EDC3

1.0 Introduction

In the last set of notes (EDC2), we saw how to use penalty factors in solving the EDC problem with losses. In this set of notes, we want to address two closely related issues.

- What are, exactly, penalty factors?
- How to obtain the penalty factors in practice?

2.0 What are penalty factors?

Recall the definition:

$$L_{i} = \frac{1}{\left[1 - \frac{\partial P_{L}(P_{G2}, \dots, P_{Gm})}{\partial P_{Gi}}\right]}$$
(1)

In order to gain intuitive insight into what is a penalty factor, let's replace the numerator and denominator of the partial derivative in (1) with the approximation of $\Delta P_L / \Delta P_{Gi}$, so:

$$L_{i} = \frac{1}{\left[1 - \frac{\Delta P_{L}}{\Delta P_{Gi}}\right]}$$
(2)

Multiplying top and bottom by ΔP_{Gi} , we get: ΔP_{Gi}

$$L_i = \frac{\Delta F_{Gi}}{\left[\Delta P_{Gi} - \Delta P_L\right]} \tag{3}$$

What is ΔP_{Gi} ? It is a small change in generation. But that cannot be all, because if you make a change in generation, then there must be a change in injection at, at least, one other bus. Let's assume that a compensating change is distributed throughout all other load buses according to a fixed percentage for each bus. By doing so, we are embracing the so-called "*conforming load*" assumption, which indicates that all loads change proportionally.

Therefore $\Delta P_{Gi} = \Delta P_D$. But this will also cause a change in losses of ΔP_L , which will be offset by a compensating change in swing bus generation ΔP_{G1} . So,

$$\Delta P_{Gi} + \Delta P_{G1} = \Delta P_D + \Delta P_L \tag{4}$$

where we see generation changes are on the left and load & loss changes are on the right. Solving for ΔP_{Gi} - ΔP_L (because it is in the denominator of (3)), we get

$$\Delta P_{Gi} - \Delta P_L = \Delta P_D - \Delta P_{G1} \tag{5}$$

Substituting (5) into (3), we obtain:

$$L_i = \frac{\Delta P_{Gi}}{\Delta P_D - \Delta P_{G1}} \tag{6a}$$

Recognize that ΔP_{G1} in (6a) reflects the losses, we have

$$L_i = \frac{\Delta P_{Gi}}{\Delta P_D - \Delta P_L} \tag{6b}$$

So from (6b), we extract the following interpretation of the penalty factor: It is the amount of generation at unit i necessary to supply ΔP_D , as a percentage of $\Delta P_D - \Delta P_L$. This depends on how the load is changed (which is why we use the *conforming load* assumption). If the change increases losses $(\Delta P_L > 0)$, then $L_i > 1$. If the change decreases losses $(\Delta P_L < 0)$, then $L_i < 1$.

An example will illustrate the significance of (6a) & (6b). Consider Fig. 1. Observe that the flows given on the circuits are into bus 2 (the flows along the line out of buses 1 and 3, respectively, are higher).

Basecase



Increase load by 1 MW at each bus, compensated by gen increase at bus 2



Increase load by 1 MW at each bus, compensated by gen increase at bus 3



One observes that $L_2 < 1$. This is because a load change compensated by a gen change at bus 2 decreases the losses as indicated by the fact that the bus 1 generation decreased by 0.2 MW.

On the other hand, $L_3>1$. This is because a load change compensated by a gen change at bus 3 increases the losses as indicated by the fact that the bus 1 generation increases by 0.2. MW.

Why does the bus 2 generation reduce losses whereas the bus 3 generation increases losses?

<u>Answer</u>: Because increasing bus 2 tends to reduce line flows, whereas increasing bus 3 tends to increase line flows.

So we see that in general, generators on the receiving end of flows will tend to have lower penalty factors (below 1.0); generators on the sending end of flows will tend to have higher penalty factors (above 1.0). Because transmission systems are in fact relatively efficient, with reasonably small losses in the circuits, the amount of generation necessary to supply a load change tends to be very close to that load change. Therefore penalty factors tend to be relatively close to 1.0.

A list of typical penalty factors for the power system in Northern California is illustrated in Fig. 2. Generators marked to the right are units in the San Francisco Bay Area, which is a relatively high import area for the Northern California system. Most of the penalty factors for these units are below 1.0. Units having penalty factors>1.1 are mainly units close to the Oregon border (a long way from the SF load center), such that they tend to add to the north-to-south flow that results from the northwest hydro being sold into the California load centers.

| | HALTY | FACTORS | FOR | BASE-CASE | GENERATION | AND | LOAD | LEVEL | |
|--|-------|---------|-----|-----------|------------|-----|------|-------|--|
|--|-------|---------|-----|-----------|------------|-----|------|-------|--|

| IS BUS | 1985 SPRING PENALTY FACTORS RANCHO S Generator Axis hame | ECO DOWN Axis MM | PENALTY FACTOR |
|---|--|---|--|
| $\begin{array}{c} 1234567890112345678901223456789012334567890122345678901233456789012345678901222222222222222222222222222222222222$ | MALLM SUG. INPUT FROM NUMERIMEST. MIDIAY 500. INPUT FROM S. C. E. SIERRA PACIFIC INTERTIE FROM SIERRA SHASTA 230. SHT, KSW. CARR. SP CK. TRM HUMBOLDT 115. HUM. P. P. 1-3. ROUND MT. 230. PIT 3-7, BLACK COTTOMHD 230. CARIBOU UNIT 485, BELDEM MID/TID - INTERCHANGE FR PARKER & HALNUT POE 230. POE, CRESTA, BUCKSAROK CRK, BELDEM RANCHO SECO 230. TABLE MT 230. INPUT FR STATE 0/T AT TM PALERMO 115. FORBSTOHN, HOODLEAF BRUM 115. BRUM, BTCH FLT 182, CHICAGO PK GOLD HILL 230. MID FK, FR MEADHS, RALSTON CARIBOU 230. FOLSOM 1-3, NIMBUS COLGATE 230. COLOATE, NARROHS 182 TRACY P. 230. INPUT FR TRACY PUMP & CCID HOK. E4 230. COLOATE, NARROHS 182 TRACY P. 230. INPUT FR TRACY PUMP & CCID HOK. E4 230. CLECTRA, S1T. SPO. AND TO MELONES 115. DONNELLS, BEARDSLEY, TULLOCH COM. CSTA 230. CCPP 1-7 PITTSBRO 230. PTSB PP 1 & 2 MATINEZ 115. NIMERCHANGE FROM CITY S.F. STANISLAS 115. STANISLAUS 0 MELONES 115. DONNALLS, BEARDSLEY, TULLOCH COM. CSTA 230. CCPP 1-7 PITTSBRO 230. PTSB PP 1 & 2 MATINEZ 115. MONRELS, BEARDSLEY, TULLOCH COM. CSTA 230. MOSS LANDING PP 1-4 PUTRERO 115. POTRERO PP 1-6 MOSS LDO. 500. MOSS LANDING PP 1-4 PUTRERO 115. POTRERO PP 1-6 MOSS LDO. 230. MOSS LANDING PP 1-4 PIEDRA SM. 115. KINOS RIVER KERCKHOFF 115. KERCKHOFF OEM SLAC 230. INTERCHANGE FROM AMES SLAC 230. INTERCHANGE FROM SLAC MARG BAY 230. MORS BAY PP 1-4 PIEDRA SM. 115. KINOS RIVER KERCKHOFF 115. KERCKHOFF OEM EXCHEQUER 115. EXCHEQUER GEN BALCH EQ. 230. BALCH 2, HAAS & PINE FLT HELONES 230. INTERCHANGE FR DS ANIGOS P, S AMIOS 230. INTERCHANGE FR DS ANIGS P, S AMIOS 230. INT | -1199.9997 -1199.9997 0.8000 739.9998 30.0000 629.9999 60.0000 120.0000 -36.4000 474.9999 0.1000 397.9999 80.0000 148.0000 128.0000 128.0000 23.0000 72.7000 55.0000 10.0000 10.0000 10.0000 10.0000 10.0000 10.0000 10.0000 439.9999 0.1000 -55.0000 439.9999 0.1000 -55.0000 439.9999 0.1000 324.9999 0.1000 -55.0000 439.9999 0.1000 -57.0000 | 1.442489 0.995580 1.164796 1.117241 0.916989 1.125850 1.104298 1.141188 1.000940 1.185117 1.021453 1.094720 1.131894 1.163768 1.069882 1.152115 1.043291 1.1163266 1.003675 1.045539 1.038416 0.977999 1.088110 1.075023 1.006337 0.984111 0.975023 1.006337 0.984111 0.975023 1.006337 0.984786 0.960786 1.007738 1.004706 0.949433 0.947466 1.015732 1.004706 0.969340 0.977958 1.015732 1.004544 1.119342 1.045456 0.986544 1.119342 1.045456 0.986541 1.01290 1.006157 1.089819 1.003612 |

But why do we actually call them penalty factors? Consider the criterion for optimality in the EDC with losses:

$$\lambda = L_i \frac{\partial C_i(P_{Gi})}{\partial P_{Gi}} \quad \forall i = 1, \dots m$$
(7)

This says that all units (or all regulating units) must be at a generation level such that the product of their incremental cost and their penalty factor must be equal to the system incremental cost λ .

Let's do an experiment to see what this means. Consider that we have three identical units such that their incremental cost-rate curves are identical, given by $IC(P_G)=45+0.02P_G$.

Now consider the three units are so located such that unit 1 has penalty factor of 0.98, unit 2 has penalty factor of 1.0, and unit 3 has penalty factor of 1.02, and the demand is 300 MW.

Without accounting for losses, this problem would be very simple in that each unit would carry 100 MW.

But with losses, the problem is as follows:

$$\begin{split} \lambda = 0.98(45 + 0.02 P_{G1}) = 44.1 + 0.196 P_{G1} \\ \lambda = 1.0(45 + 0.02 P_{G2}) = 45 + 0.02 P_{G2} \\ \lambda = 1.02(45 + 0.02 P_{G3}) = 45.9 + 0.0204 P_{G3} \end{split}$$

Putting these three equations into matrix form results in:

| 0.0196 | 0 | 0 | -1] | P_{G1} | | - 44.1 |
|--------|------|--------|-----|----------|---|--------|
| 0 | 0.02 | 0 | -1 | P_{G2} | | -45 |
| 0 | 0 | 0.0204 | -1 | P_{G3} | — | -45.9 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | [λ_ | | 300 |

Solving in Matlab yields:

$$\begin{bmatrix} P_{G1} \\ P_{G2} \\ P_{G3} \\ \lambda \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 147.32 \\ 99.37 \\ 53.31 \\ 46.9875 \end{bmatrix}$$

One notes that the unit with the lower penalty (unit 1) was "turned up" and the unit with the higher penalty (unit 3) was "turned down." The reason for this is that unit 1 has a better effect on losses.

3.0 Penalty factor calculation

There are several methods for penalty factor calculation. We will review several of them in this section.

This method is described in [1]. Consider a power system with total of n buses of which bus 1 is the swing bus, buses 1...m are the PV buses, and buses m+1...n are the PQ buses.

Consider that losses must be equal to the difference between the total system generation and the total system demand:

$$P_L = P_G - P_D \tag{8}$$

Recall the definition for bus injections, which is

$$P_i = P_{Gi} - P_{Di} \tag{9}$$

Now sum the injections over all buses to get:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} P_{i} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (P_{Gi} - P_{Di})$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} P_{Gi} - \sum_{i=1}^{n} P_{Di} = P_{G} - P_{D} \quad (10)$$

Therefore,

$$P_L = \sum_{i=1}^n P_i \tag{11}$$

Now differentiate with respect to a particular bus angle θ_k (where k is any bus number except 1) to obtain:

$$\frac{\partial P_L}{\partial \theta_k} = \frac{\partial P_1}{\partial \theta_k} + \frac{\partial P_2}{\partial \theta_k} \dots + \frac{\partial P_m}{\partial \theta_k} + \frac{\partial P_{m+1}}{\partial \theta_k} + \dots + \frac{\partial P_n}{\partial \theta_k}, k = 2, \dots, n (12)$$

Assumption to the above: All voltages are fixed at 1.0; this relieves us from accounting for variation in power with angle through the voltage magnitude term. Otherwise, each term in (12) would appear as

$$\frac{\partial P_i}{\partial \theta_k} + \frac{\partial P_i}{\partial V_k} \frac{\partial V_k}{\partial \theta_k}$$

Now let's assume that we have an expression for losses P_L as a function of generation $P_{G2}, P_{G3}, \ldots, P_{Gm}$, i.e.,

$$P_L = P_L(P_{G2}, P_{G3}, \dots, P_{Gm}) \tag{13}$$

Then we can use the chain rule of differentiation to express that

$$\frac{\partial P_L}{\partial \theta_k} = \frac{\partial P_L(\underline{P}_G)}{\partial P_{G2}} \frac{\partial P_2}{\partial \theta_k} + \dots + \frac{\partial P_L(\underline{P}_G)}{\partial P_{Gm}} \frac{\partial P_m}{\partial \theta_k}, k = 2, \dots, n (14)$$

In (14), we assume that at generator buses, loads are constant, and $\partial P_{Gi}/\partial \theta_i = \partial P_i/\partial \theta_i$. Subtracting (14) from (12), we obtain, for

k=2,...,*n*:

$$\frac{\partial P_1}{\partial \theta_k} + \frac{\partial P_2}{\partial \theta_k} \dots + \frac{\partial P_m}{\partial \theta_k} + \frac{\partial P_{m+1}}{\partial \theta_k} + \dots + \frac{\partial P_n}{\partial \theta_k} \right\} \leftarrow \frac{\partial P_L}{\partial \theta_k} \text{ from (12)}$$
$$- \left(\frac{\partial P_L(\underline{P}_G)}{\partial P_{G2}} \frac{\partial P_2}{\partial \theta_k} + \dots + \frac{\partial P_L(\underline{P}_G)}{\partial P_{Gm}} \frac{\partial P_m}{\partial \theta_k} \right) \right\} \leftarrow \frac{\partial P_L}{\partial \theta_k} \text{ from (14)}$$

$$0 = \frac{\partial P_1}{\partial \theta_k} + \frac{\partial P_2}{\partial \theta_k} \left(1 - \frac{\partial P_L(\underline{P}_G)}{\partial P_{G2}} \right) + \dots + \frac{\partial P_m}{\partial \theta_k} \left(1 - \frac{\partial P_L(\underline{P}_G)}{\partial P_{Gm}} \right) + \frac{\partial P_{m+1}}{\partial \theta_k} + \dots + \frac{\partial P_n}{\partial \theta_k}$$

Now bring the first term to the left-handside, for k=2,...,n Writing the above

$$-\frac{\partial P_1}{\partial \theta_k} = \frac{\partial P_2}{\partial \theta_k} \left(1 - \frac{\partial P_L(\underline{P}_G)}{\partial P_{G2}} \right) + \dots + \frac{\partial P_m}{\partial \theta_k} \left(1 - \frac{\partial P_L(\underline{P}_G)}{\partial P_{Gm}} \right) + \frac{\partial P_{m+1}}{\partial \theta_k} + \dots + \frac{\partial P_n}{\partial \theta_k}$$

The above equation, when written for k=2,...,n, can be expressed in matrix form as

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial P_2}{\partial \theta_2} & \cdots & \frac{\partial P_m}{\partial \theta_2} & \cdots & \frac{\partial P_n}{\partial \theta_2} \\ \vdots & \cdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial P_2}{\partial \theta_n} & \cdots & \frac{\partial P_m}{\partial \theta_n} & \cdots & \frac{\partial P_n}{\partial \theta_n} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 - \frac{\partial P_L(\underline{P}_G)}{\partial P_{G2}} \\ \vdots \\ 1 - \frac{\partial P_L(\underline{P}_G)}{\partial P_{Gm}} \\ 1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = -\begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial P_1}{\partial \theta_2} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{\partial P_1}{\partial \theta_2} \end{bmatrix}$$
(15)

The matrix on the left-hand side is the transpose of the upper left-hand submatrix of the power flow Jacobian (we called it $\underline{J}^{P\theta}$), and so codes are readily available to compute it. The elements of the right-hand-side vector may be found by differentiating the real power equation for bus 1, which is:

$$P_{1} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} |V_{1}| |V_{i}| (G_{1i} \cos(\theta_{1} - \theta_{i}) + B_{1i} \sin(\theta_{1} - \theta_{i}))$$
(16)

with respect to each angle, resulting in

$$\frac{\partial P_1}{\partial \theta_i} = |V_1| |V_i| \left[G_{1i} \sin(\theta_1 - \theta_i) - B_{1i} \cos(\theta_1 - \theta_i) \right]$$

The solution vector contains the inverse of the penalty factors in the first m-1 terms.

4.0 Using loss formula

The method of *loss formula* results in an approximate expression given by

$$P_L = \underline{P}_G^T \underline{B} \underline{P}_G + \underline{B}_0^T \underline{P}_G + B_{00}$$
(17)

where \underline{P}_{G} is the vector of generation

$$\underline{P}_{G}^{T} = \begin{bmatrix} P_{G1} \\ \vdots \\ P_{Gm} \end{bmatrix}$$
(18)

Development of the coefficient matrices in (17) has been done in several ways. The first edition of the W&W text (1986) presented a method developed by Meyer [2] in Appendix B of chapter 4; it was removed from the second edition.

I developed another method based on the work of Kron, which is partially articulated in the book by El-Harawry and Christenson, and attached to the end of these notes. Some important similarities in the methods:

- 1. Both are dependent on the following assumptions:
 - Each bus can be clearly distinguished as either a load bus or a generation bus.
 - Reactive generation varies linearly with generation, i.e., $Q_{gk}=Q_{go}+f_kP_{gk}$.
- 2. Both end up with expressions for P_L of the same form.
- 3. Both expressions for P_L are dependent on the elements of the Z_{bus} matrix.

But there is one major difference between the formulations in that Kron's approach makes no assumption regarding conforming loads. However, the method of W&W (Meyers) does, i.e., in Meyer's approach, all loads must increase or decrease uniformly.

We assume that we have the so-called Bcoefficients in the example which follows.

Example of ED Soution using loss Formula F. (Pg.)= 100 + 4.1 Pg, +.0035 Pg; Filly)= 200 + 4.1 lg + . 00 25 Pg; 20+ Poi = 550 B = [1001 -1000005] P2= 400 MW We desire to find the optimal dispatch. Let E=1 MW (Interan on Concer Idente 5= 15MW (orbehl solaton tolerance Frant, let's serve who love to get a starting Securican let's on class form. DEx 4.1+.007Pg1 = 2 2 = 4 = 4 = + .007 Pg= 2 Pg. + Pg= Pd P31 = P31 = 200 > This is on starting sourcer's 200 5.5

Compite Larses .0001 -,000005 131) Prove Po B Ba = [Pg. Pg. 132 ,20013 =,0001 Pj, -00001 Pg, Py2 +00013 Pj2 P1 = (0001)(200)2-.00001(200)(200)+.00013(200)2 = 8.8 -> P= 400+ 8,1 + 408.8 121 W Compte persty laters Afi= 1-28 - 1-22 Bill Pg= 800 $= \frac{1}{1 - 2 \left[g_{11} \, e_{21} + g_{12} \, e_{21} \right]} - \frac{1}{1 - 2 \left[g_{12} \, e_{11} \right] \left[g_{12} \, e_{21} \, e_{22} \,$ ph= 1-22 Bullis = 1-2 [k, P,+ R, P,] = 1-2[comus (200)+60012] (200] = 1.053 =12.6 So the condition egts are 1.0395 3 Fi = 2 1053 25 = 2 Pg, + Pg + P2 (Pg) = B PA

$$\frac{\partial R}{\partial x^{2}} \left[\frac{1}{2}, 1+, \cos 2R_{g_{1}} \right] = \lambda \Rightarrow P_{g_{1}} = \frac{2R_{2}\lambda - 4.1}{\cos 2}$$

$$Ross \left[\frac{1}{2}, 1+, \cos 2R_{g_{1}} \right] = \lambda \Rightarrow P_{g_{1}} = \frac{2R_{2}\lambda - 4.1}{\cos 2}$$

$$Ross \left[\frac{1}{2}, 1+, \cos 2R_{g_{1}} \right] = \lambda \Rightarrow P_{g_{1}} = \frac{2R_{2}\lambda - 4.1}{\cos 2}$$

$$Ross \left[\frac{1}{2}, 1+, \cos 2R_{g_{1}} \right] = \frac{2R_{2}}{\cos 2} + \frac{2R_{2}}{\cos 2} = 2\pi \frac{2}{3}, 1$$

$$Ross \left[\frac{2R_{2}}{2} + \frac{2R_{2}}{\cos 2} + \frac$$

Compute new lasses : P2 (13= 60001) (208.4) -,00001 (208.4) (199.5)+,00013 (189.5)2 = 9.14 => Pe= 400+ 9,14= 409,14 MW Compte the readty fasters : $pf_{i} = \frac{1}{1 - \frac{p_{i}}{p_{i}}} = \frac{1}{1 - 2[i \cos(1(2p_{i}, y) - y \cos(p_{i}, y))]}$ = 1.042 Phi = 1 = 2n = 1 = 2 (= 000005 (205.4)+.0003(182.5)) 1.052 Coorlington Estri 1.042 (4.1+.007 Pg1) = A > Pg1= +962-4.1 1.052 [4.1+.007 Pg2]= > => lg2= -251 >-4.1 2)= +94 + +951 2)= +94 + +951 1007 = 273.0 => al= 273

72 7-5.79 -> Pg, = 208.3 Pg= 200.9 409.29 = 409.14-409.2=-06.00 <u>OF</u> Stopping Criterion ?

^[1] A. Bergen and V. Vittal, "Power System Analysis," Prentice-Hall, 2000.
[2] W. Meyer, "Efficient computer solution for Kron and Kron-Early Loss Formulas," Proc of the 1973 PICA conference, IEEE 73 CHO 740-1, PWR, pp. 428-432.

LFDU (From Optimal Economic Operation of Electric Power supters) by M. El-Hawary and G. Christensen Iby M. El-Hawary and G. Christensen Iby Operation (Score Sym) at every bus, you get the lockes: S_= 5; $Define \quad \overline{V}_{B} = \begin{bmatrix} \overline{V}_{i} \\ \vdots \\ \overline{V}_{N} \end{bmatrix} \quad \overline{T}_{B} = \begin{bmatrix} \overline{T}_{i} \\ \vdots \\ \overline{T}_{N} \end{bmatrix}$ => SL= VB IB Detre $\overline{Z}_{R} = \begin{bmatrix} \overline{Z}_{11} & \cdots & \overline{Z}_{1N} \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ \overline{Z}_{N1} & \cdots & \cdots & \overline{Z}_{NN} \end{bmatrix}$ Then VB = ZBIB Express ZB and IB in rectangular form $\overline{Z}_{R} = R + j X = \begin{bmatrix} R_{11} & \dots & R_{1N} \\ & & & \\ R_{N1} & \dots & R_{NN} \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} X_{11} & \dots & X_{1N} \\ & & & \\ &$ $\frac{\Xi_{B}}{\Xi_{P}} = \frac{\Xi_{P}}{\Xi_{P}} = \frac{\Xi_{P}}{\Xi_{P}} + \frac{\Xi_{2}}{\Xi_{P}}$ $\frac{\Xi_{P}}{\Xi_{P}} = \frac{\Xi_{P}}{\Xi_{P}} + \frac{\Xi_{2}}{\Xi_{2}}$

LF-2 Denne PL, QL $S = V_{B} \overrightarrow{I}_{B}^{*} = (\overrightarrow{2}_{B} \overrightarrow{I}_{B})^{T} \overrightarrow{I}_{B}^{*} = \overrightarrow{1}_{B} \overrightarrow{2}_{B}^{T} \overrightarrow{I}_{B}^{*}$ $B_{0+} = (\overline{\Xi}_{p+1}^{+}, \overline{\Xi}_{2}^{+})(\overline{R}_{p+1}^{+}, \overline{X}_{p+1}^{+})(\overline{\Xi}_{p+1}^{+}, \overline{\Xi}_{2}^{+})$ = = R- IIX + (II RT+IT X) [I-- ; I] $= (\Xi_{1}^{T}R^{T} \pm \Xi_{1}^{T}X^{T}) \Xi_{1} \pm (\Xi_{1}^{T}R^{T} + \Xi_{1}^{T}X^{T}) \Xi_{1}$ + $j\left(\underbrace{\Xi_{2}}_{r} R^{T} + \underline{\Xi}_{3} X^{T}\right) \underbrace{\Xi_{p}}_{r} - \left(\underbrace{\Xi_{p}}_{r} R^{T} - \underline{\Xi}_{2}^{T} X^{T}\right) \underbrace{\Xi_{2}}_{r}\right)$ $\Rightarrow P_{1} = \exists \vec{r} R^{\dagger} \vec{r}_{p} - \exists \vec{r} X^{\dagger} \vec{r}_{p} + \exists \vec{r} R^{\dagger} \vec{r}_{q} + \exists \vec{r} X^{\dagger} \vec{r}_{q}$ $P_{L} = \vec{\Xi}_{p}^{\dagger} \vec{R}^{\dagger} \vec{\Xi}_{p} + \vec{\Xi}_{2}^{\dagger} \vec{R}^{\dagger} \vec{\Xi}_{2} \qquad (\vec{z}_{2} \neq 1)$ QL= ITRITO+ITXTIP-ITRIT2+ITXTI Q = ITX + Ip + IT X + Ig (3 st 2) > Eliminate correct Variables Recall Di= Vilcos Ditism Di) It= Ipit j Iqi $= \overline{S_i} = P_i + j Q_i = \overline{V_i} \overline{T_i} = V_i (cos \partial_i + j sm \partial_i) (\overline{T_{p_i}} - j T_{q_i})$ $= V_i (T_{p_i} cos \partial_i + T_{q_i} sm \partial_i + j (T_{q_i} cos \partial_i + T_{p_i} sm \partial_i)$ > Pi= Vi (Ipicos Di+ Igism Di) Qi = Vi (Ipi sin Di = Iqi cos Di) Laborius manipulation of these ests yield explicit expressions for I pial Iz: as follows

LF-3 Ipi = Vi [Picos Di + Qism Di] Egt 3 Igi= Vi (Pisindi-Qicos Di) Est 4 Define C^{-} $C = \begin{bmatrix} cos \Theta_1 & 0 & 0 \\ V_1 & cos \Theta_2 \\ V_2 & V_2 \\ 0 & cos \Theta_N \\ V_N \end{bmatrix}$ D= VI SIND2 V2 SIND V2 SIND VN Then Egts 3 al 4 may be written no veda form $I_{p} = CP + DQ = z \neq 5$ $I_{q} = DP - CQ = z \neq 6$ RI where $P=\left(\begin{array}{c} P_{i} \\ P_{i} \\ P_{i} \\ \end{array}\right) = \left(\begin{array}{c} R_{i} \\ R_{i} \\ \end{array}\right) = \left(\begin{array}{c} R_{i} \\ P_{i} \\ \end{array}\right) =$ into the system. QN PN Now substitute Egts 5 and 6 mto Egt-1/2/2 > P= CP+DQ RT [CP+DQ] + [D:P- CR] RT[DP-CQ]

LE-4 Tuking transpores, P_= [PTCT+BTOT][BTCP+RTDD] +[PTPT-QTCT][RTDP-RTCQ] = PTCTRTCP+PTCTRTDQ+QTDTRTCP+QTDTRTDQ + PTDTRTOP-PTDTRTCQ-GTCTRTOP+ BTCTRTCQ $= \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{P}^{\mathsf{T}} & \mathbf{Q}^{\mathsf{T}} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{C}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{R}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{P} + \mathbf{C}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{R}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{D} \mathbf{Q} + \mathbf{D}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{R}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{D} \mathbf{P} - \mathbf{D}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{R}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{Q} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{P} \\ \mathbf{D}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{R}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{P} + \mathbf{D}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{R}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{D} \mathbf{Q} - \mathbf{C}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{R}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{D} \mathbf{P} + \mathbf{C}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{R}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{Q} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{Q} \\ \mathbf{Q} \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{Q} \\ \mathbf{Q} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{Q} \\ \mathbf{Q} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{Q} \\ \mathbf{Q} \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{Q} \\ \mathbf{Q} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{Q} \\ \mathbf{Q} \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{Q} \\ \mathbf{Q} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{Q} \\ \mathbf{Q} \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{Q} \\ \mathbf{Q} \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{Q} \\ \mathbf{Q} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{Q} \\ \mathbf{Q} \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{Q} \\ \mathbf{Q} \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{Q} \\ \mathbf{Q} \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{Q} \\ \mathbf{Q} \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{Q} \\ \mathbf{Q} \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{Q} \\ \mathbf{Q} \end{bmatrix}$ = [PT QT] CTRTC+ PTRTD CTRTD- PTRTC P DTRTC-CTRTD DTRTD+CTRTC 9 $P_{\perp} = \left[\begin{array}{c} e^{T} & e^{T} \\ B \\ B \\ B \\ \end{array} \right] \left[\begin{array}{c} A \\ B \\ A \\ B \\ \end{array} \right] \left[\begin{array}{c} B \\ B \\ A \\ B \\ \end{array} \right] \left[\begin{array}{c} B \\ B \\ B \\ \end{array} \right] \left[\begin{array}{c} B \\$ Where $A_p = CTRTC+DTRTD$ $B_p = DTRTC-CTRTD$ $B_p = DTRTC-CTRTD$ aisp= $r_{ij} cos(\Theta_i - \Theta_j) / V_i V_2$ bijp= $r_{ij} sin(\Theta_i - \Theta_j) / V_i V_2$

LE-5 We now require the following assumption: Assumpt. All dispatchable generators have no load with this assumption, we may order the generator buses as 1, ..., ng and the load buses as the remainder. The assumption implies, lor gen buss. Pi= Pgi and for load buses Pile-Pdi. Then -Ran We can partition Ap so that and Be appropriately $A_{P} = \begin{bmatrix} A_{Pgg} \\ A_{Pdg} \end{bmatrix}$ Argd Apdd Br= Brgs Brgd Brdg Brdd

LF-6 Than Egt 7 becomes +P3 F24 - PI BANQU - P3 ALON + PIAN QU + Por Aroa Pa + Par April Pa - 20 Bro J Pa + 27 Bras Po Pat Args Pa - Pt Apag Pa + Qat Brag Pa - Qat Brag Pa Pot Brooks + Pet Beng Res + QE Aras Res - QU Aras Res POT Arog Pat Apalg $P_{L} = \left(\begin{array}{c} P_{T} \\ -P_{d} \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{c} Q_{T} \\ -P_{d} \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{c} Q_{T} \\ -P_{d} \end{array} \right)$ tot Bry - QJ Brug Pot Arga Pathead + QJ Brod - QJ Brad + QJ Aros - QJ Aras - QT Bodg APAD Br 37 APSO APSO Ardd Bed BPDd 1- Pa Brag + Pa Bras - Pot Bras + Pat Bras Ardy -Brod -Brod APOD APOD Brdg - Brdd 10-+28 Aros - OT APA FP Ra 2D 0

2F-7 Now our goal is to separate the terms dependent on Pg, Qg from the terms dependent on Pd, Qg and we from the terms dependent on both. > P= Pg Argo Pg + QJ Broo Pg - Pg Brog Qg + Qg Argo Qg } . + PJ And Pa + QJ Brad Pa - PJ Brad Qa + QJ Apra Qa } Br - PT Aron Pg - QT Brong Pg - PT Aron Pd - QT Brond Pd G3" + PT Brong Qg - QT Arong Qg + PT Brond Qd - Qg Aron Qd G3" > PL = G, + G3 + G2 1 23t 8 where G2= [PJ QT] [APAd - Brad Pd] Brad Apad Rd] To write G3 compactly, note from egts 7-B (pg 1F-4) that Ap=ApT (Aprs symmetric) Bp=-Bp (Bris symmetric with a sign change) \frown

Therefore we see that several terms in 63 are the same as follows: - Pat Apdo Pa = - Pat Apod Pa - QJ Brag Pg= Pg Brag QA - QT Brod Pd= PT Brig Qg -QJAPAg Qg= - Qg APgo Qd Thenfore G3= 2 [-PTAPAg Pg-QJ BPAg Pg+Pt BPAg Qy-QJ APAg Qg 2[-PJAPog-QJBPog PJBPog-QJAPog Qg = [2(QJ Brgd - PJ Ardg) i2(PJ Brdg - QJ Ardg)] Pg Define: Epi= 2 (Brgd QJ - Argd Pd) Epg=2(Brag Pd - Ardg Qd) and we have that G3= [Err Erz] PG

LF-9 Est & can be written as P_= [Pg Qy] [APgg - Brgg [Pg] Brgg Argg Qg] e 61 -+ [EPP EP2] [P2] e 63 Eqt? + [PJ QJ] [APd/ -Bedd Bedd Ardd] & G2 And this is an exact expression for b. real power lesses, assuming Assumpt, #1 holds.

LF-ID Now we still need to get rid of Qg Assumption #2 Reactive generation varies linearly with real generation. ⇒ Rgi= Rgio + fiPgi j fi a constant lor cach gen Tf Rgo= [Rgio] Rgo= [Rgio] $F_{0} = \begin{bmatrix} f_{1} & 0 \\ f_{2} & 0 \\ 0 & f_{ng} \end{bmatrix}$ There are the same because E is die found i Then Qg= Qgo+ FPg = Qgo+ Pg F Then 6, and 6: are modified as follows G= [Poi Qot PoF] [Aron - Bran [2] Bran Arag Qot FPg] Bran Arag Qot FPg] = (PS Arg2 + Qgo Broz + RJ F Begg) Pg + (-P3 Brgg + Qgo Argg + Par F Argg) (Rgo + EPg)

2F-11 ⇒ G, = Pg Arag Pg + Qg Berg Pg + Pg EBegg Pg - Pg Brg Qgo + Qgo Argg Qgo + Pg F Argg Qyo - Pot Brag FPg + Rgo Argg FPg + Pot FArgg FPg = Pg Argg + FBrgg - Brgg F + FArgg F | Pg term 1 note: I + QT Brag + Qg. Arg, F Pg term 2 + Pg+ [Brgg Qgo + FArgg Qgo] tem 3 Note in term 1 that F Brgg = Brgg FT) and motion 3 that Pot [-Buy Qgo + FAing Qgo] = Bt [FAing - Brgo] Qyo = Rgo [Argo F+Bpgg] Pg : BI= Po [Arog + EArog E] Pg + 2 Qg [Argg F+ Brgg] Pg (for est 9) ALSO: G3= Epp Pg + Epg Rg = Epp Pg+ Epg (Qgo+ EPg) = (ET + ET F) Pg + Epg Rgo

2F-12 we therefore can write Eqt. 9 as $P_{1}^{=} = G_{1} + G_{3} + G_{2}$ = Pg (Argg + FArgg F] Pg + 2 Rgo [Argg F + Brgg] Pg + (Epp + Epg F) Pg + Epg Rgo + (P)T QJT (Area -Brai Brea Area $P_{2} = P_{3}^{T} \left[\frac{A_{P_{3}}}{A_{P_{3}}} + F_{A_{P_{3}}} F \right] P_{3}$ $T_{2} = P_{3}^{T} \left[\frac{A_{P_{3}}}{A_{P_{3}}} + F_{A_{P_{3}}} F \right] P_{3}$ $T_{2} = P_{3}^{T} \left[\frac{A_{P_{3}}}{A_{P_{3}}} + F_{A_{P_{3}}} F \right] P_{3}$ $T_{2} = P_{3}^{T} \left[\frac{A_{P_{3}}}{A_{P_{3}}} + F_{A_{P_{3}}} F \right] P_{3}$ $T_{3} = P_{3}^{T} \left[\frac{A_{P_{3}}}{A_{P_{3}}} + F_{A_{P_{3}}} F \right] P_{3}$ $T_{3} = P_{3}^{T} \left[\frac{A_{P_{3}}}{A_{P_{3}}} + F_{A_{P_{3}}} F \right] P_{3}$ $T_{3} = P_{3}^{T} \left[\frac{A_{P_{3}}}{A_{P_{3}}} + F_{A_{P_{3}}} F \right] P_{3}$ $T_{3} = P_{3}^{T} \left[\frac{A_{P_{3}}}{A_{P_{3}}} + F_{A_{P_{3}}} F \right] P_{3}$ $T_{3} = P_{3}^{T} \left[\frac{A_{P_{3}}}{A_{P_{3}}} + F_{A_{P_{3}}} F \right] P_{3}$ $T_{3} = P_{3}^{T} \left[\frac{A_{P_{3}}}{A_{P_{3}}} + F_{A_{P_{3}}} F \right] P_{3}$ $T_{3} = P_{3}^{T} \left[\frac{A_{P_{3}}}{A_{P_{3}}} + F_{A_{P_{3}}} F \right] P_{3}$ $T_{3} = P_{3}^{T} \left[\frac{A_{P_{3}}}{A_{P_{3}}} + F_{A_{P_{3}}} F \right] P_{3}$ $T_{3} = P_{3}^{T} \left[\frac{A_{P_{3}}}{A_{P_{3}}} + F_{A_{P_{3}}} F \right] P_{3}$ $T_{3} = P_{3}^{T} \left[\frac{A_{P_{3}}}{A_{P_{3}}} + F_{A_{P_{3}}} F \right] P_{3}$ $T_{3} = P_{3}^{T} \left[\frac{A_{P_{3}}}{A_{P_{3}}} + F_{A_{P_{3}}} + F_{A_{P_{3}}} F \right] P_{3}$ $T_{3} = P_{3}^{T} \left[\frac{A_{P_{3}}}{A_{P_{3}}} + F_{A_{P_{3}}} + F_{A_{P_$ + $\left\{ 2 \mathcal{Q}_{g}^{T} \left[A_{pgg} F + B_{pgg} \right] + E_{pp}^{T} + E_{pg}^{T} F \right\} P_{g}$ 0 Zatt + [Pat Qa] (APRI - BPLA) + ET Qgo BPLA APRI) + ET Qgo which can be written as P_= P_g B_P_g + B_o P_6 + Boo Egt 11 where B= Apgg + FApgg F Bo= 2 Rot [Argo E+Brag] + Epp + Epg F Boo= (PT QJ) (Ardo - Brdi) + EPZ Qgo Bodo Aplo) + EPZ Qgo