constant	T_5	А	1.65	S
PSS transducer time constant	T_6	Е	0.0	S
Maximum PSS output	V _{STmax}	А	0.10	pu
Minimum PSS output	V _{STmin}	А	-0.066	pu

NOTE 1—PSS settings depend not only on the excitation system model and parameters, but also on the generator model. These PSS parameters might not work properly for different generator models, even if the excitation system model remains the same. NOTE 2—The second-order block in the PSS1A model (parameters A_1 and A_2) is not applied. These values should be set as required by the software being used, in order to make sure that the block is bypassed.

H.18 Type ST2C excitation system

Sample data for the Type ST2C excitation system model (see Figure 22) is shown in Table H.27.

Description	Symbol	Туре	Value	Units
Resistive component of load compensation	R_C	А	0	pu
Reactance component of load compensation	X_C	А	0	pu
Regulator input filter time constant	T_R	Е	0	S
Voltage regulator gain	K_A	А	120	pu
Voltage regulator time constant	T_A	Е	0.15	S
Maximum regulator output	V _{Rmax}	A/E	1	pu
Minimum regulator output	V _{Rmin}	A/E	0	pu
Rate feedback gain	K_F	А	0.05	pu
Rate feedback time constant	T_F	А	1	S
Rectifier loading factor proportional to commutating reactance	K_C	Е	0.1	pu
Exciter field proportional constant	K_E	Е	1	pu
Exciter field time constant	T_E	Е	0.5	S
Maximum generator field voltage	E_{FDmax}	Е	4.4	pu
Potential circuit (voltage) gain coefficient	K_P	Е	4.88	pu
Compound circuit (current) gain coefficient	K_I	Е	0	pu
Reactance associated with potential source	X_L	Е	0	pu
Potential circuit phase angle (degrees)	θ_P	Е	0	degrees
Maximum available exciter voltage	$V_{B\max}$	A/E	5.2	pu

Table H.27—Sample data for	Type ST2C excitation s	ystem model
----------------------------	------------------------	-------------

H.19 Type ST3C excitation system

H.19.1 Potential source

Sample data for the Type ST3C excitation system model (see Figure 23), considering a potential transformer as the power source, is shown in Table H.28.

Table H.29 presents the sample data for a PSS model that could be applied in conjunction with the excitation system model described in Table H.28.

Description	Symbol	Туре	Value	Units
Resistive component of load compensation	R_C	А	0	pu
Reactance component of load compensation	X_C	А	0	pu
Regulator input filter time constant	T_R	Е	0	S
Voltage regulator gain	K_A	А	200	pu
Thyristor bridge firing control equivalent time constant	T_A	Е	0	S
Regulator denominator (lag) time constant	T_B	А	10	S
Regulator numerator (lead) time constant	T_C	А	1	S
Maximum regulator output	V _{Rmax}	A/E	10	pu
Minimum regulator output	V _{Rmin}	A/E	-10	pu
Maximum voltage error (regulator input)	V _{Imax}	A/E	0.2	pu
Minimum voltage error (regulator input)	V _{Imin}	A/E	-0.2	pu
Forward gain of inner loop field regulator	K_M	A/E	7.93	pu
Forward time constant of inner loop field regulator	T_M	Е	0.4 ^a	s
Maximum output of field current regulator	V _{Mmax}	A/E	1	pu
Minimum output of field current regulator	V _{Mmin}	A/E	0	pu
Feedback gain of field current regulator	K_G	А	1	pu
Maximum field current feedback voltage	V_{Gmax}	A/E	5.8	pu
Power source selector	SW_{I}	Е	pos. A	
Rectifier loading factor proportional to commutating reactance	K_C	Е	0.2	pu
Potential circuit (voltage) gain coefficient	K_P	Е	6.15	pu
Compound circuit (current) gain coefficient	K _I	Е	0	pu
Reactance associated with potential source	X_L	Е	0.081	pu
Potential circuit phase angle (degrees)	θ_P	Е	0 ^b	degrees
Maximum available exciter voltage	V _{Bmax}	A/E	6.9	pu

Table H.28—Sample	e data for Type	ST3C excitation	system model (set	1)
-------------------	-----------------	-----------------	-------------------	----

 $^{\rm a}$ $T_{\rm M}$ may be increased to 1.0 s for most studies, to permit longer integration time steps.

^b The calculation of V_E in the block diagram involves a phasor relationship and thus complex numbers. The complex parameter \overline{K}_P shown in the block diagram is defined by the magnitude K_P and the phase angle θ_P in the model data.

Description	Symbol	Туре	Value	Units
Power system stabilizer gain	K_S	А	5	pu
PSS denominator constant for second-order block	A_{I}	А	0.061	
PSS denominator constant for second-order block	A_2	А	0.0017	
PSS numerator (lead) compensating time constant	T_I	А	0.3	S
PSS denominator (lag) compensating time constant	T_2	А	0.03	S
PSS numerator (lead) compensating time constant	T_3	Α	0.3	S
PSS denominator (lag) compensating time constant	T_4	А	0.03	S
PSS washout time constant	T_5	А	10	S
PSS transducer time constant	T_6	E	0.0	S
Maximum PSS output	V _{STmax}	А	0.05	pu
Minimum PSS output	V _{STmin}	А	-0.05	pu

IEEE Std 421.5-2016 IEEE Recommended Practice for Excitation System Models for Power System Stability Studies

H.19.2 Compound power source

Sample data for the Type ST3C excitation system model (see Figure 23), considering a compound transformer as the power source, is shown in Table H.30.

Description	Symbol	Туре	Value	Units
Resistive component of load compensation	R_C	А	0	pu
Reactance component of load compensation	X_C	А	0	pu
Regulator input filter time constant	T_R	Е	0	S
Voltage regulator gain	K_A	А	200	pu
Thyristor bridge firing control equivalent time constant	T_A	Е	0	S
Voltage regulator denominator (lag) time constant	T_B	А	6.67	S
Voltage regulator numerator (lead) time constant	T_C	А	1	S
Maximum voltage regulator output	V _{Rmax}	A/E	10	pu
Minimum voltage regulator output	V _{Rmin}	A/E	-10	pu
Maximum voltage error (regulator input)	V _{Imax}	A/E	0.2	pu
Minimum voltage error (regulator input)	V _{Imin}	A/E	-0.2	pu
Forward gain of field current regulator	K_M	A/E	7.04	pu
Forward time constant of field current regulator	T_M	Е	0.4 ^a	S
Maximum output of field current regulator	V_{Mmax}	A/E	1	pu
Minimum output of field current regulator	V _{Mmin}	A/E	0	pu
Feedback gain of field current regulator	K_G	Α	1	pu
Maximum feedback voltage for field current regulator	V_{Gmax}	A/E	6.53	pu
Power source selector	SW_1	Е	pos. A	
Rectifier loading factor proportional to commutating reactance	K_C	Е	1.1	pu
Potential circuit (voltage) gain coefficient	K_P	Е	4.37	pu
Compound circuit (current) gain coefficient	K_I	Е	4.83	pu
Reactance associated with potential source	X_L	Е	0.09	pu
Potential circuit phase angle (degrees)	θ_P	Е	20	degrees
Maximum available exciter voltage	V _{Bmax}	A/E	8.63	pu

Table H.30—Sample data for Type ST3C excitation system model (set 2)

^a T_M may be increased to 1.0 s for most studies, to permit longer integration time steps.

H.20 Type ST4C excitation system

H.20.1 Potential source

Sample data for the Type ST4C excitation system model (see Figure 24), considering a potential transformer as the power source, is shown in Table H.31.

Description	Symbol	Туре	Value	Units
Resistive component of load compensation	R_C	А	0	pu
Reactance component of load compensation	X_C	А	0	pu
Regulator input filter time constant	T_R	Е	0	S
Voltage regulator proportional gain	K_{PR}	А	10.75	pu
Voltage regulator integral gain	K _{IR}	А	10.75	pu/s
Thyristor bridge firing control equivalent time constant	T_A	Е	0.02	S
Maximum regulator output	V_{Rmax}	A/E	1	pu
Minimum regulator output	V _{Rmin}	A/E	-0.87	pu
Forward proportional gain of inner loop field regulator	K_{PM}	A/E	1	pu
Forward integral gain of inner loop field regulator	K _{IM}	A/E	0	pu/s
Maximum output of field current regulator	V _{Mmax}	A/E	99	pu
Minimum output of field current regulator	V _{Mmin}	A/E	-99	pu
Maximum exciter output	$V_{A \max}$	A/E	99	pu
Minimum exciter output	V _{Amin}	A/E	-99	pu
Feedback gain of field current regulator	K_G	А	0	pu
Feedback time constant of field current regulator	T_G	A/E	0	S
Maximum feedback voltage for field current regulator	V_{Gmax}	A/E	99	pu
Power source selector	SW_1	Е	pos. A	
Rectifier loading factor proportional to commutating reactance	K _C	Е	0.113	pu
Potential circuit (voltage) gain coefficient	K_P	Е	9.3	pu
Compound circuit (current) gain coefficient	K_I	Е	0	pu
Reactance associated with potential source	X_L	Е	0.124	pu
Potential circuit phase angle (degrees)	θ_P	Е	0	degrees
Maximum available exciter voltage	$V_{B\max}$	A/E	11.63	pu

Table H.31—Sam	ple data for	Type ST4C	excitation s	vstem model ((set 1)
				,	

IEEE Std 421.5-2016 IEEE Recommended Practice for Excitation System Models for Power System Stability Studies

H.20.2 Compound source

Sample data for the Type ST4C excitation system model (see Figure 24), considering a compound transformer as the power source, is shown in Table H.32.

Description	Symbol	Туре	Value	Units
Resistive component of load compensation	R_C	А	0	pu
Reactance component of load compensation	X_C	А	0	pu
Regulator input filter time constant	T_R	Е	0	S
Voltage regulator proportional gain	K_{PR}	А	20	pu
Voltage regulator integral gain	K_{IR}	А	20	pu/s
Thyristor bridge firing control equivalent time constant	T_A	Е	0.02	s
Maximum regulator output	V _{Rmax}	A/E	1	pu
Minimum regulator output	$V_{R\min}$	A/E	-0.87	pu
Forward proportional gain of inner loop field regulator	K_{PM}	A/E	0	pu
Forward integral gain of inner loop field regulator	K _{IM}	A/E	14.9	pu/s
Maximum output of inner loop field regulator	V _{Mmax}	A/E	1	pu
Minimum output of inner loop field regulator	V _{Mmin}	A/E	-0.87	pu
Maximum exciter output	$V_{A \max}$	A/E	1	pu
Minimum exciter output	$V_{A\min}$	A/E	-0.87	pu
Feedback gain of inner loop field regulator	K_G	Α	0.18	pu
Feedback time constant of field current regulator	T_G	A/E	0	s
Maximum feedback voltage for field current regulator	V_{Gmax}	A/E	99	pu
Power source selector	SW_1	Е	pos. A	
Rectifier loading factor proportional to commutating reactance	K_C	Е	1.8	pu
Potential circuit (voltage) gain coefficient	K_P	Е	5.5	pu
Potential circuit (current) gain coefficient	K_I	Е	8.8	pu
Reactance associated with potential source	X_L	Е	0	pu
Potential circuit phase angle (degrees)	θ_P	Е	0	degrees
Maximum available exciter voltage	V _{Bmax}	A/E	8.54	pu

Table H.32—Sample data for Type ST4C excitation system model (set 2)

H.21 Type ST5C excitation system

Sample data for the Type ST5C excitation system model (see Figure 25) is shown in Table H.33.

Description	Symbol	Туре	Value	Units
Resistive component of load compensation	R_C	А	0	pu
Reactance component of load compensation	X_C	А	0	pu
Regulator input filter time constant	T_R	Е	0	S
Regulator gain	K_R	А	200	pu
Voltage regulator denominator (lag) time constant (first block)	T_{BI}	А	6	s
Voltage regulator numerator (lead) time constant (first block)	T_{Cl}	А	0.8	s
Voltage regulator denominator (lag) time constant (second block)	T_{B2}	А	0.01	S
Voltage regulator numerator (lead) time constant (second block)	T_{C2}	А	0.08	S
UEL regulator denominator (lag) time constant (first block)	T_{UBI}	А	10	S
UEL regulator numerator (lead) time constant (first block)	T_{UCI}	А	2	S
UEL regulator denominator (lag) time constant (second block)	T_{UB2}	А	0.05	S
UEL regulator numerator (lead) time constant (second block)	T_{UC2}	А	0.1	S
OEL regulator denominator (lag) time constant (first block)	T_{OBI}	А	2	S
OEL regulator numerator (lead) time constant (first block)	T_{OCI}	А	0.1	S
OEL regulator denominator (lag) time constant (second block)	T_{OB2}	А	0.08	S
OEL regulator numerator (lead) time constant (second block)	T_{OC2}	А	0.08	S
Maximum regulator output	V_{Rmax}	A/E	5	pu
Minimum regulator output	V _{Rmin}	A/E	-4	pu
Rectifier loading factor proportional to commutating reactance	K _C	Е	0.004	pu
Thyristor bridge firing control equivalent time constant	T_{I}	Е	0.004	S

Table H.33—Sam	ple data for ⁻	Type ST5C	excitation s	vstem model
	pie data iei		0/10/10/11 0	

H.22 Type ST6C excitation system

Sample data for the Type ST6C excitation system model (see Figure 26) is shown in Table H.34.

Description	Symbol	Туре	Value	Units
Resistive component of load compensation	R_C	А	0	pu
Reactance component of load compensation	X_C	А	0	pu
Regulator input filter time constant	T_R	A/E	0.012	S
Voltage regulator proportional gain	K_{PA}	А	18.038	pu
Voltage regulator integral gain	K _{IA}	А	45.094	pu/s
Pre-control gain constant of the inner loop field voltage regulator	K _{FF}	А	1	pu
Forward gain constant of the inner loop field voltage regulator	K_M	А	1	pu
Feedback gain constant of the inner loop field voltage regulator	K_G	А	1	pu
Feedback time constant of inner loop field voltage regulator	T_G	A/E	0.02	S
Maximum voltage regulator output	V _{Amax}	A/E	4.81	pu
Minimum voltage regulator output	$V_{A\min}$	A/E	-3.85	pu
Maximum regulator output limit	V _{Rmax}	A/E	4.81	pu
Minimum regulator output limit	V _{Rmin}	A/E	-3.85	pu
Maximum rectifier output limit	V_{Mmax}	Е	4.81	pu
Minimum rectifier output limit	$V_{M\min}$	Е	-3.85	pu
Thyristor bridge firing control equivalent time constant	T_A	Е	0.02	S
Exciter output current limiter gain	K_{LR}	Α	17.33	pu
Exciter output current limit adjustment	K_{CI}	Α	1.0577	pu
Exciter output current limit reference	I_{LR}	А	4.164	pu
Power source selector	SW_1	Е	pos. A	
Rectifier loading factor proportional to commutating reactance	K_C	Е	0	pu
Potential circuit (voltage) gain coefficient	K_P	Е	1	pu
Potential circuit (current) gain coefficient	K_I	Е	0	pu
Reactance associated with potential source	X_L	Е	0	pu
Potential circuit phase angle (degrees)	θ_P	Е	0	degrees
Maximum available exciter voltage	V _{Bmax}	Е	99	pu

Table H.34—Sample data for Type ST6C excitation system model

H.23 Type ST7C excitation system

Sample data for the Type ST7C excitation system model (see Figure 27) is shown in Table H.35.

Description	Symbol	Туре	Value	Units
Resistive component of load compensation	R_C	А	0	pu
Reactance component of load compensation	X_C	А	0	pu
Regulator input filter time constant	T_R	A/E	0	s
Regulator input filter time constant	T_G	Е	1	s
Voltage regulator denominator (lag) time constant	T_F	А	1	s
Maximum voltage reference	V _{max}	A/E	1.1	pu
Minimum voltage reference	V _{min}	A/E	0.9	pu
Voltage regulator gain	K_{PA}	А	40	pu
Voltage regulator denominator (lag) time constant	T_B	А	1	S
Voltage regulator numerator (lead) time constant	T_C	А	1	S
Thyristor bridge firing control equivalent time constant	T_A	Е	0	S
Maximum regulator output	V _{Rmax}	A/E	5	pu
Minimum regulator output	V _{Rmin}	A/E	-4.5	pu
Minimum excitation limit gain	K_L	А	1	pu
Maximum excitation limit gain	K_H	А	1	pu
PI regulator feedback gain	K _{IA}	A	1	pu
PI regulator feedback time constant	T_{IA}	A	3	S

Table H.35—Sample data for Type ST7C excitation system model

H.24 Type ST8C excitation system

Sample data for the Type ST8C excitation system model (see Figure 28) is shown in Table H.36.

Description	Symbol	Туре	Value	Units
Resistive component of load compensation	R_C	Α	0	pu
Reactance component of load compensation	X_C	Α	0	pu
Regulator input filter time constant	T_R	Е	0.0226	S
Voltage regulator proportional gain	K_{PR}	Α	6.6	pu
Voltage regulator integral gain	K _{IR}	Α	30	pu/s
Maximum voltage regulator output	V _{PImax}	A/E	16	pu
Minimum voltage regulator output	V _{PImin}	A/E	-16	pu
Field current regulator proportional gain	K_{PA}	Α	2.38	pu
Field current regulator integral gain	K _{IA}	Α	0	pu/s
Maximum field current regulator output	$V_{A \max}$	A/E	1	pu
Minimum field current regulator output	$V_{A\min}$	A/E	-1	pu
Field current regulator proportional gain	K_A	A/E	4.295	pu
Controlled rectifier bridge equivalent time constant	T_A	A/E	0.0017	S
Maximum field current regulator output	V_{Rmax}	Е	4.27	pu
Minimum field current regulator output	V _{Rmin}	Е	-3.64	pu
Exciter field current feedback gain	K_F	Е	1	pu
Field current feedback time constant	T_F	Е	0.0226	S
Power source selector	SW_I	Е	pos. A	
Rectifier loading factor proportional to commutating reactance	K_{Cl}	Е	0.062	pu
Potential circuit (voltage) gain coefficient	K_P	Е	1	pu
Potential circuit (current) gain coefficient	K_{II}	Е	0	pu
Reactance associated with potential source	X_L	Е	0	pu
Potential circuit phase angle (degrees)	θ_P	Е	0	degrees
Maximum available exciter voltage	V _{Bmax1}	Е	2	pu
Rectifier loading factor proportional to commutating reactance	K_{C2}	E	0	pu
Potential circuit (current) gain coefficient	<i>K</i> ₁₂	Е	0	pu
Maximum available exciter voltage	V _{Bmax2}	E	0	pu

Table H.36—Sample data for type ST8C excitation system model
H.25 Type ST9C excitation system

Sample data for the Type ST9C excitation system model (see Figure 29) is shown in Table H.37.

Description	Symbol	Туре	Value	Units
Resistive component of load compensation	R_C	А	0	pu
Reactance component of load compensation	X_C	А	0	pu
Regulator input filter time constant	T_R	A/E	0	S
Time constant of differential part of AVR	T_{CD}	А	0.06	S
Filter time constant of differential part of AVR	T_{BD}	А	0.03	S
Dead-band for differential part influence on AVR	Z_A	А	0.036	
AVR gain	K_A	А	12	
Gain associated with activation of takeover UEL	K_U	F	10000	
Time constant of AVR	T_A	А	2	S
Time constant of underexcitation limiter	T_{AUEL}	А	2	S
Minimum regulator output	V _{Rmin}	A/E	-0.866	pu
Maximum regulator output	V _{Rmax}	A/E	1	pu
Power converter gain (proportional to supply voltage)	K _{AS}	Е	6.10	pu
Equivalent time constant of power converter firing control	T_{AS}	Е	0.0018	s
Potential circuit (voltage) gain coefficient	K_P	Е	1	
Potential circuit phase angle (degrees)	θ_P	Е	0	degrees
Potential circuit (current) gain coefficient	K_I	Е	0	
Reactance associated with the compound source	X_L	Е	0	
Switch to select exciter supply configuration	SW_1	Е	pos. A	
Rectifier loading factor proportional to commutating reactance	K _C	Е	0	
Maximum limit on exciter voltage based on supply condition	V _{Bmax}	A/E	1.2	pu

Table H.37—Sample data for Type ST9C excitation system model

H.26 Type ST10C excitation system

.

Sample data for the Type ST10C excitation system model (see Figure 30) is shown in Table H.38.

Description	Symbol	Туре	Value	Units		
Resistive component of load compensation	R_C	А	0	pu		
Reactance component of load compensation	X_C	А	0	pu		
Regulator input filter time constant	T_R	Е	0.01	S		
Regulator gain	K_R	А	500	pu		
Voltage regulator denominator (lag) time constant 1	T_{BI}	А	12.5	S		
Voltage regulator numerator (lead) time constant 1	T_{CI}	А	1.5	S		
Voltage regulator denominator (lag) time constant 2	T_{B2}	А	0.1	S		
Voltage regulator numerator (lead) time constant 2	T_{C2}	А	0.1	S		
UEL regulator denominator (lag) time constant 1	T_{UBI}	А	12.5	S		
UEL regulator numerator (lead) time constant 1	T_{UCI}	А	1.5	S		
UEL regulator denominator (lag) time constant 2	T_{UB2}	А	0.1	S		
UEL regulator numerator (lead) time constant 2	T_{UC2}	А	0.1	S		
OEL regulator denominator (lag) time constant 1	T_{OBI}	А	12.5	S		
OEL regulator numerator (lead) time constant 1	T _{OCI}	А	1.5	S		
OEL regulator denominator (lag) time constant 2	T_{OB2}	А	0.1	S		
OEL regulator numerator (lead) time constant 2	T_{OC2}	А	0.1	S		
Maximum PSS regulator output	V _{RSmax}	A/E	5	pu		
Minimum PSS regulator output	V _{RSmin}	A/E	-5	pu		
Maximum regulator output	$V_{R\max}$	A/E	10	pu		
Minimum regulator output	$V_{R\min}$	A/E	-8.7	pu		
Rectifier loading factor proportional to commutating reactance	K_C	Е	0.01	pu		
Equivalent time constant for rectifier bridge	T_{I}	Е	0.004	S		
Power source selector	SW_1	Е	pos. A			
Potential circuit (voltage) gain coefficient	K_P	Е	1	pu		
Potential circuit (current) gain coefficient	K _I	Е	0	pu		
Reactance associated with potential source	X_L	Е	0	pu		
Potential circuit phase angle (degrees)	θ_P	Е	0	degrees		
Maximum available exciter voltage	$V_{B\max}$	A/E	1.5	pu		
NOTE The DSS signal $V_{\rm c}$ should be connected using alternate ontion "C" while the other input signals (OEL signal $V_{\rm c}$ UEL						

Table H.38—Samp	le data for	Type ST10C	excitation s	ystem model
				3

NOTE—The PSS signal V_s should be connected using alternate option "C," while the other input signals (OEL signal V_{OEL} , UEL signal V_{UEL} , and SCL signal V_{SCL}) should be set to option "B."

H.27 Type PSS1A power system stabilizer

Sample data for the PSS1A model has been presented associated with the sample data for some specific excitation system models, as in Table H.3, Table H.5, Table H.26, and Table H.29.

H.28 Type PSS2C power system stabilizer

Sample data for the PSS2C model has been presented associated with the sample data for some specific excitation system models, see Table H.14, Table H.16, and Table H.24.

H.29 Type PSS3C power system stabilizer

Sample data for the Type PSS3C power system stabilizer model (see Figure 33) is shown in Table H.39.

Description	Symbol	Туре	Value	Units
Power system stabilizer gain for input channel 1	K _{SI}	А	1	pu
Power system stabilizer gain for input channel 2	K_{S2}	А	0	pu
PSS transducer time constant for input channel 1	T_{I}	E/A	0.02	S
PSS transducer time constant for input channel 2	T_2	E/A	1.5	S
washout time constant (input channel 1)	T_{wl}	А	1.5	S
washout time constant (input channel 2)	T_{w2}	А	1.5	S
washout time constant (combined channels)	T_{w3}	А	0	S
PSS numerator coefficient (first block)	A_{I}	А	0	
PSS numerator coefficient (first block)	A_2	А	0	
PSS denominator coefficient (first block)	A_3	А	0.02	
PSS denominator coefficient (first block)	A_4	А	0	
PSS numerator coefficient (second block)	A_5	А	0	
PSS numerator coefficient (second block)	A_6	А	0	
PSS denominator coefficient (second block)	A_7	А	0	
PSS denominator coefficient (second block)	A_8	А	0	
Maximum PSS output	V _{STMAX}	А	0.10	pu
Minimum PSS output	V _{STMIN}	А	-0.10	pu
Generator MW threshold for PSS activation	P _{PSSon}	А	0	pu
Generator MW threshold for PSS de-activation	P_{PSSoff}	А	0	pu

Table H.39—Sample data for Type PSS3C stabilizer model

H.30 Type PSS4C power system stabilizer

A typical data set for the PSS4C power system stabilizer model (see Figure 35) uses a subset of the full model parameters, as shown in Table H.40. Several parameters default to zero (blocks not used).

Although the PSS4C differential filters parameters may be used in various ways, a simple setting method based on four symmetrical band pass filters respectively tuned at F_{VL} , F_L , F_L , and F_H is most often used. Their time constants and branch gains are derived from simple equations as shown below for the low band case. This method allows for sensitivity studies with only eight parameters— F_{VL} , F_L , F_I , F_H , K_{VL} , K_L , K_I , K_H —involved.

$$T_{L2} = T_{L7} = \frac{1}{2\pi F_L \sqrt{R}}$$
(H.1)

$$T_{LI} = \frac{T_{L2}}{R} \tag{H.2}$$

(H.3)

$$T_{L8} = RT_{L7}$$

$$K_{LI} = K_{L2} = \frac{R^2 + R}{R^2 - 2R + 1}$$
(H.4)

where

R is a constant that is considered equal to 1.2

Central frequencies and gains corresponding to this dataset are shown below. The central frequency F_H is set to a high value to provide phase lead up to 4 Hz. These eight parameters are also used in the sample data for the PSS5C model (see Table H.42).

$F_{VL} = 0.01 \text{ Hz}$	$K_{VL} = 0.5$ pu
$F_L = 0.07 \text{ Hz}$	$K_L = 3.0 \text{ pu}$
$F_I = 0.6 \text{ Hz}$	$K_I = 20.0 \text{ pu}$
$F_{H} = 9.0 \text{ Hz}$	$K_{H} = 80.0 \text{ pu}$

IEEE Std 421.5-2016 IEEE Recommended Practice for Excitation System Models for Power System Stability Studies

Description	Symbol	Туре	Value	Units
Very low band gain	K _{VL}	A	0.5	pu
Very low band differential filter gain	K_{VLI}	А	66	pu
Very low band first lead-lag block coefficient	K_{VL11}	А	1	pu
Very low band numerator time constant (first lead-lag block)	T_{VLI}	А	12.1	S
Very low band denominator time constant (first lead-lag block)	T_{VL2}	А	14.5	S
Very low band numerator time constant (second lead-lag block)	T_{VL3}	А	0	S
Very low band denominator time constant (second lead-lag block)	T_{VL4}	А	0	S
Very low band numerator time constant (third lead-lag block)	T_{VL5}	А	0	S
Very low band denominator time constant (third lead-lag block)	T_{VL6}	А	0	S
Very low band differential filter gain	K_{VL2}	А	66	pu
Very low band first lead-lag block coefficient	K_{VL17}	А	1	pu
Very low band numerator time constant (first lead-lag block)	T_{VL7}	А	14.5	s
Very low band denominator time constant (first lead-lag block)	T_{VL8}	А	17.4	S
Very low band numerator time constant (second lead-lag block)	T_{VL9}	А	0	S
Very low band denominator time constant (second lead-lag block)	T_{VL10}	А	0	S
Very low band numerator time constant (third lead-lag block)	T_{VLII}	А	0	S
Very low band denominator time constant (third lead-lag block)	T_{VL12}	А	0	S
Very low band upper limit	V _{VLmax}	А	0.01	pu
Very low band lower limit	V _{VLmin}	А	-0.01	pu
Low band gain	K_L	А	3	pu
Low band differential filter gain	K_{Ll}	А	66	pu
Low band first lead-lag block coefficient	K _{L11}	Α	1	pu
Low band numerator time constant (first lead-lag block)	T_{Ll}	А	1.73	S
Low band denominator time constant (first lead-lag block)	T_{L2}	А	2.075	S
Low band numerator time constant (second lead-lag block)	T_{L3}	А	0	S
Low band denominator time constant (second lead-lag block)	T_{L4}	А	0	S
Low band numerator time constant (third lead-lag block)	T_{L5}	А	0	S
Low band denominator time constant (third lead-lag block)	T_{L6}	А	0	S
Low band differential filter gain	K_{L2}	Α	66	pu
Low band first lead-lag block coefficient	K_{L17}	А	1	pu
Low band numerator time constant (first lead-lag block)	T_{L7}	А	2.075	S
Low band denominator time constant (first lead-lag block)	T_{L8}	А	2.491	S
Low band numerator time constant (second lead-lag block)	T_{L9}	A	0	S
Low band denominator time constant (second lead-lag block)	T_{L10}	А	0	S
Low band numerator time constant (third lead-lag block)	T_{LII}	A	0	S
Low band denominator time constant (third lead-lag block)	T_{L12}	A	0	S
Low band upper limit	$V_{L \max}$	A	0.075	pu
Low band lower limit	V_{Lmin}	A	-0.075	pu
Intermediate band gain	K _I	A	20	pu
Intermediate band differential filter gain	K_{II}	A	66	pu
Intermediate band first lead-lag block coefficient	K_{III}	A	1	pu
Intermediate band numerator time constant (first lead-lag block)	T_{II}	A	0.2018	S
Intermediate band denominator time constant (first block)	<i>T₁₂</i>	A	0.2421	S
Intermediate band numerator time constant (second lead-lag block)	<i>T</i> ₁₃	A	0	S
Intermediate band denominator time constant (second block)	<i>T</i> ₁₄	A	0	S
Intermediate band numerator time constant (third lead-lag block)	T ₁₅	A	0	S
Intermediate band denominator time constant (third block)	I 16	A	0	S
Intermediate band differential filter gain	K ₁₂	A	66	pu
Intermediate band first lead-lag block coefficient	К ₁₁₇	A	1	pu
Intermediate band denominator time constant (first lead-lag block)	1 ₁₇	A	0.2421	S
intermediate band denominator time constant (first block)	1 18	A	0.2906	S

Table H.40—Sample data for Type PSS4C stabilizer model

\$172\$ Copyright © 2016 IEEE. All rights reserved.

Description	Symbol	Туре	Value	Units
Intermediate band numerator time constant (second lead-lag block)	T ₁₉	А	0	S
Intermediate band denominator time constant (second block)	T_{II0}	А	0	S
Intermediate band numerator time constant (third lead-lag block)	T_{III}	А	0	S
Intermediate band denominator time constant (third block)	T_{II2}	А	0	S
Intermediate band upper limit	V _{Imax}	А	0.60	pu
Intermediate band lower limit	V _{Imin}	А	-0.60	pu
High band gain	K_H	А	80	pu
High band differential filter gain	K_{HI}	А	66	pu
High band first lead-lag block coefficient	K _{H11}	А	1	pu
High band numerator time constant (first lead-lag block)	T_{HI}	А	0.01345	S
High band denominator time constant (first lead-lag block)	T_{H2}	А	0.01614	S
High band numerator time constant (second lead-lag block)	T_{H3}	А	0	S
High band denominator time constant (second lead-lag block)	T_{H4}	А	0	S
High band numerator time constant (third lead-lag block)	T_{H5}	А	0	S
High band denominator time constant (third lead-lag block)	T_{H6}	А	0	S
High band differential filter gain	K_{H2}	А	66	pu
High band first lead-lag block coefficient	K_{H17}	А	1	pu
High band numerator time constant (first lead-lag block)	T_{H7}	А	0.01614	S
High band denominator time constant (first lead-lag block)	T_{H8}	А	0.01937	S
High band numerator time constant (second lead-lag block)	T_{H9}	А	0	S
High band denominator time constant (second lead-lag block)	T_{H10}	А	0	S
High band numerator time constant (third lead-lag block)	T_{HII}	А	0	S
High band denominator time constant (third lead-lag block)	T_{H12}	А	0	S
High band upper limit	$V_{H \max}$	А	0.60	pu
High band lower limit	$V_{H\min}$	А	-0.60	pu
Maximum PSS output	V _{STMAX}	А	0.15	pu
Minimum PSS output	V _{STMIN}	А	-0.15	pu

Table H.41—Sample data for Type PSS4C stabilizer model (continued)

NOTE 1—PSS settings depend not only on the excitation system model and parameters, but also on the generator model. These PSS parameters might not work properly for different generator models, even if the excitation system model remains the same. NOTE 2—Refer to Figure 34 regarding the input signals for the PSS4C model. This is a dual-input model using rotor speed and generator electrical power output as inputs.

H.31 Type PSS5C power system stabilizer

Sample data for the Type PSS5C power system stabilizer model (see Figure 36) is shown in Table H.41. This data has been set following the procedure described for the Type PSS4C model in H.30.

Description	Symbol	Туре	Value	Units
Very low band gain	K_{VL}	А	0.5	pu
Very low band central frequency	F_{VL}	А	0.01	Hz
Very low band upper limit	V _{VLmax}	А	0.01	pu
Very low band lower limit	V _{VLmin}	А	-0.01	pu
Low band gain	K_L	А	3	pu
Low band central frequency	F_L	А	0.07	Hz
Low band upper limit	$V_{L \max}$	А	0.075	pu
Low band lower limit	V_{Lmin}	А	-0.075	pu
Intermediate band gain	K_I	А	20	pu
Intermediate band central frequency	F_I	А	0.6	Hz
Intermediate band upper limit	V _{Imax}	А	0.60	pu
Intermediate band lower limit	V_{Imin}	А	-0.60	pu
High band gain	K_H	А	80	pu
High band central frequency	F_H	А	9	Hz
High band upper limit	$V_{H \max}$	А	0.60	pu
High band lower limit	V _{Hmin}	А	-0.60	pu
	k_{I}	А	5.736	
	k_2	А	6.883	
	k_3	А	8.259	
Maximum PSS output	V _{STMAX}	А	0.15	pu
Minimum PSS output	V _{STMIN}	А	-0.15	pu

Table H.42—Sample data for Type PSS5C stabilizer model

NOTE 1—PSS settings depend not only on the excitation system model and parameters, but also on the generator model. These PSS parameters might not work properly for different generator models, even if the excitation system model remains the same. NOTE 2—Refer to Figure 36 regarding the input signals for the PSS5C model. This is a single-input model, using rotor speed as the input signal.

H.32 Type PSS6C power system stabilizer

Sample data for the Type PSS6C power system stabilizer model (see Figure 37) is shown in Table H.42.

Description	Symbol	Туре	Value	Units
PSS gain for input channel 1	K _{SI}	А	1	pu
PSS transducer time constant for input channel 1	T_I	E/A	0.01	s
PSS time constant for input channel 1	T_3	А	0.4405	S
PSS gain for input channel 2	K_{S2}	А	1	pu
PSS washout time constant for input channel 2	Macc	А	20.6838	pu
PSS transducer time constant for input channel 2	T_2	E/A	0.01	s
PSS time constant for input channel 2	T_4	А	0.4405	s
PSS washout time constant	T_D	А	1.7809	s
PSS canonical gain 0	K_0	А	1.3322	pu
PSS canonical gain 1	K_{l}	А	0.2903	pu
PSS canonical gain 2	K_2	А	0.7371	pu
PSS canonical gain 3	K_3	А	0.0813	pu
PSS canonical gain 4	K_4	А	0	pu
PSS third block gain ^a	<i>K</i> _{<i>i</i>3}	А	1	pu
PSS fourth block gain ^b	<i>K</i> _{<i>i</i>4}	А	0	pu
PSS main gain	Ks	А	1	pu
PSS time constant (first block)	T_{il}	А	0.06	s
PSS time constant (second block)	T_{i2}	А	0.5794	s
PSS time constant (third block)	T_{i3}	А	3.5414	s
PSS time constant (fourth block)	T_{i4}	А	1	s
Input signal #1 maximum limit	V _{SIImax}	А	2	pu
Input signal #1 minimum limit	V _{SIImin}	А	-2	pu
Input signal #2 maximum limit	V _{SI2max}	А	2	pu
Input signal #2 minimum limit	V _{SI2min}	А	-2	pu
Maximum PSS output	V _{STMAX}	А	0.05	pu
Minimum PSS output	V _{STMIN}	Α	-0.05	pu
Generator MW threshold for PSS activation	P _{PSSon}	Α	0.21	pu
Generator MW threshold for PSS de-activation	P_{PSSoff}	Α	0.19	pu

Table H.43—Sample	e data for T	vpe PSS6C	stabilizer	model
	, aata ioi i	, po . eete		

^a The third block is used in this example, thus $K_{i3} = 1$. ^b The fourth block is not used in this example, thus $K_{i4} = 0$.

H.33 Type PSS7C power system stabilizer

Sample data for the Type PSS7C power system stabilizer model (see Figure 38) is shown in Table H.43.

Description	Symbol	Туре	Value	Units
Power system stabilizer main gain	K _{SI}	А	50	pu
Power system stabilizer gain ^a	K_{S2}	E/A	0.7052	pu
Power system stabilizer gain	K _{S3}	Е	1	pu
PSS transducer time constant	T_6	Е	0	S
PSS transducer time constant ^b	T_7	А	10	S
PSS washout time constant	T_{wl}	А	10	S
PSS washout time constant	T_{w2}	А	10	S
PSS washout time constant	T_{w3}	А	10	S
PSS washout time constant ^c	T_{w4}	А	0	S
PSS transducer time constant	T_8	А	0.5	S
PSS washout time constant	T_{9}	А	0.1	S
Denominator exponent for ramp-track filter	М	А	5	
Overall exponent for ramp-track filter	N	А	1	
PSS canonical gain 0	K_0	А	0.399	pu
PSS canonical gain 1	K_{I}	А	1.8462	pu
PSS canonical gain 2	K_2	А	0.4231	pu
PSS canonical gain 3	<i>K</i> ₃	А	0.2104	pu
PSS canonical gain 4	K_4	А	0	pu
PSS third block gain ^d	K _{i3}	А	1	pu
PSS fourth block gain ^e	K_{i4}	А	0	pu
PSS time constant (first block)	T_{il}	А	0.03	S
PSS time constant (second block)	T_{i2}	А	0.0293	S
PSS time constant (third block)	T_{i3}	А	0.2804	S
PSS time constant (fourth block)	T_{i4}	А	1	S
Input signal #1 maximum limit	V _{SIImax}	А	2	pu
Input signal #1 minimum limit	V _{SIImin}	А	-2	pu
Input signal #2 maximum limit	V _{SI2max}	А	2	pu
Input signal #2 minimum limit	V _{SI2min}	А	-2	pu
Maximum PSS output	V _{STMAX}	А	0.05	pu
Minimum PSS output	V _{STMIN}	А	-0.05	pu
Generator MW threshold for PSS activation	P_{PSSon}	А	0.21	pu
Generator MW threshold for PSS de-activation	P _{PSSoff}	A	0.10	pu
NOTE—PSS settings depend not only on the excitation system model and PSS parameters might not work properly for different generator models, even	parameters, l	out also on the tion system n	he generator nodel remains	model. These s the same.

Table H.44—Sample data for Type PSS7C stabilizer model

^a The gain K_{S2} should be calculated as $T_7/2H$, where H is the inertia constant of the generator.

^b The time constant T_7 should be equal to T_{w2} .

^d The third block is used in this example, thus $K_{i3} = 1$.

^e The fourth block is not used in this example, thus $K_{i4} = 0$.

^c The washout block with time constant T_{w4} should be bypassed. Set T_{w4} as necessary to bypass this block, based on the documentation of the software being used.

H.34 Type OEL1B overexcitation limiter

Sample data for the Type OEL1B overexcitation limiter model (see Figure 40) is shown in Table H.45.

Description	Symbol	Туре	Value	Units
OEL timed field current limiter pick up level ^a	I_{TFPU}	А	1.05	pu
OEL instantaneous field current limit ^a	I _{FDMAX}	А	1.5	pu
OEL timed field current limit ^a	I _{FDLIM}	А	1.05	pu
OEL pick up/drop out hysteresis ^a	HYST	А	0.03	pu
OEL cool-down gain	K _{CD}	А	1	pu
Low band central frequency	K _{RAMP}	А	10	pu/s
Rated field current ^b	I _{FDrated}	А	2.5	pu

Table H.45—Sample data for Type OEL1B overexcitation limiter model

^a Field current limits and settings are provided in per unit of the rated field current $I_{FDrated}$.

^b The rated field current $I_{FDrated}$ depends on the generator characteristics and is provided in per unit of the base value (non-reciprocal per-unit system) described in Annex B.

H.35 Type OEL2C overexcitation limiter

Field current limits and settings provided in Table H.46 are expressed in per unit of the base field current I_{FDbase} (open-circuit air-gap value) as described in Annex B, which are typically used as feedback signals in most simulation environments. However, field current limits may be expressed in per unit of nominal (or rated) field current instead. Related scaling factors (K_{SCALE} and/or K_{ACT}) can be used to compensate this effect and define the field current limits based on rated field current.

The value for the rated field current $I_{FDrated}$ is shown in Table H.46 for documentation purposes only, as it is not a parameter for the OEL2C model.

H.35.1 Takeover OEL (at input of AVR)

Sample data for the Type OEL2C overexcitation limiter model (see Figure 41), considering a takeover action at the input of the AVR (e.g., location "B" in the ST10C model in Figure 30), is shown in Table H.46 in the column identified as set 1.

H.35.2 Takeover OEL (at output of AVR)

Sample data for the Type OEL2C overexcitation limiter model (see Figure 41), considering a takeover action at the input of the AVR (e.g., location "B" in the ST10C model in Figure 30), is shown in Table H.46 in the column identified as set 2.

H.35.3 Summation point OEL

Sample data for the Type OEL2C overexcitation limiter model (see Figure 41), considering a summation point action at the input of the AVR (e.g., location "A" in the ST10C model in Figure 30), is shown in Table H.45 in the column identified as set 3.

Description	Symbol	Туре	Set 1	Set 2	Set 3	Units
OEL regulator denominator (lag) time constant 1	T_{Cloel}	А	0.1	0.2	0.1	S
OEL regulator numerator (lead) time constant 1	T_{Bloel}	А	0.1	2	0.1	S
OEL regulator denominator (lag) time constant 2	T_{C2oel}	А	0.1	0.1	0.1	S
OEL regulator numerator (lead) time constant 2	T _{B2oel}	А	0.1	0.1	0.1	S
OEL PID regulator proportional gain	K _{Poel}	Е	0.5	500	0.3	pu
OEL PID regulator integral gain	K _{loel}	Е	0	0	1	pu/s
OEL PID regulator differential gain	K _{Doel}	Е	0	0	0	pu
OEL PID regulator differential time constant	T_{Doel}	Е	0.1	0.1	0.1	S
Maximum OEL PID output limit	V _{OELmax3}	A/E	100	100	0	pu
Minimum OEL PID output limit	V _{OELmin3}	A/E	-100	-100	-100	pu
Maximum OEL lead-lag 1 output limit	V _{OELmax2}	A/E	100	100	0	pu
Minimum OEL lead-lag 1 output limit	V _{OELmin2}	A/E	-100	-100	-100	pu
Maximum OEL output limit	V _{OELmax1}	A/E	10	10	0	pu
Minimum OEL output limit	V _{OELmin1}	A/E	-10	-10	-10	pu
OEL reset-reference, if OEL is inactive ^a	Ireset	А	100	100	100	pu
OEL activation delay time ^a	T _{en}	А	0.2	0.2	0.2	S
OEL reset delay time ^a	Toff	А	5	5	5	S
OEL reset threshold value ^a	I _{THoff}	Е	0.05	0.05	0.05	pu
OEL input signal scaling factor ^d	K _{SCALE}	Е	1	1	1	pu
OEL input signal filter time constant ^d	T_{Roel}	Е	0.01	0.01	0.01	S
OEL actual value scaling factor	Kact	Е	1	1	1	pu
OEL reference for inverse time calculations ^e	I _{TFpu}	A/E	3	3	3	pu
OEL instantaneous field current limit ^e	Iinst	A/E	6	6	6	pu
OEL thermal field current limit ^e	I _{lim}	A/E	3	3	3	pu
OEL reference filter time constant	T _{Aoel}	Е	0.04	0.04	0.04	S
OEL exponent for calculation of I _{ERRinv1}	cl	A/E	0	0	0	
OEL gain for calculation of I _{ERRinv1}	K_I	A/E	0	0	0	pu/pu
OEL exponent for calculation of I _{ERRinv2} ^b	c2	A/E	2	2	2	
OEL gain for calculation of I _{ERRinv2} ^b	K_2	A/E	0.0296	0.0296	0.0296	pu/pu
OEL maximum inverse time output ^b	V _{INVmax}	A/E	100	100	100	pu
OEL minimum inverse time output ^b	V _{INVmin}	A/E	0	0	0	pu
OEL fixed delay time output ^b	<i>Fixed</i> _{ru}	A/E	0	0	0	pu
OEL fixed cooling-down time output ^b	<i>Fixed</i> _{rd}	A/E	-0.001	-0.001	-0.001	pu
OEL timer reference	T_{FCL}	А	10	1	10	pu
OEL timer maximum level	$T_{\rm max}$	A/E	10	1	10	pu
OEL timer minimum level	T_{\min}	A/E	0	0	0	pu
OEL timer feedback gain	K_{FB}	A/E	0	0	0	pu
OEL reference ramp-down rate ^c	K _{rd}	Е	-1000	-1000	-1000	pu/s
OEL reference ramp-up rate ^c	K_{ru}	Е	1000	1000	1000	pu/s
OEL thermal reference release threshold ^c	K _{ZRU}	Е	0.99	0.99	0.99	
Rated field current ^e	I _{FDrated}	А	3	3	3	pu

Table H.46—Sample data for Type OEL2C overexcitation limiter model

^a Parameters associated with the OEL activation logic. ^b Parameters associated with the OEL timer logic.

^c Parameters associated with the OEL ramp rate logic. ^d Field current limits and settings are provided in per unit of the base field current I_{FDbase} .

^e The rated field current *I_{FDrated}* depends on the generator characteristics and is provided in per unit of the base value (non-reciprocal per unit system) described in Annex B.

H.36 Type OEL3C overexcitation limiter

Sample data for the Type OEL3C overexcitation limiter model (see Figure 42) is shown in Table H.46.

Description	Symbol	Туре	Value	Units
OEL timed field current limiter pick up level ^a	I _{TFpu}	А	3.7	pu
OEL input signal scaling factor ^a	K _{SCALE}	А	а	pu
OEL field current measurement time constant	T_F	E/A	0.02	S
Exponent for OEL error calculation	K_{I}	А	1	
OEL gain	K _{OEL}	А	1	pu
OEL integral time constant	T_{OEL}	А	24	S
OEL proportional gain	K _{POEL}	А	1	pu
OEL integrator maximum output	V _{OELmax1}	А	0.66	pu
OEL integrator minimum output	V _{OELmin1}	А	-1	pu
OEL maximum output	V _{OELmax2}	A	0	pu
OEL minimum output	V _{OELmin2}	A	-1	pu

Table H.47—Sample data for Type OEL3C overexcitation limiter model

^a The parameter K_{SCALE} should be calculated based on the selection of the OEL input signal, to match the base value used for expressing I_{TFpu} . Typically, I_{TFpu} is expressed in per unit of the rated value for the selected input variable for the OEL model.

H.37 Type OEL4C overexcitation limiter

Sample data for the Type OEL4C overexcitation limiter model (see Figure 43) is shown in Table H.47.

Description	Symbol	Туре	Value	Units
OEL timed reactive power limiter pick up level	Q_{REF}	А	0.4	pu
OEL integral time constant	T _{delay}	А	20	S
OEL proportional gain	K_P	А	1	pu
OEL integral gain	K _I	А	1	pu/s
OEL minimum output	V _{min}	А	-0.2	pu

Table H.48—Sample data for Type OEL4C overexcitation limiter model

H.38 Type OEL5C overexcitation limiter

Field current limits and settings provided in Table H.48 are expressed in per unit of the base field current I_{FDbase} (open-circuit air-gap value) as described in Annex B, which are typically used as feedback signals in most simulation environments. However, field current limits may be expressed in per unit of nominal (or rated) field current instead. Related scaling factors (K_{SCALE1} and/or K_{SCALE2}) can be used to compensate this effect and define the field current limits based on rated field current.

The dataset 1 in Table H.48 corresponds to the OEL application to a static excitation system, for instance the ST4C model shown in Figure 24. The dataset 2 is related to the OEL application to rotating exciter equipment with slip rings at the generator field winding, so measurements of the generator field current and the exciter field current are available. Finally, dataset 3 is associated with an OEL application in a brushless excitation system.

Description	Symbol	Туре	Set 1	Set 2	Set 3	Units
OEL inverse time integrator pickup level	I _{FDpu}	Α	1.02	1.02	1.02	pu
OEL inverse time limit active level	IFDlim	Α	6.58	6.58	6.58	pu.s
OEL inverse time time upper limit	V _{OELmax1}	Α	9.49	9.49	9.49	pu.s
OEL inverse time integrator time constant	T_{OEL}	Α	1	1	1	s
OEL inverse time leak gain	K _{IFDT}	А	0.0043	0.0043	0.0043	pu
OEL lead-lag gain	K	Е	1	1	0	pu
OEL lead time constant	T _{Coel}	Α	0	0.9	0	s
OEL lag time constant	T_{Boel}	Α	0	0.32	0	s
OEL activation logic pickup level	<i>I_{FDpulev}</i>	Α	1.4	1.4	1.4	pu
OEL activation logic timer setpoint	T _{IFDlev}	Α	1	1	1	s
OEL reference 1	I _{FDrefl}	Α	1.25	1.25	1.25	pu
OEL reference 2	I _{FDref2}	Α	1	1	1	pu
OEL proportional gain	K _{Poel}	Α	0.46	2.861	1.0753	pu
OEL integral gain	K _{Ioel}	Α	17.36	8.94	0	pu/s
OEL PI control upper limit	V _{OELmax}	Α	1	1	1	pu
OEL PI control lower limit	V _{OELmin}	А	-0.99	-0.99	-0.99	pu
Exciter field current regulator proportional gain	K _{Pvfe}	Α	0	1.522	0	pu
Exciter field current regulator integral gain	K _{Ivfe}	Α	0	169.1	0	pu/s
Exciter field current regulator upper limit	V_{VFEmax}	А	1	1	1	pu
Exciter field current regulator lower limit	V _{VFEmin}	А	-0.99	-0.99	-0.99	pu
Scale factor for OEL input	K _{SCALE1}	Е	0.295	0.3503	0.2296	
OEL input transducer time constant	T_{F2}	A/E	0	0	1.22	S
Scale factor I _{FEbase} /I _{FErated}	K _{SCALE2}	Е	0	0.2317	0	
Exciter field current transducer time constant	T_{F2}	A/E	0	0	0	S
Exciter field current reference setpoint	V_{FEref}	А	0	2.151	0	pu
OEL reference logic switch	SW_{I}	A/E	pos. A	pos. B	pos. A	
OEL reference bias	Ibias	A/E	1	1	2.15	pu
Exponent for inverse time function	K1	Α	1	1	1	

Table H.49—Sample data for Type OEL5C overexcitation limiter model

H.39 Type UEL1 underexcitation limiter

Sample data for the Type UEL1 underexcitation limiter model (see Figure 45) is shown in Table H.49.

Description	Symbol	Туре	Value	Units
UEL center setting ^a	K _{UC}	А	1.38	pu
UEL radius setting ^a	K _{UR}	А	1.95	pu
	V _{URmax}		5.8	pu
	V _{UCmax}		5.8	pu
UEL excitation system stabilizer gain	K_{UF}	А	3.3	pu
UEL integral gain	K _{UI}	А	0	pu/s
UEL proportional gain	K_{UL}	А	100	pu
UEL PI control maximum output	V _{UImax}		18	pu
UEL PI control minimum output	V _{UImin}		-18	pu
UEL numerator (lead) time constant (first block)	T_{UI}	А	0	S
UEL denominator (lag) time constant (first block)	T_{U2}	А	0.05	S
UEL numerator (lead) time constant (second block)	T_{U3}	А	0	S
UEL denominator (lag) time constant (second block)	T_{U4}	А	0	S
UEL maximum output	V _{UELmax}		18	pu
UEL minimum output	VUELmin		-18	pu

^a The UEL characteristics (center and radius) are expressed in per unit of the generator MVA base.

H.40 Type UEL2C underexcitation limiter

Sample data for the Type UEL2C underexcitation limiter model (see Figure 47) is shown in Table H.50.

Description	Symbol Type		Value	Units
UEL real power filter time constant	T_{UP}	А	5	S
UEL reactive power filter time constant	T_{UO}	А	0	S
UEL voltage filter time constant	T_{UV}	А	5	S
UEL voltage bias	V_{bias}	А	1	pu
Voltage exponent for real power input to UEL table	K_{I}	А	2	
Voltage exponent for reactive power output of UEL table	K_2	А	2	
UEL excitation system stabilizer gain	K _{UF}	А	0	pu
UEL reactive power reference time constant	T _{Oref}	А	0	S
UEL fixed gain reduction factor	K _{fix}	А	1	pu
UEL adjustable gain reduction time constant	T _{adj}	А	3	S
UEL logic switch for adjustable gain reduction	SW ₁	А	pos. A	
UEL integral gain	K _{UI}	А	0.5	pu/s
UEL proportional gain	K _{UL}	А	0.8	pu
UEL PI control maximum output	V _{UImax}		0.25	pu
UEL PI control minimum output	V _{UImin}		0	pu
UEL numerator (lead) time constant (first block)	T_{UI}	А	0	S
UEL denominator (lag) time constant (first block)	T_{U2}	А	0	S
UEL numerator (lead) time constant (second block)	T_{U3}	А	0	S
UEL denominator (lag) time constant (second block)	T_{U4}	А	0	S
UEL maximum output	V _{UELmax1}	A/E	0.25	pu
UEL minimum output	V _{UELmin1}	A/E	0	pu
UEL maximum output	V _{UELmax2}	А	99	pu
UEL minimum output	V _{UELmin2}	А	-99	pu
UEL lookup table real power (first point)	P_{0}	А	0	pu
UEL lookup table reactive power (first point)	Q_{0}	А	-0.31	pu
UEL lookup table real power (second point)	P_{I}	А	0.30	pu
UEL lookup table reactive power (second point)	Q_I	А	-0.31	pu
UEL lookup table real power (third point)	P_2	А	0.60	pu
UEL lookup table reactive power (third point)	Q_2	А	-0.28	pu
UEL lookup table real power (fourth point)	P_{3}	А	0.90	pu
UEL lookup table reactive power (fourth point)	Q_3	А	-0.21	pu
UEL lookup table real power (fifth point)	P_4	А	1.02	pu
UEL lookup table reactive power (fifth point)	Q_4	А	0	pu

Table H.51—Sample data for Type UEL2C underexcitation limiter model

H.41 Type SCL1C stator current limiter

Sample data for the type SCL1C stator current limiter model (see Figure 51), considering an inverse time characteristic based on the reactive current of the generator, is shown in Table H.51.

Table H.52 presents the data for the SCL1C model representing a fixed-time characteristic also based on the reactive current of the generator. Table H.53 corresponds to the sample data for the SCL1C based on the reactive power output of the generator.

Description	Symbol	Туре	Value	Units
SCL terminal current pick up level	I _{SCLlim}	А	1.05	pu
Terminal current transducer equivalent time constant	T_{IT}	A/E	0.005	S
SCL timing characteristic factor	K	А	1	
Reactive current transducer equivalent time constant	T_{QSCL}	Е	0	S
Dead-band for reactive current	I_{Qmin}	А	0	pu
Dead-band for reactive power or power factor	V_{SCLdb}	А	0.1	pu
Inverse time delay after pickup	T_{INV}	А	30	s
Fixed-time delay after pickup	T_{DSCL}	А	0	s
Reactive current/reactive power selector	SW_{I}	Е	pos. A	
Fixed-time or inverse time selector	SW_2	Е	1	
SCL proportional gain (overexcited range)	K _{Poex}	А	0	pu
SCL integral gain (overexcited range)	K _{loex}	А	0.2	pu/s
SCL proportional gain (underexcited range)	K_{Puex}	А	0	pu
SCL integral gain (underexcited range)	K _{Iuex}	А	0.2	pu/s
SCL upper integrator limit	V _{SCLmax}	A	1	pu
SCL lower integrator limit	V _{SCLmin}	A	0	pu

 Table H.52—Sample data for Type SCL1C stator current limiter model (set 1)

Table H.53—Sample data for Type SCL1C stator current limiter model (set 2)

Description	Symbol	Туре	Value	Units
SCL terminal current pick up level	I _{SCLlim}	А	1.05	pu
Terminal current transducer equivalent time constant	T_{IT}	A/E	0.005	S
SCL timing characteristic factor	K	А	1	
Reactive current transducer equivalent time constant	T_{QSCL}	Е	0	S
Dead-band for reactive current	I _{Qmin}	А	0	pu
Dead-band for reactive power or power factor	V_{SCLdb}	А	0.1	pu
Inverse time delay after pickup	T _{INV}	А	0	S
Fixed-time delay after pickup	T_{DSCL}	А	10	S
Reactive current/reactive power selector	SW_{I}	Е	pos. A	
Fixed-time or inverse time selector	SW_2	Е	0	
SCL proportional gain (overexcited range)	K _{Poex}	А	0.1	pu
SCL integral gain (overexcited range)	K _{loex}	А	1	pu/s
SCL proportional gain (underexcited range)	K _{Puex}	А	0.1	pu
SCL integral gain (underexcited range)	K _{Iuex}	А	1	pu/s
SCL upper integrator limit	V _{SCLmax}	А	0.3	pu
SCL lower integrator limit	V _{SCLmin}	А	0	pu

IEEE Std 421.5-2016 IEEE Recommended Practice for Excitation System Models for Power System Stability Studies

Description	Symbol	Туре	Value	Units
SCL terminal current pick up level	I _{SCLlim}	А	1.05	pu
Terminal current transducer equivalent time constant	T_{IT}	A/E	0.1	S
SCL timing characteristic factor	K	А	1	
Reactive current transducer equivalent time constant	T_{QSCL}	E	0.02	S
Dead-band for reactive current	I _{Qmin}	А	0.1	pu
Dead-band for reactive power or power factor	V _{SCLdb}	А	0.1	pu
Inverse time delay after pickup	T_{INV}	А	0	S
Fixed-time delay after pickup	T_{DSCL}	А	0	S
Reactive current/reactive power selector	SW_{I}	Е	pos. B	
Fixed-time or inverse time selector	SW_2	E	0	
SCL proportional gain (overexcited range)	K _{Poex}	А	0	pu
SCL integral gain (overexcited range)	K _{loex}	А	0.0303	pu/s
SCL proportional gain (underexcited range)	K _{Puex}	А	0	pu
SCL integral gain (underexcited range)	K _{Iuex}	A	0.0303	pu/s
SCL upper integrator limit	V _{SCLmax}	A	0.2	pu
SCL lower integrator limit	V _{SCLmin}	А	-0.1	pu

Table H.54—Sample data for Type SCL1C stator current limiter model (set 3)

H.42 Type SCL2C stator current limiter

H.42.1 Takeover SCL (at input of AVR)

Sample data for the Type SCL2C stator current limiter model (see Figure 52), considering a takeover action at the input of the AVR (e.g., location "B" in the ST10C model in Figure 30), is shown in Table H.55 in the column identified as set 1.

H.42.2 Takeover SCL (at output of AVR)

Sample data for the Type SCL2C stator current limiter model (see Figure 52), considering a takeover action at the input of the AVR (e.g., location "C" in the ST10C model in Figure 30), is shown in Table H.55 in the column identified as set 2.

H.42.3 Summation point SCL

Sample data for the Type SCL2C stator current limiter model (see Figure 52), considering a takeover action at the input of the AVR (e.g., location "A" in the ST10C model in Figure 30), is shown in Table H.55 in the column identified as set 3.

IEEE Std 421.5-2016 IEEE Recommended Practice for Excitation System Models for Power System Stability Studies

Description	Symbol	Туре	Set1	Set2	Set3	Units
Overexcited regulator denominator (lag) time constant 1	T_{Rloel}	A	0.1	12.5	0.1	S
Overexcited regulator numerator (lead) time constant 1	T_{Cloel}	А	0.1	1.5	0.1	S
Overexcited regulator denominator (lag) time constant 2	T_{B2oel}	Α	0.1	0.1	0.1	S
Overexcited regulator numerator (lead) time constant 2	T _{C20el}	А	0.1	0.1	0.1	S
Overexcited PID regulator proportional gain	K _{Poel}	Е	0.5	250	0.3	pu
Overexcited PID regulator integral gain	K _{loel}	Е	0	0	1	pu
Overexcited PID regulator differential gain	K _{Doel}	Е	0	0	0	pu
Overexcited PID regulator differential time constant	T _{Doel}	Е	0.1	0.1	0.1	s
Maximum OEL PID output limit	V_{OELmax}	A/E	100	100	0	pu
Minimum OEL PID output limit	$V_{OELmin 3}$	A/E	-100	-100	-0.1	pu
Maximum OEL lead-lag 1 output limit	$V_{OELmax2}$	A/E	100	20	0	pu
Minimum OEL lead-lag 1 output limit	$V_{OELmin2}$	A/E	-100	-20	-0.1	pu
Maximum OEL output limit	$V_{OELmaxI}$	A/E	10	10	0	pu
Minimum OEL output limit	$V_{OELminI}$	A/E	-10	-8.7	-0.1	pu
Underexcited regulator denominator (lag) time constant 1	T_{Rluel}	А	0.1	12.5	0.1	s
Underexcited regulator numerator (lead) time constant 1	T_{Cluel}	А	0.1	1.5	0.1	S
Underexcited regulator denominator (lag) time constant 2	T _{R2uel}	А	0.1	0.1	0.1	S
Underexcited regulator numerator (lead) time constant 2	T _{C2uel}	А	0.1	0.1	0.1	S
Underexcited PID regulator proportional gain	K _{Puel}	Е	0.5	250	0.3	pu
Underexcited PID regulator integral gain	K _{Iuel}	Е	0	0	1	pu
Underexcited PID regulator differential gain	KDuel	Е	0	0	0	pu
Underexcited PID regulator differential time constant	T _{Duel}	Е	0.1	0.1	0.1	s
Maximum UEL PID output limit	V _{UELmax 3}	A/E	100	100	0.1	pu
Minimum UEL PID output limit	V _{UELmin 3}	A/E	-100	-100	0	pu
Maximum UEL lead-lag 1 output limit	V_{UELmax}	A/E	100	100	0.1	pu
Minimum UEL lead-lag 1 output limit	$V_{UELmin2}$	A/E	-100	-100	0	pu
Maximum UEL output limit	V _{UELmax1}	A/E	10	10	0.1	pu
Minimum UEL output limit	$V_{UELminI}$	A/E	-10	-8.7	0	pu
SCL reset-reference, if inactive ^{a, b}	Ireset	Α	100	100	100	pu
Overexcited activation delay time ^b	T _{enOEL}	Α	0.01	0.01	0.01	S
Underexcited activation delay time ^a	T _{enUEL}	Α	0	0	0	S
SCL reset delay time ^{a, b}	T_{off}	Α	5	5	5	S
SCL reset threshold value ^{a, b}	I _{THoff}	Е	0.05	0.05	0.05	pu
Overexcited reactive current time constant	T _{IOoel}	Е	0.01	0.01	0.01	S
Overexcited reactive current scaling factor	K _{IOoel}	Е	1	1	1	pu/pu
Overexcited active current time constant	T _{IPoel}	Е	0.01	0.01	0.01	S
Overexcited active current scaling factor	K _{IPoel}	Е	1	1	1	pu/pu
Underexcited reactive current time constant	T_{IQuel}	Е	0.01	0.01	0.01	S
Underexcited reactive current scaling factor	K _{IQuel}	Е	1	1	1	pu/pu
Underexcited active current time constant	T _{IPuel}	Е	0.01	0.01	0.01	S
Underexcited active current scaling factor	K _{IPuel}	Е	1	1	1	pu/pu
Stator current transducer time constant	T_{ITscl}	Е	0.01	0.01	0.01	S
SCL thermal reference for inverse time calculations	I _{TFpu}	A/E	1.1	1.1	1.1	pu
SCL instantaneous stator current limit	I _{inst}	A/E	5	5	5	pu
Underexcited region instantaneous stator current limit	I _{instUEL}	A/E	1.1	1.1	1.1	pu
SCL thermal stator current limit	I_{lim}	A/E	1.1	1.1	1.1	pu
SCL reference filter time constant	T_{Aoel}	Е	0.04	0.04	0.04	S
SCL exponent for calculation of I _{ERRinv1}	cl	A/E	0	0	0	pu
SCL gain for calculation of I _{ERRinv1}	K_{I}	A/E	0	0	0	pu/pu
SCL exponent for calculation of I _{ERRinv2} ^c	<i>c</i> 2	A/E	2	2	2	pu
SCL gain for calculation of I _{ERR inv2} ^c	K_2	A/E	0.0333	0.0333	0.0333	pu/pu

Table H.55—Sample data for Type SCL2C stator current limiter model

185

Copyright © 2016 IEEE. All rights reserved.

Description	Symbol	Туре	Set 1	Set 2	Set 3	Units
SCL maximum inverse time output ^c	V _{INVmax}	A/E	100	100	100	pu
SCL minimum inverse time output ^c	V _{INVmin}	A/E	0	0	0	pu
SCL fixed delay time output ^c	Fixed _{ru}	A/E	0	0	0	pu
SCL fixed cooling-down time output ^c	<i>Fixed</i> _{rd}	A/E	-0.001	-0.001	-0.001	pu
SCL timer reference ^d	T_{SCL}	Α	1	1	1	pu
SCL timer maximum level	$T_{\rm max}$	A/E	1	1	1	pu
SCL timer minimum level	T_{\min}	A/E	0	0	0	pu
SCL timer feedback gain	K _{FB}	A/E	0	0	0	pu
OEL reference ramp logic selection ^d	SW1	Е	0	0	0	
SCL reference ramp-down rate ^d	K_{rd}	Е	-1000	-1000	-1000	pu/s
SCL reference ramp-up rate ^d	K _{ru}	Е	1000	1000	1000	pu/s
SCL thermal reference release threshold ^d	K_{ZRU}	Е	0.99	0.99	0.99	pu
Terminal voltage transducer time constant	T _{VTscl}	Е	0.01	0.01	0.01	S
SCL _{OEL} minimum voltage reference value	V _{Tmin}	Α	0.9	0.9	0.9	pu
SCL _{OEL} voltage reset value ^{b, d}	V _{Treset}	Α	0.8	0.8	0.8	pu
SCL _{OEL} minimum reactive current reference value	I _{QminOEL}	Е	0.02	0.02	0.02	pu
SCL _{UEL} maximum reactive current reference value	I _{QmaxUEL}	Е	-0.02	-0.02	-0.02	pu
SCL reference scaling factor based on active current ^e	K _{Pref}	Е	0	0	0	pu

Table H.56—Sample data for Type SCL2C stator current limiter model (continued)

^a Paramters associated with the SCL UEL activation logic

^b Parameters associated with the SCL OEL activation logic

° Parameters associated with the SCL timer logic

^d Parameters associated with the SCL ramp rate logic

e Parameters associated with the SCL reference logic

H.43 Power factor controller Type 1

Sample data for the Type 1 power factor controller model (see Figure 60) is shown in Table H.55.

Description	Symbol	Туре	Value	Units
Voltage adjuster travel time ^a	T_{slew}	А	300	S
Voltage adjuster maximum output ^a	V _{REFmax}	А	1.1	pu
Voltage adjuster minimum output ^a	V _{REFmin}	А	0.9	pu
Voltage adjuster pulse generator time on ^a	Ton	А	0.1	S
Voltage adjuster pulse generator time off ^a	T_{off}	А	0.5	S
Voltage adjuster bypass of pulse generator ^a	V_{ADJF}	А	0	
Power factor controller normalized reference setpoint ^b	PF _{REFnorm}	А	0.95	pu
Power factor controller minimum terminal current limit ^b	V _{ITmin}	А	0.1	pu
Power factor controller minimum terminal voltage limit ^b	V _{VTmin}		0.95	pu
Power factor controller maximum terminal voltage limit ^b	V _{VTmax}		1.05	pu
Power factor controller deadband magnitude ^b	V _{PFC BW}	А	0.02	pu
Power factor controller delay time ^b	T_{PFC}	А	0.5	S

Table H.57—Voltage adjuster and power factor controller Type 1 sample data

^a These parameters are associated with the voltage adjuster model shown in Figure 59.

^b These parameters are associated with the power factor controller Type 1 model shown in Figure 60.

H.44 Power factor controller Type 2

Sample data for the Type 2 power factor controller model (see Figure 62) is shown in Table H.56.

Description	Symbol	Туре	Value	Units
Power factor controller normalized reference setpoint	$PF_{REFnorm}$	А	0.95	pu
Power factor controller minimum terminal current limit	V _{ITmin}	А	0.1	pu
Power factor controller minimum terminal voltage limit	V _{VTmin}		0.95	pu
Power factor controller maximum terminal voltage limit	V _{VTmax}		1.05	pu
Power factor controller proportional gain	K_{Ppf}	А	1	pu
Power factor controller integral gain	K _{Ipf}	А	1	pu/s
Power factor controller output limit	V _{PFLMT}	А	0.1	pu

Table H.58—Pov	ver factor contro	ller Type 2 san	ple data

H.45 Var controller Type 1

Sample data for the Type 1 var controller (see Figure 61) is shown in Table H.57.

Description	Symbol	Туре	Value	Units
Voltage adjuster travel time ^a	T_{slew}	А	300	S
Voltage adjuster maximum output ^a	V_{REFmax}	А	1.1	pu
Voltage adjuster minimum output ^a	V _{REFmin}	А	0.9	pu
Voltage adjuster pulse generator time on ^a	Ton	А	0.1	s
Voltage adjuster pulse generator time off ^a	T _{off}	А	0.5	S
Voltage adjuster bypass of pulse generator ^a	V_{ADJF}	А	0	
Var controller reference setpoint ^b	Q_{REF}	А	0.1	pu
Var controller minimum terminal current limit ^b	V _{ITmin}	А	0.1	pu
Var controller minimum terminal voltage limit ^b	V _{VTmin}		0.95	pu
Var controller maximum terminal voltage limit ^b	V _{VTmax}		1.05	pu
Var controller deadband magnitude ^b	V _{VARC BW}	А	0.02	pu
Var controller delay time ^b	T_{VARC}	А	0.5	s

Table H.59—Voltage adjuster and var controller Type 1 sample data

^a These parameters are associated with the voltage adjuster model shown in Figure 59.

^b These parameters are associated with the var controller Type 1 model shown in Figure 61.

H.46 Var controller Type 2

Sample data for the Type 2 var controller (see Figure 63) is shown in Table H.58.

Description	Symbol	Туре	Value	Units
Var controller reference setpoint	Q_{REF}	А	0.1	pu
Var controller minimum terminal current limit	V _{ITmin}	А	0.1	pu
Var controller minimum terminal voltage limit	V _{VTmin}		0.95	pu
Var controller maximum terminal voltage limit	V _{VTmax}		1.05	pu
Var controller proportional gain	K _{Pvar}	А	1	pu
Var controller integral gain	K _{Ivar}	А	1	pu/s
Var controller output limit	V _{VARLMT}	А	0.1	pu

Table H.60—Var controller Type 2 sample data

\$187\$ Copyright © 2016 IEEE. All rights reserved.

Annex I

(informative)

Manufacturer model cross-reference

The following information is given for the convenience of users of this standard and does not constitute an endorsement by the IEEE of these products. At the time IEEE Std 421.5 was approved, the following examples of commercial excitation systems represented by these standard models were supplied by manufacturers. The models listed below may be appropriate for equivalent excitation systems supplied by other manufacturers. It should be noted that although stabilizer and limiter models are listed in the tables below for various vendor excitation systems, not every system installed includes all of these functions and thus the stabilizer or limiter models may not be required or appropriate for inclusion in simulation studies.

Table I.1—Type DC models

Model type	Examples
DC1C	Regulex is a trademark of Allis Chalmers Corp. Amplidyne and GDA are trademarks of General
	Electric Co. Westinghouse Mag-A-Stat, Rototrol, Silverstat, and TRA. AB and KC are trademarks
	of Asea Brown Boveri Inc. The type KC may be modelled with some approximations.
DC2C	Westinghouse PRX-400, MGR. General Electric SVR. Eaton Cutler Hammer/Westinghouse type
	WDR retrofit, Basler SR.
DC3A	GFA 4 is a trademark of General Electric Co. Westinghouse BJ30. Broy VR1.
DC4C	Basler DECS or Basler/Eaton/Cutler Hammer ECS2100 applied to a dc commutator exciter.

Table I.2—Type AC models

Model type	Examples
AC1C	Westinghouse Brushless Excitation System; Cutler Hammer Westinghouse WDR brushless exciter
	retrofit, Emerson DGC retrofit, Brush MAVR, STAVR, and PEAVR.
AC2C	Westinghouse High Initial Response Brushless excitation system, GE EX2000/EX2100/EX2100e
	(for brushless units).
AC3C	ALTERREX (a trademark of General Electric Co.)
AC4C	ALTHYREX (a trademark of General Electric Co.); General Electric Rotating Thyristor Excitation
	system.
AC5C	This model can be used to represent small excitation systems such as those produced by Basler and
	Electric Machinery and ALSTOM ControGen SX.
AC6C	Stationary diode systems such as those produced by C.A. Parsons and ABB Unitrol (for rotating
	exciters).
AC7C	Basler DECS or Basler/Eaton/Cutler Hammer ECS2100 applied to ac/dc rotating exciters (DECS is
	a trademark of Basler Electric Co.); Brush PRISMIC A50-B, A32, A3100 (Brush and PRISMIC are
	trademarks of Brush Electrical Machines Ltd.); GE EX2000/2100/2100e. Voltage regulator
	replacements for GE Alterrex (Type AC3A model) or dc exciters. Emerson/Emerson Ovation DGC,
	REIVAX excitation systems applied to rotating exciters. Siemens RG3 and THYRISIEM brushless
	excitation (RG3 and THYRISIEM are registered trademarks of Siemens AG).
AC8C	Basler DECS, Brush PRISMIC digital excitation systems, Emerson/Emerson Ovation DGC,
	THYRISIEM (trademark of Siemens AG), ALSTOM ControGen SX.
AC9C	Andritz Hydro THYNE excitation system applied to rotating main exciters.
AC10C	UNITROL F, 5000, 6080 (trademarks of Asea Brown Boveri) applied to rotating exciters, REIVAX
	excitation systems applied to rotating exciters.
AC11C	UNITROL 1000 (trademark of Asea Brown Boveri) applied to rotating exciter, REIVAX excitation
	systems applied to rotating exciters.

STIC	Silcomatic (a trademark of Canadian General Electric Co.). Westinghouse Canada Solid State Thyristor Excitation System; Westinghouse Type PS Static Excitation System with Type WTA, WTA-300 and WHS voltage regulators. Static excitation systems by ALSTOM, ASEA, Brown Boveri, GEC-Eliott, Hitachi, Mitsubishi, Rayrolle-Parsons, and Toshiba. General Electric Potential Source Static Excitation System. Basler Model SSE/SSE-N. UNITROL (a registered trademark of Asea Brown Boveri, Inc.); THYRIPOL (a registered trademark of Siemens AG.); Westinghouse WDR and MGR, REIVAX static excitation systems.
ST2C	General Electric static excitation systems, frequently referred to as the SCT-PPT or SCPT.
ST3C	General Electric Compound Power Source and Potential Power Source GENERREX excitation systems (GENERREX is a trademark of General Electric Co.)
ST4C	Basler DECS applied to static excitation, Brush PRISMIC applied to static excitation, General Electric EX2000/2100/2100e bus fed potential source and static compound source and GENERREX-PPS or GENERREX-CPS; Canadian General Electric SILCOmatic 5, Basler/Eaton Cutler-Hammer ECS2100 static excitation system, Andritz Hydro THYNE applied to static excitation, Emerson/Emerson Ovation DGC or REIVAX static excitation systems.
ST5C	UNITROL D, P, F, and 5000 (trademarks of Asea Brown Boveri); Brush DCP.
ST6C	THYRIPOL (a trademark of Siemens AG) and Basler/Eaton Cutler-Hammer ECS2100 static excitation systems.
ST7C	ALSTOM excitation systems Eurorec, Microrec K4.1, ALSPA P320 (ALSPA P320 is a trademark of ALSTOM), ControGen HX.
ST8C	Andritz Hydro THYNE applied to static excitation.
ST9C	GE Power Conversion SEMIPOL.
ST10C	UNITROL F, 5000, 6080, 6800 (trademarks of Asea Brown Boveri) applied to static excitation.

Table I.3—Type ST models

Table I.4—Type PSS models

PSS1A	Single input stabilizers from a variety of vendors.
PSS2C	The PSS2C model is a standard option available in Basler/Eaton Cutler-Hammer, GE, Canadian
	General Electric SILCOmatic 5, ABB UNITROL P, F, and 5000, Basler DECS, ALSTOM ALSPA
	P320, ControGen HX, and ControGenSX excitation systems, Andritz Hydro THYNE excitation
	system, Emerson/Emerson Ovation DGC, Siemens THYRIPOL, Siemens RG3, Siemens
	THYRISIEM, Brush PRISMIC and REIVAX excitation systems. It is also the standard option to
	represent the stand-alone stabilizers REIVAX PWX, Basler PSS-100 and Brush PRISMIC T20.
PSS3C	The PSS3B model is sometimes used with the Siemens THYRIPOL, Siemens RG3, Siemens
	THYRISIEM, and ABB UNITROL-M and UNITROL-D excitation systems.
PSS4C	Multi-band power system stabilizer ABB type MB-PSS, ALSTOM ControGen HX, Andritz Hydro
	THYNE excitation system, REIVAX excitation systems.
PSS5C	Same as PSS4C.
PSS6C	Siemens RG3, THYRIPOL, THYRISIEM.
PSS7C	Siemens THYRIPOL.

Table I.5—Type OEL models

OEL1B	ALSTOM ControGen SX, General Electric ALTERREX, GENERREX, Amplydine, Analog bus- fed, SCT/PPT, SCPT.
OEL2C	ABB Unitrol, ALSTOM ControGen HX, Basler DECS 100/150/200/250/400/2100, Brush
	A3100/A50/A32/A12, Basler/Eaton Cutler-Hammer ECS2100, Emerson/Emerson Ovation DGC,
	REIVAX excitation systems, Westinghouse WTA, WTA-300, MGR, WDR.
OEL3C	Andritz THYNE. Siemens RG3, THYRIPOL, and THYRISIEM.
OEL4C	Basler DECS 250, DECS 400, ECS2100.
OEL5C	GE EX2000, EX2100, EX2100e.

IEEE Std 421.5-2016 IEEE Recommended Practice for Excitation System Models for Power System Stability Studies

Table I.6—Type UEL models

UEL1	GE ALTERREX, GENERREX, Amplydine, Analog bus-fed, SCT/PPT, SCPT, SVR, Westinghouse WTA, WTA-300, MGR, Emerson/Emerson Ovation DGC.
UEL2C	ABB Unitrol, ALSTOM ControGen HX and SX, Andritz THYNE, Basler DECS 100/150/200/250/400/2100, Brush A3100/A50/A32/A12, Emerson/Emerson Ovation DGC, GE EX2000, EX2100, EX2100e, Basler/Eaton Cutler-Hammer ECS2100, REIVAX excitation systems, Siemens RG3, THYRIPOL, THYRISIEM, Westinghouse WDR.

Table I.7—Type SCL models

SCL1C	ALSTOM ControGen HX and SX, Andritz THYNE, Basler DECS 150/200/250/400/2100, Emerson
	Ovation DGC, Siemens RG3, THYRIPOL, THYRISIEM.
SCL2C	ABB Unitrol, Brush A3100/A50/A32/A12, REIVAX excitation systems.

Table I.8—Type PF models

Type 1	ABB Unitrol, Andritz THYNE, Basler DECS-2100, GE EX2000, EX2100, EX2100e, ALTERREX, Amplydine, analog bus-fed, SCT-PPT, SCPT, GENERREX, SVR, Siemens RG3, THYRIPOL, THYRISIEM.
Type 2	ALSTOM ControGen HX, Andritz THYNE, Basler DECS 100/150/200/250/400, Brush A3100/A50/A32/A12, REIVAX excitation systems.

Table I.9—Type VAR models

Type 1	ABB Unitrol, Andritz THYNE, Basler DECS-2100, GE EX2000, EX2100, EX2100e, ALTERREX, Amplydine, analog bus-fed, SCT-PPT, SCPT, GENERREX, SVR, Siemens RG3, THYRIPOL, THYRISIEM.
Type 2	ALSTOM ControGen HX, Andritz THYNE, Basler DECS 100/150/200/250/400, Brush A3100/A50/A32/A12, REIVAX excitation systems.

Annex J

(informative)

Bibliography

Bibliographical references are resources that provide additional or helpful material but do not need to be understood or used to implement this standard. Reference to these resources is made for informational use only.

[B1] Anderson, P., and A. Fouad, *Power System Control and Stability*, Piscataway, NJ: Wiley-IEEE Press, 2003.⁶

[B2] Anderson H. C., H. O. Simmons, and C. A. Woodrow, "Systems stability limitations and generator loading," *AIEE Transactions on Power Apparatus and Systems*, vol. PAS-72, no. 2, pp. 406–423, June 1953.

[B3] ANSI C34.2-1968 (Withdrawn), American National Standard Practices and Requirements for Semiconductor Power Rectifiers.⁷

[B4] Bayne, J. P., P. Kundur, and W. Watson, "Static exciter control to improve transient stability," *IEEE Transactions on Power Apparatus and Systems*, vol. PAS-94, pp. 1141–1146, July 1975.

[B5] Berdy J., "Loss of excitation limits of synchronous machines," *IEEE Transaction on Power Apparatus and Systems*, vol. PAS-94, no. 5, pp. 1457–1463, Sept./Oct. 1975.

[B6] Berube, G. R., L. M. Hajagos, and R. E. Beaulieu, "A utility perspective on under-excitation limiters," *IEEE Transactions on Energy Conversion*, vol. 10, no. 3, pp. 532–537, Sept. 1995.

[B7] Byerly, R. T., and E. W. Kimbark (Eds.), *Stability of Large Electric Power Systems*, New York, NY: IEEE Press, 1974.

[B8] Cawson, W. F., and H. E. Brown, "Digital computation of synchronous generator pullout characteristics," *AIEE Transactions on Power Apparatus and Systems*, vol. 77, no. 3, pp. 1315–1318, Feb. 1959.

[B9] Carleton J. T., P. O. Bobo, and D. A. Burt, "Minimum excitation limit for magnetic amplifier regulating system," *Transactions of the American Istitute of Electrical Engineers. Part III: Power Apparatus and Systems*, vol. PAS-73, pp. 869–874, Aug. 1954.

[B10] EPRI TR-111490, Integration of Distributed Resources in Electric Utility Distribution Systems: Distribution System Behavior Analysis for Suburban Feeder, Palo Alto, CA and Toronto, ON, Canada: EPRI and Ontrario Hydro Technologies, 1998.

[B11] Estcourt, V. F., C. H. Holley, W. R. Johnson, and P. H. Light, "Underexcited operation of large turbine generators on Pacific Gas and Electric Company's system," *AIEE Transactions on Power Apparatus and Systems*, vol. PAS-72, no. 2, pp. 16–22, Feb. 1953.

[B12] Excitation Systems Subcommittee, Energy Development and Power Generation Committee, "IEEE Tutorial Course on Power System Stabilization via Excitation Control," IEEE/PES Technical Publication 09TP250, 2009, available: <u>http://resourcecenter.ieee-pes.org/pes/product/tutorials/PES09TP250</u>.

[B13] Ferguson, R. W., H. Herbst, and R. W. Miller, "Analytical studies of the brushless excitation system," *AIEE Transactions on Power Apparatus and Systems (Part IIIB)*, vol. 79, pp. 1815–1821, Feb. 1960.

⁶ IEEE publications are available from The Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Inc., 445 Hoes Lane, Piscataway, NJ 08854, USA (<u>http://standards.ieee.org</u>/).

⁷ ANSI C34.2-1968 has been withdrawn; however, copies can be obtained from the American National Standards Institute (http://www.ansi.org/).

[B14] Gayek, H. W., "Transfer characteristics of brushless aircraft generator systems," *IEEE Transactions on Aerospace*, vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 913–928, Apr. 1964.

[B15] Girgis, G. K., and H. D. Vu, "Verification of limiter performance in modern excitation control systems," *IEEE Transactions on Energy Conversion*, vol. 10, no. 3, pp. 538–542, Sept. 1995.

[B16] Glaninger-Katschnig, A., F. Nowak, M. Bachle, and J. Taborda, "New digital excitation system models in addition to IEEE.421.5 2005," *Power and Energy Society General Meeting*, Minneapolis, MN, pp. 1, 6, 25–29, July 2010.

[B17] Heffron, W. G., and R. A. Phillips, "Effects of a modern amplidyne voltage regulator on underexcited operation of large turbine generators," *AIEE Transactions on Power Apparatus and Systems*, vol. PAS-71, no. 1, pp. 692–697, Aug. 1952.

[B18] Hurley, J. D., L. N. Bize, C. R. Mummert, "The adverse effects of excitation system var and power factor controllers," *IEEE Transactions on Energy Conversion*, vol. 14, no. 4, pp. 1636–1645, Dec. 1999.

[B19] IEC/TR 60034-16-2, Rotating Electrical Machines—Part 16: Excitation Systems for Synchronous Machines—Chapter 2: Models for Power System Studies, Feb. 1991.⁸

[B20] IEEE/CIGRÉ Joint Task Force on Stability Terms and Definitions, "Definition and classification of power system stability," *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems*, vol. 19, no. 3, pp. 1387–1401, Aug. 2004.

[B21] IEEE Committee Report, "Computer representation of excitation systems," *IEEE Transactions on Power Apparatus and Systems*, vol. PAS-87, no. 6, pp. 1460–1464, June 1968.⁹

[B22] IEEE Committee Report, "Excitation system dynamic characteristics," *IEEE Transactions on Power Apparatus and Systems*, vol. PAS-92, no. 1, pp. 64–75, Jan./Feb. 1973.

[B23] IEEE Committee Report, "Excitation system models for power systems stability studies," *IEEE Transactions on Power Apparatus and Systems*, vol. PAS-100, no. 2, pp. 494–509, Feb. 1981.

[B24] IEEE Std 1110[™], IEEE Guide for Synchronous Generator Modeling Practices and Applications in Power System Stability Analyses.

[B25] IEEE Std C37.102[™], IEEE Guide for AC Generator Protection.

[B26] IEEE Std C37.112[™], IEEE Standard Inverse-Time Characteristic Equations for Overcurrent Relays.

[B27] IEEE Std C50.13[™], IEEE Standard for Cylindrical-Rotor 50 Hz and 60 Hz Synchronous Generators Rated 10 MVA and Above.

[B28] IEEE Task Force on Excitation Limiters, "Recommended models for overexcitation limiting devices," *IEEE Transactions on Energy Conversion*, vol. 10, no. 4, pp. 706–713, Dec. 1995.

[B29] IEEE Task Force on Excitation Limiters, "Underexcitation limiter models for power system stability studies," *IEEE Transactions on Energy Conversion*, vol. 10, no. 3, pp. 524–531, Sept. 1995.

[B30] Independent Electricity System Operator (IESO), Chapter 4, Appendix 4.2, "Grid connection requirements," *Market Rules for the Ontario Electricity Market*, issue 12.0, baseline 34.0, Sept. 9, 2015, available: http://www.ieso.ca.

[B31] Koessler, R. J., "Techniques for tuning excitation system parameters," *IEEE Transactions on Energy Conversion*, vol. 3, no. 4, pp. 785, 791, Dec. 1988.

[B32] Krause, P. C., O. Wasynczuk, and S. D. Sudhoff, *Analysis of Electric Machinery*, Piscataway, NJ: IEEE Press, 1995.

[B33] Kundur, P., Power System Stability and Control, New York, NY: McGraw-Hill, 1994.

⁸ IEC publications are available from the International Electrotechnical Commission (http://www.iec.ch/). IEC publications are also available in the United States from the American National Standards Institute (http://www.ansi.org/).

⁹ The IEEE standards or products referred to in this clause are trademarks of The Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Inc.

[B34] Kundur, P., and P. L. Dandeno, "Implementation of advanced generator models into power system stability programs," *IEEE Transactions on Power Apparatus and Systems*, vol. PAS-102, no. 7, pp. 2047–2054, July 1983.

[B35] Kutzner, R., M. Lösing, U. Seeger, and A. Wenzel, "Application of stator current limiter: impact during system voltage decrease," *IEEE/PES 2013 General Meeting*, Vancouver, BC, Canada, July 2013.

[B36] Landgren, G. L., "Extended use of generator reactive capability by a dual underexcitation limiter," *IEEE Transactions on Power Apparatus and Systems*, vol. PAS-99, no. 4, pp. 1381–1385, July/Aug. 1980.

[B37] Larsen, E. V., and D. A. Swann, "Applying power system stabilizers—Part I: General concepts," *IEEE Transactions on Power Apparatus and Systems*, vol. PAS-100, no. 6, pp. 3017, 3024, June 1981.

[B38] Lee, D. C., and P. Kundur, Advanced Excitation Controls for Power System Stability Enhancement, CIGRE Paper: 38-01, Paris, France, 1986.

[B39] de Mello, F. P., and C. Concordia, "Concepts of synchronous machine stability as affected by excitation control," *IEEE Transactions on Power Apparatus and Systems*, vol. PAS-88, no. 4, pp. 316, 329, Apr. 1969.

[B40] de Mello, F. P., L. M. Leuzinger, and R. J. Mills, "Load rejection overvoltages as affected by excitation system control," *IEEE Transactions on Power Apparatus and Systems*, vol. PAS-94, no. 2, pp. 280–287, Mar./Apr. 1975.

[B41] Morison, G. K., B. Gao, and P. Kundur, "Voltage stability analysis using static and dynamic approaches," *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems*, vol. 8, no. 3, pp. 1159–1171, Aug. 1993.

[B42] Murdoch, A., R. W. Delmerico, S. Venkataraman, R. A. Lawson, J. E. Curran, and W. R. Pearson, "Excitation system protective limiters and their effect on volt/var control—Design, computer modeling, and field testing," *IEEE Transactions on Energy Conversion*, vol. 15, no. 4, pp. 440–450, Dec. 2000.

[B43] Murdoch, A., G. E. Boukarim, B. E. Gott, M. J. D'Antonio, and R. A. Lawson, "Generator overexcitation capability and excitation system limiters," *Proceedings of IEEE/PES 2001 Winter Meeting*, Columbus, OH, pp. 215–220, Jan. 28–Feb. 1, 2001.

[B44] Nagy, I., "Analysis of minimum excitation limits of synchronous machines," *IEEE Transactions on Power Apparatus and Systems*, vol. PAS-89, no. 6, pp. 1001–1008, July/Aug. 1970.

[B45] North American Electric Reliability Corporation, "NERC Standard VAR-002-3—Generator operation for maintaining network voltage schedules," May 2014.

[B46] Ribeiro, J. R., "Minimum excitation limiter effects on generator response to system disturbances," *IEEE Transactions on Energy Conversion*, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 29–38, Mar. 1991.

[B47] Rubenstein, A. S., and M. Temoshok, "Underexcited reactive ampere limit of modern amplidyne voltage regulator," *AIEE Transaction on Power Apparatus and Systems*, vol. PAS-73, pp. 1433–1438, Dec. 1954.

[B48] Rubenstein, A. S., and W. W. Wakley, "Control of reactive kVA with modern amplidyne voltage regulators," *AIEE Transactions on Power Apparatus and Systems (Part III)*, 1957, pp. 961–970.

[B49] Shimomura, M., Y. Xia, M. Wakabayashi, and J. Paserba, "A new advanced over excitation limiter for enhancing the voltage stability of power systems," *Proceedings of IEEE/PES 2001 Winter Meeting*, Columbus, OH, pp. 221–227, Jan. 28–Feb. 1, 2001.

[B50] Taylor, C. W., Power System Voltage Stability, New York, NY: McGraw-Hill, 1994.

[B51] Taylor, C. W., "Transient excitation boosting on static exciters in an AC/DC power system," Invited Paper-08, *Symposium of Specialists in Electric Operational Planning*, Rio do Janeiro, Brazil, Aug. 1987.

[B52] Van Cutsem, T., and C. Vournas, *Voltage Stability of Electric Power Systems*, Dordrecht, The Netherlands: Springer, 1998.

[B53] Witzke, R. L., J. V. Kresser, and J. K. Dillard, "Influence of AC reactance on voltage regulation of six-phase rectifiers," *AIEE Transactions*, vol. 72, no. 3, pp. 244–253, July 1953.



Consensus WE BUILD IT.

Connect with us on:

- **Facebook:** https://www.facebook.com/ieeesa
- **Twitter:** @ieeesa
- n LinkedIn: http://www.linkedin.com/groups/IEEESA-Official-IEEE-Standards-Association-1791118
- IEEE-SA Standards Insight blog: http://standardsinsight.com
- YouTube: IEEE-SA Channel

IEEE standards.ieee.org Phone: +1 732 981 0060 Fax: +1 732 562 1571 © IEEE